

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

THE HONORABLE SAMUEL J. STEINER
Chapter 15
HEARING DATE: April 16, 2009
HEARING TIME: 1:30 p.m.
RESPONSE DEADLINE: At Hearing

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

In re
DELOITTE & TOUCHE, INC.
as Foreign Representative of
EVERGREEN GAMING CORP.,
Debtor in a Foreign Proceeding.

Chapter 15
Case No. 09-13567 (SJS)
EMERGENCY MOTION
OF FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVE
FOR INTERIM RELIEF UNDER 11 U.S.C.
§§1519, 105(a) AND 362(a)

Deloitte & Touche, Inc., by and through its designated representative, Senior Vice President, Jervis Rodrigues, (“Deloitte” or the “Monitor”) respectfully applies to this Court for entry of an emergency interim order pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1519, 105(a) and 362 granting interim relief applying the automatic bankruptcy stay to protect the assets of Evergreen Gaming Corp. (“Evergreen Gaming” or the “Debtor”) and preserve the *status quo* for the benefit of all creditors pending entry of a final order recognizing the Monitor as a Foreign Representative in a foreign main proceeding. This Motion is filed in connection with the Application for Recognition and the Chapter 15 petition filed by the Monitor with respect to the Debtor.

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INTERIM
RELIEF – 1

1 By this Motion the Monitor seeks entry of an interim emergency order pursuant to
2
3 11 U.S.C. § 1519 and §362(a) staying (i) all actions against the Debtor or property of the
4
5 Debtor within the territorial limitations of the United States; (ii) all actions to create, perfect
6
7 or enforce any lien against property of the Debtor; and (iii) all actions to terminate leases or
8
9 other executory contracts to which the Debtor is a party pending entry of an order granting
10
11 recognition (the "Recognition Order") of the CCAA Proceeding as a foreign main
12
13 proceeding pursuant to §§1515 and 1517.

14
15 Pursuant to the Court's Order Shortening Time, the Monitor has attempted to give
16
17 notice of this Emergency Motion for Interim Relief and the Motion for Joint Administration
18
19 to (a) the principal parties in the case, including the Debtors and Fortress Credit Corp.;
20
21 (b) the United States Attorney's Office; (c) the State of Washington, Bankruptcy and
22
23 Collections Unit and the Washington State Gambling Commission; and (d) the Office of the
24
25 U.S. Trustee.

26
27 This Emergency Motion is supported by the files and records herein, the Declaration
28
29 of Jervis Rodrigues in Support of Application for Recognition of Foreign Proceeding (the
30
31 "Rodrigues Declaration"), the Declaration of Cory Coyle In Support of Application for
32
33 Recognition of Foreign Proceeding (the "Coyle Declaration"), the Declaration of John
34
35 Sandrelli Concerning Canadian Law (the "Sandrelli Declaration"), and the exhibits attached
36
37 thereto. The Monitor has submitted all documents required by 11 U.S.C. §1515.

38 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

39
40 On April 15, 2009, the Debtor, Evergreen Gaming Corporation ("Evergreen"), and
41
42 eighteen other direct and indirect subsidiary Canadian and American companies
43
44
45
46
47

1 (collectively, “Debtors” or the “Evergreen Group”)¹ filed voluntary petitions in British
2 Columbia, Canada under the Canadian Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act (the
3 “CCAA”), R.S. C. 1985, c.C-36 and C-44 and the Business Corporations Act, S.B.C. 2002,
4 c.57 (the “CCAA Proceeding”). By order entered that same day, the Supreme Court of
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Evergreen Gaming Corp. (“Evergreen”), formerly Transec Enterprise Corp., is a Canadian corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. Evergreen was incorporated under the Business Corporations Act, S.B.C. 2002 c. 57, in 1979, in 1979, and is a public company, listed on the Toronto TSX Venture Exchange (TSX-TNS-V). Evergreen has a registered address of 700-595 Howe Street, Vancouver BC V6C 2T5, and a primary business office at 11331 Coppersmith Way, in Richmond, B.C. Directly or indirectly, Evergreen owns 100% of the stock in all but one member of the Evergreen Group, which consists of a total of twenty (20) entities in British Columbia, Alberta and western Washington.² Coyle Declaration at ¶ 4.

