File No. A 1 06-30-06444 (File No. CI 05-01-43350)

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

# THE MANITOBA SECURITIES COMMISSION,

(Applicant) Respondent

- and -

### CROCUS INVESTMENT FUND,

(Respondent) Respondent

-and-

#### BERNARD BELLAN,

(Intervenor) Appellant.

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

### BOOTH DENNEHY LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
Avocats et Notaires
387 Broadway
Winnipeg MB R3C 0V5
J. R. NORMAN BOUDREAU
Phone No. (204) 957-1717
Fax No. (204) 943-6199
Co-Counsel for the Appellant

### PROBER LAW OFFICES

387 Broadway Winnipeg MB R3C 0V5 **JAY PROBER** Phone No. (204) 957-1205 Fax No. (204) 943-6199 Co-Counsel for the Appellant

#### **KLEIN LYONS**

Barristers & Solicitors
Suite 1100, 1333 West Broadway
Vancouver, BC V6H 4C1

DAVID A. KLEIN/
DOUGLAS LENNOX
Phone No. (604) 874-7171
Fax No. (604) 874-7180
Co-Counsel for the Appellant

FILED COURT OF APPEAL

JUN 3 0 2006

LAW COURTS WINNIPEG

#### IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

#### THE MANITOBA SECURITIES COMMISSION,

(Applicant) Respondent

- and -

### CROCUS INVESTMENT FUND,

(Respondent) Respondent

- and -

### BERNARD BELLAN,

(Intervenor) Appellant

#### **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

TAKE NOTICE that a motion will be made on behalf of the Appellant, Bernard Bellan, before the Court of Appeal, as soon as the motion can be heard, by way of appeal from the judgment of the Honourable Madam Justice McCawley of the Court of Queen's Bench, Winnipeg Centre, pronounced on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006, and filed on the 31<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2006, whereby the learned judge did order that:

The Receiver of the Crocus Investment Fund (the "Receiver") is authorized and directed to pay all reasonably incurred past and future legal expenses of former officers and directors on an on-going basis, and any resulting unfavourable judgments arising from the investigation of the Office of the Auditor General, proceedings taken by the Manitoba Securities Commission, the proposed class action proceeding in Court of Queen's Bench Suit No. Cl 05-01-43765 and issues affecting the former

directors arising from actions or positions taken by the Receiver of Crocus, unless it can be demonstrated that such former officers and directors do not meet the qualifying criteria set out in s.119(1) of the *Corporations Act*, Crocus By-law 1.7, or any individual agreements; and

2. Any former officer or director who receives indemnification will sign an undertaking to repay the said funds if it is ultimately determined that he or she was not entitled to such indemnification.

On appeal, this court will be asked to set aside the said judgment of the Honourable Madam Justice McCawley on the following grounds:

- 1. The learned chambers judge erred, on a question of fact and law, in finding that there was no evidence of bad faith by the officers and directors.
- 2. The learned chambers judge erred, on a question of law, in confounding the legal test for whether the officers and directors had been intentionally dishonest, with the legal test for whether the officers and directors had acted in such a grossly or seriously careless or reckless manner as to constitute bad faith.
- 3. The learned chambers judge erred, on question of law, in determining that an indemnity should be paid to the officers and directors, prior to any determination that the officers and directors had actually satisfied the requirements of section 119 of the *Corporations Act*, C.C.S.M. c. C225.
- 4. The learned chambers judge erred, on a question of fact and law, in extending the indemnity beyond immediate legal costs to cover "any resulting unfavourable judgments", thereby constraining future judicial discretion when the circumstances and details of such future judgments cannot yet be known.

5. The learned chambers judge erred, on a question of law, in determining that an indemnity should be paid to the officers and directors, notwithstanding the absence of protections available to the corporation and shareholders under s. 113 of the *Corporations Act*, C.C.S.M. c. C225.

6. The learned chambers judge erred, on a question of fact and law, in awarding the indemnity when there was no, or insufficient evidence, that the officers and directors have either the financial need for the indemnity, or the ability to pay it back if the indemnity is subsequently determined to have been improperly awarded.

