ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

(the "Applicants")

MOTION RECORD OF THE APPLICANTS

(returnable October 28, 2014) (re Extension of Stay Period)

MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200 Toronto ON M5H 4G2

Raymond M. Slattery (LSUC #20479L) 416-369-4149 rslattery@mindengross.com

David T. Ullmann (LSUC #42357I) 416-369-4148 dullmann@mindengross.com

Sepideh Nassabi (LSUC #60139B) 416-369-4323 snassabi@mindengross.com

416-864-9223 fax

Lawyers for the Applicants

TO: THE SERVICE LIST ATTACHED

SERVICE LIST

1. DELOITTE RESTRUCTURING INC.

181 Bay Street, Suite 1400 Toronto ON M5J 2V1

Catherine Hristow

416-601-6415 christow@deloitte.ca

Paul Casey

paucasey@deloitte.ca

2. THORNTON GROUT FINNIGAN LLP

Canadian Pacific Tower, TD Centre 100 Wellington Street West, Suite 3200 Toronto ON M5K 1K7

Grant B. Moffat

416-304-0599 416-304-1313 fax gmoffat@tgf.ca

Lawyers for the monitor, Deloitte Restructuring Inc.

3. TANNER & GUINEY

130 Adelaide Street West Suite 3425 Toronto ON M5H 3P5

Robert G. Tanner

416-862-7745 416-862-7874 fax rgtanner@tannerguiney.com

Lawyers for Tony Vallecoccia

4. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

c/o Department of Justice Ontario Regional Office The Exchange Tower, Box 36 130 King Street West Suite 3400 Toronto ON M5X 1K6

Jacqueline Dais-Visca

416-952-6010 416-973-0809 fax jacqueline.dais-visca@justice.gc.ca

5. FELDMAN LAWYERS

390 Bay Street Suite 1402 Toronto ON M5H 2Y2

Paul Neil Feldman

416-601-6821 416-601-2272 fax paul@feldmanlawyers.ca

Eric Turkienicz

eric@feldmanlawyers.ca

Lawyers for 631400 Ontario Limited

6. KRONIS, ROTSZTAIN, MARGLES, CAPPEL LLP

8 King Street East Suite 100 Toronto ON M5C 1B5

Mervyn Abramowitz

mabramowitz@krmc-law.com

Stephen Wolpert

swolpert@krmc-law.com

416-225-8750 416-306-9874 fax

Lawyers for Bayer Inc.

7. OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

P.O. Box 50 1 First Canadian Place Toronto ON M5X 1B8

Christopher P. Naudie

416-862-6811 416-862-6666 fax cnaudie@osler.com

Canadian Class Action Lawyers for Domfoam International Inc, Valle Foam Industries (1995) Inc., and A-Z Sponge & Foam Products Ltd.

8. BRANCH MACMASTER LLP

1410 – 777 Hornby Street Vancouver BC V7G 3E2

Ward Branch

604-684-3429 fax wbranch@branmac.com

Luciana P. Brasil

lbrasil@branmac.com

604-654-2999 604-684-3429 fax

Lawyers for "Hi! Neighbor" Floor Covering Co. Limited, Majestic Mattress Mfg. Ltd., Trillium Project Management Ltd., Option Consummateurs and Karine Robillard

9. CANADA REVENUE AGENCY

c/o Department of Justice Ontario Regional Office The Exchange Tower, Box 36 130 King Street West Suite 3400 Toronto ON M5X 1K6

Diane Winters

416-973-3172 416-973-0810 fax diane.winters@justice.gc.ca

10. MINISTRY OF FINANCE (ONTARIO) LEGAL SERVICES BRANCH

33 King Street West 6th floor Oshawa ON L1H 8H5

Kevin J. O'Hara

905-433-6934 905-436-4510 fax kevin.ohara@fin.gov.on.ca

11. LAMARRE PERRON LAMBERT VINCENT S.E.N.C.

480, boul. Saint-Laurent bureau 200 Montréal QC H2Y 3Y7

Jacques Vincent

514-798-0990 514-798-5599 fax j.vincent@lplv.com

Lawyers for 4037057 Canada Inc.

12. KAMINSKY & COMPANY

Associated Lawyers 7525 King George Boulevard Suite 220 Surrey BC V3W 5A8

Hargo Mundi

604-591-7877 ext. 114 604-591-1978 fax hsm@kaminskyco.com

Lawyers for 0932916 BC Ltd.