The business of the Evergreen Group is owning and operating casinos and house-banked card rooms, and it owns related real estate and casino management and service

¹ The “Evergreen Group” consists of Evergreen Gaming Corporation, the parent company, and the following direct and indirect subsidiaries: EGC Holdings Ltd., EGC Properties Ltd., Frank Sisson’s Silver Dollar Ltd., Washington Gaming, Inc., Big Nevada, Inc., Little Nevada II, Inc., Little Nevada III, Inc., Silver Dollar Mill Creek, Inc., Golden Nugget Tukwila, Inc., Shoreline Gaming, Inc., Little Nevada, Inc., Snohomish Gaming Inc., Hollydrift Gaming, Inc., Royal Casino Holdings, Inc., Gameco, Inc., Gaming Management Inc., Gaming Consultants, Inc., Shoreline Holdings Inc., and Mill Creek Gaming, Inc. With the exception of EGC Holdings Ltd., EGC Properties Ltd., and Frank Sisson’s Silver Dollar Ltd., each of the entities has filed chapter 15 a petition in this Court.

² 50% of the stock in Shoreline Gaming, Inc., dba Golden Nugget Casino is owned by Michael McCarthy, a resident of Washington.

1 businesses in Alberta, Canada and the United States. Evergreen’s primary assets are the
2 stock in its subsidiaries, each of which either operates a casino or provides related services.
3
4 Evergreen’s largest single asset is Silver Dollar Casino, a 100,000 square foot casino and
5
6 entertainment complex in Calgary, Alberta, featuring slot machines, gaming tables, lottery
7
8 terminals, a 38-lane bowling alley, a 1,200 seat live entertainment facility and numerous
9
10 related food and beverage service outlets. Through its subsidiaries, Evergreen operates ten
11
12 casinos in King and Snohomish Counties, Washington. Coyle Declaration, ¶ 7.
13

14
15 On October 2, 2007, Evergreen and Fortress Credit Corp. (“Fortress”), as agent for
16
17 the Lenders and as a Lender itself, entered into a Credit Agreement pursuant to which the
18
19 Lenders extended to Evergreen approximately US\$29 million in credit (the “Secured Debt”).
20
21 The total Secured Debt now alleged to be due, including principal, interest, fees and costs, is
22
23 approximately \$30.1 million. The Secured Debt is guaranteed by the each member of the
24
25 Evergreen Group, and is secured in part by a lien on substantially all of the Debtors’ assets,
26
27 including all deposit accounts held with any bank or financial institution. Fortress and the
28
29 Washington State subsidiaries are also party to a Deposit Account Control Agreement with
30
31 Banner Bank, the Debtors’ Washington depository bank, under which Fortress has the right
32
33 to seize control over all of the Debtors’ bank accounts, including main accounts, payroll
34
35 accounts and ATM accounts. Coyle Declaration at ¶ 9.
36

37
38 On April 1, 2009, Fortress served a Notice of Default and Acceleration on Evergreen
39
40 and simultaneously served a Notice of Demand under Guaranty (the “Demand”) on the
41
42 Debtor, threatening to foreclose on its collateral if the Demand is not satisfied. Coyle
43
44 Declaration at ¶ 10, Exhibit A.
45

46
47 Although the Debtor is current on its trade debt and tax obligations, the employees
have been and continue to be paid regularly, and the Washington subsidiaries are in

1 compliance with all fiscal and accounting requirements of the Washington State Gambling
2 Commission, Debtor is unable to satisfy its guarantee of the Secured debt. If Fortress were
3 to begin foreclosure proceedings or take other action to collect or realize on its collateral,
4 Debtor would be forced out of business, employees would be laid off and other creditors
5 would be placed at a substantial disadvantage. Indeed, execution by Fortress could destroy
6 all going concern value of the Debtor's business, as well as the business of the other
7 affiliates in Washington, since it could also trigger suspension or revocation of the Debtor's
8 gaming license issued by the Washington State Gambling Commission. Id.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
The Debtors are also party to nine lessees of real property in Washington State where they operate their businesses.³ Each of these leases is believed to contain an "*ipso facto*" clause permitting the lessor to terminate the lease upon a bankruptcy filing or insolvency of the lessee. Having filed the CCAA Proceeding in Canada, those Debtors who are party to such leases of real property are at risk of the leases being terminated if the Court does not grant the relief requested herein and make such relief permanent in the Recognition Order.