7. The learned chambers judge erred, on a question of fact and law, in holding that the availability of insurance for officers and directors was not a relevant consideration as to whether an indemnity should be paid to them, prior to any determination that they had satisfied the requirements of section 119 of the *Corporations Act*, C.C.S.M. c. C225.

Has a transcript of the evidence with respect to the judgment appealed from been ordered from transcription services?

Yes: [ ]

No: [ ]

Not Required: [X]

**DATED** this 30<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2006.

BOOTH DENNEHY LLP

Barristers & Solicitors Avocats et Notaires 387 Broadway

Winnipeg MB R3C 0V5

**J. R. NORMAN BOUDREAU** Phone No. (204) 957-1717 Fax No. (204) 943-6199

Co-Counsel for the Appellant

**PROBER LAW OFFICES** 

387 Broadway
Winnipeg MB R3C 0V5
JAY PROBER
Phone No. (204) 957-1205
Fax No. (204) 943-6199
Co-Counsel for the Appellant

**KLEIN LYONS** 

Barristers & Solicitors
Suite 1100, 1333 West Broadway
Vancouver, BC V6H 4C1
DAVID KLEIN/
DOUGLAS LENNOX
Phone No. (604) 874-7171
Fax No. (604) 874-7180
Co-Counsel for the Appellant

TO: REGISTRAR OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

AND TO: GANGE GOODMAN & FRENCH

Barristers & Solicitors 760 – 444 St. Mary Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 3T1 **Attention: W.S. Gange** 

Solicitors for the Respondent, the Manitoba Securities Commission

AND TO: HILL ABRA DEWAR

Litigation Counsel 2670 – 360 Main Street Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 3Z3 **Attention: David Hill** 

Solicitors for the Respondent, Deloitte and Touche Inc., receiver of

the Crocus Investment Fund

### IN THE COURT OF APPEAL RULE 112

#### NOTICE OF INTENT TO EXERCISE LANGUAGE RIGHT

The attached document begins a proceeding in the Court of Appeal. Your rights may be affected in the course of the proceeding. You have a right to use either the English or the French language even where the attached document is in the other language, but in order to exercise your right you are required within 21 days of service of this document on you to file with the registrar of the court a notice of your intention to do so and to leave with the registrar an address for service. If you file such a notice, you will be notified, in the language indicated in your notice, of further stages in the proceeding by registered mail addressed to your address for service. If you do not file a notice of your intention to exercise your right, the appeal will continue in the language of the attached document. The time limited for your filing of notice may be enlarged or abridged at any time by order of a judge made on application in either English or French.

Registrar Manitoba Court of Appeal Room 100E Law Courts Building 408 York Avenue Winnipeg, MB R3C OP9

### COUR D'APPEL RÉGLE 112

#### AVIS RELATIF AU DROIT D'UTILISATION D'UNE LANGUE

Le document ci-joint constitue un document introductif d'instance devant la Cour d'appel. Les procédures dans l'instance pourront porter atteinte à vos droits. Vous avez le droit d'utiliser l'anglais ou le français aux différentes étapes de l'instance même lorsque le document ci-joint est rédigé dans l'autre langue. Si vous désirez exercer votre droit d'utiliser l'une or l'autre langue, vous devez, dans les 21 jours de la signification qui vous est faite de ce document, déposer auprès du registraire de la Cour d'appel un avis à cette fin et lui indiquer un domicile élu aux fins de signification. Si vous déposez cet avis, vous serez avisé(e) des procédures subséquentes par lettre recommandée envoyé à votre domicile élu aux fins de signification, dans la langue que vous aurez indiquée dans l'avis. Si vous ne déposer pas un avis de votre intention d'exercer votre droit, toutes les procédures subséquentes en appel se dérouleront dans la même langue que celle du document ci-joint. Suite à une demande présentée en anglais ou en français, le juge peut, en tout temps, par ordonnance, proroger ou abréger le délai prescrit pour le dépôt de l'avis.

Registraire Cour d'appel du Manitoba Palais de justice 408, avenue York, pièce 100E Winnipeg, MB R3C OP9