Finally, one of the Debtors, Gaming Consultants, Inc., is also party to several financing leases for gaming equipment used in the day-to-day business operations of the other casinos, and for which the lessor claims a security interest in the equipment until paid in full under the leases. Although these leases may not contain "*ipso facto*" clauses that would permit their immediate termination due to the filing of the CCAA Proceeding, there is risk that the lessors would deem themselves insecure under the provisions of UCC Article 9 and move to terminate the leases and recover their "collateral." To avoid the risk of these

³ The Washington Debtors party to leases of real property are Big Nevada, Inc.; Little Nevada, Inc.; Little Nevada II, Inc.; Little Nevada III, Inc., Golden Nugget Tukwila, Inc., Silver Dollar Mill Creek, Inc., Hollydrift Gaming, Inc.; Royal Casino Holdings, Inc., and Gaming Consultants, Inc.

1 leases being terminated or the equipment being seized, the Debtor needs the protection of
2
3 the emergency interim relief requested herein.

4
5 Maintaining the status quo as to all of the Evergreen Group's assets in the United
6
7 States is in the best interests of Debtor, Evergreen, the other members of the Evergreen
8
9 Group, and all their creditors because it preserves the going concern value of the enterprise.
10
11 If the Debtors' assets were to be seized or their licenses were to be revoked, the Debtors
12
13 would lose essential assets which will be required for an effective reorganization. On the
14
15 other hand, granting the interim relief requested provides for fair and efficient administration
16
17 of the assets, orderly and equitable treatment of all creditors and it will protect and
18
19 maximize the value of the Debtors' estate. The relief requested is also consistent with the
20
21 purposes of Chapter 15 in that applying the automatic stay in this instance will further the
22
23 goal of "provid[ing] an effective mechanism for dealing with cases of cross border
24
25 insolvency. 11 U.S.C. §1501.

26
27 **A. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

28
29 1. §362 is Appropriate as a Form of Provisional Relief under 11 U.S.C. §1519

30
31 Section 1519 of the Code provides that, at the request of a foreign representative, a
32
33 court may grant provisional relief under Chapter 15 during the "gap period" between the
34
35 filing of a petition for recognition of foreign proceedings and the court's ruling on the
36
37 recognition. Imposition of the automatic stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362 is one of the
38
39 available forms of relief under §1519. In re Pro-Fit Holdings Ltd., 391 B.R. 850, 866
40
41 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2008) (§1519 does not specifically refer to the automatic stay, but relief
42
43 under §362 is an available form of relief during the pre-recognition period). The court in
44
45 Pro-Fit concluded that §1519 lists only some of the forms provisional relief available, and
46
47 that "a number of other provisions of the bankruptcy code may be applied provisionally

1 under §1519 while an application for recognition is pending.” 391 B.R. at 866. After an in-
2
3 depth analysis of the issues, the court held that the automatic stay may be imposed as to all
4
5 of the debtor’s property in the United States pending a ruling on recognition. *Id.* at 867.

6
7 Moreover, incorporating §362 by reference has the effect of importing both the
8
9 statutory language and the case law arising from that statutory provision: “The imposition
10
11 of the §362 automatic stay as provisional relief under §1519 is not injunctive relief that is
12
13 subject to the §1519(e) requirement imposing the standards for an injunction.” *Id.* at 866.
14
15 Section 1519(e) and the rules governing injunctive relief therefore do not apply to requests
16
17 for interim protection by application of the automatic stay. *Id.* at 861. For this reason, this
18
19 Motion need not discuss the standards for obtaining a preliminary injunction in context of
20
21 Section 1519 relief. *Id.* The only relevant criterion in considering a motion for protection
22
23 during the pre-recognition period is whether “relief is urgently needed to protect the assets
24
25 of the debtor or the interests of the creditors.” 11 U.S.C. § 1519(a).⁴

26
27 2. The Relief Requested is Necessary to Maintain the *Status Quo*, Prevent
28 Preferential Treatment for Select Creditors, Preserve Going Concern Value
29 and Reassure the Holders of Evergreen’s Publicly Traded Securities.
30

31
32 Fortress and the Lenders claim a senior secured position with respect to substantially
33
34 all of the Evergreen Group’s assets, but each Debtor has employees, taxing agencies,
35
36 landlords, trade vendors and other creditors and parties in interest, including Evergreen
37
38 shareholders, who also have a right to be heard. If Fortress were to be permitted to foreclose
39
40 on the Debtor’s assets in this country, all of the other creditor constituencies, both in the
41

42
43 _____
44 ⁴ As with any provisional relief, the court’s preliminary order lasts only until the court enters an order on
45 recognition. If the court ultimately grants recognition pursuant to Section 1517, “a main administrative order
46 may then replace the interim order pursuant to § 1521(a), which authorizes the court to grant ‘any appropriate
47 relief’ after the recognition of a foreign proceeding as either a main or nonmain proceeding.” *Pro-Fit*, 866
B.R. at 859.

1 U.S. and in Canada, would be prejudiced and any remaining shareholder value would be
2 wiped out. Moreover, if the actions of Fortress, unintentionally or otherwise, were to trigger
3 suspension or revocation of the Debtor's gaming licenses, all going concern value would be
4 lost as to the entire enterprise.
5
6
7

8
9 The Debtors need immediate protection to ensure that no one creditor or group of
10 creditors is allowed to plunder the evergreen Group's assets to the detriment of all other
11 creditors. Debtor's business is operational, its employees, taxes and trade vendors are being
12 paid in the ordinary course and the value of Fortress' collateral is stable. Imposing the
13 automatic stay provisions of §362 is the best way to maintain the *status quo* and to ensure
14 that all creditors are treated fairly and equitably. It will also reassure holders of Evergreen's
15 publicly traded shares that the company's reorganization effort will be equitable and orderly.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 CONCLUSION

23
24 The CCAA Proceeding initiated by the Evergreen Group is the functional equivalent
25 of our Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings, and the goal of the CCAA Proceeding is
26 reorganization. However, if the Evergreen Group is to have any chance to accomplish that
27 goal, the going concern value of this Debtor's businesses must be preserved. It makes no
28 difference on which side of the border the Debtors' businesses operate, if the businesses
29 close, if the employees are laid off, if the gaming licenses are suspended or revoked, then the
30 going concern value will be destroyed and the CCAA reorganization effort will fail.
31
32 Imposing the protections of the automatic stay will not only prevent irreparable harm and
33 untimely and potentially inequitable seizure of assets, it will also impart order to the process
34 and instill confidence in creditors and in the shareholders of Evergreen. For these reasons,
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

1 the Court is asked to immediately grant interim automatic stay relief to protect the assets of
2
3 the Debtor pending a final determination on the Monitor's Application for Recognition.
4
5

6
7 DATED: April 15, 2009
8
9

PERKINS COIE LLP

10 By: /s/Bruce G. MacIntyre
11 Bruce G. MacIntyre, WSBA No. 18984
12 BMacIntyre@perkinscoie.com
13

14 By: /s/ Alan D. Smith
15 Alan D. Smith, WSBA No. 24964
16 ADSmith@perkinscoie.com
17
18

19 By: /s/ John S. Kaplan
20 John S. Kaplan, WSBA No. 23788
21 JKaplan@perkinscoie.com
22
23

24 By: /s/ Brian A. Jennings
25 Brian A. Jennings, WSBA No. 32509
26 BJennings@perkinscoie.com
27
28

29 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800
30 Seattle, WA 98101-3099
31 Telephone: 206.359.8000
32 Facsimile: 206.359.9000
33

34 Attorney for Foreign Representative Deloitte &
35 Touche, Inc.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INTERIM
RELIEF – 9

70919-0001/LEGAL15732851.1

Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Phone: 206.359.8000
Fax: 206.359.9000