13. RICKETTS HARRIS LLP

181 University Avenue Suite 816 Toronto ON M5H 2X7

Linda Pieterson

416 364-6211 416 364-1697 fax lpieterson@rickettsharris.com

Lawyers for Fybon Industries Limited

14. **CLYDE & CO LLP**

630 Rene-Levesque Boulevard West Suite 1700 Montréal QC H3B 1S6

Catherine Tyndale

514-764-3626 514-843-6110 fax catherine.tyndale@clydeco.ca

Lawyers for Chubb Insurance Company of Canada

15. **REVENU QUEBEC**

3800, rue de Marly Secteur 5-1-8 Quebec G1X 4A5

Jacques Duperron

866-374-7286 fax Jacques.duperron@revenuquebec.ca

16. **REVENU QUEBEC**

Complexe Desjardins Secteur D231L0, C. P.3000 Montreal QC H5B 1A4

Yvon Julien

yvon.julien@revenuquebec.ca

17. **REVENU QUEBEC**

Complexe Desjardins, secteur D221LC C. P.5000, succursale Desjardins Montreal QC H5B 1A7

Normand Berube

514-287-6161 514-873-8992 fax Normand.Berube@revenuquebec.ca

18. ENTERPRISE LAW GROUP

8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 530 Bloomington MN 55437

Rick Hauser

rhauseresq@q.com

19. LEX GROUP, LLC

1563 Southcross Drive West Burnsville MN 55306

C.J. Kishish II

ckishish@lexrecoverygroup.com

20. **DDH LAW FIRM**

77 City Centre Drive Suite 501, East Tower Mississauga ON L5B 1M5

Doug LaFramboise

905-267-8595 905-712-8189 fax doug@ddhlaw.ca

Lawyers for Cozy Corner Bedding Inc.

21. BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West Toronto ON M5H 3Y4

Robert Russell

rrussell@blg.com

Jennifer Hefler

jhefler@blg.com

Jon Smithen

jsmithen@blg.com

Brad W. Dixon

bdixon@blg.com

416-367-6256

416-361-2799 fax

Lawyers for Vitafoam Products Canada Ltd. and Vitafoam Inc.

22. STIKEMAN ELLIOTT LLP

5300 Commerce Court West 199 Bay Street Toronto ON M5L 1B9

Kathryn Chalmers

kchalmers@stikeman.com

Eliot Kolers

ekolers@stikeman.com

416-869-5544

416-947-0866 fax

Lawyers for Future Foam Inc. and Bruce Schneider

23. FASKEN MARTINEAU DuMOULIN LLP

Bay Adelaide Centre 333 Bay Street, Suite 2400 Toronto ON M5H 2T6

Paul J. Martin

pmartin@fasken.com

Laura R. Cooper

lcooper@fasken.com

416-865-4439 416-364-7813 fax

Lawyers for Leggett & Platt Inc.

24. McCARTHY TETRAULT LLP

Box 48, Suite 5300 Toronto Dominion Bank Tower Toronto ON M5K 1E6

Don Houston

dhouston@mccarthy.ca

Miranda Lam

mlam@mccarthy.ca

416-362-1812 416-868-0673 fax

Lawyers for Woodbridge Foam Corporation

25. FENTON, SMITH

445 King Street West, Suite 202 Toronto ON M5V 1K4

Scott Fenton

416-955-1611 416-955-1237 fax sfenton@fentonlaw.ca

Lawyers for Robert Magee

26. McMILLAN LLP

Brookfield Place 4400 - 181 Bay Street Toronto ON M5J 2T3

David Kent

416-865-7143 416-865-7048 fax david.kent@mcmillan.ca

Lawyers for Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company

27. TORYS LLP

3000- 79 Wellington Street West Toronto ON M5K IN2

Linda Plumpton

416-865-0040 416-865-7380 fax lplumpton@torys.com

Lawyers for Flexible Foam Products, Inc.

28. AFFLECK GREENE McMURTRY

365 Bay Street, Suite 200 Toronto ON M5H 2Vl

Don Affleck Q.C.

dsaffleck@agmlawyers.com

Fiona Campbell

fcampbell@agmlawyers.com

416-360-0668 416-360-5960 fax

Lawyers for Foamex Innovations, Inc.

29. **FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP**

95 Wellington Street West Suite 1200, TD Centre Toronto ON M5J 2Z9

Scott Venton

sventon@foglers.com

David W. Levangie

dlevangie@foglers.com

416-864-9700 416-941-8852 fax

Lawyers for Michael Lajambe

30. AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Brookfield Place 1800 - 181 Bay Street Toronto ON M5J 2T9

Paul McCallen

pmccallen@airdberlis.com

Fred D. Cass

fcass@airdberlis.com

Patrick Copeland

pcopeland@airdberlis.com

416-863-1500

416-863-1515 fax

Lawyers for The Carpenter Co. and Carpenter Canada Co.

31. BLAKES, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

199 Bay Street, Suite 4000 Toronto ON M5L IA9

Randall Hofley

randall.hofley@blakes.com

Marc-Andre Landry

marcandre.landry@blakes.com

Dustin Kenall

dustin.kenall@blakes.com

416-863-2387 416-863-2653 fax

Lawyers for Mohawk Industries, Inc.

32. SUTTS STROSBERG LLP

600 Westcomt Place 215 Goyeau Street Windsor ON N9A 6V4

Harvey T. Strosberg

hstrosberg@strosbergco.com

Heather Rumble Peterson

hpeterson@strosbergco.com

519-561-6248 519-561-6203 fax

Lawyers for Ontario Class Plaintiffs

33. CAMP FIORANTE MATTHEWS MOGERMAN

#400-856 Homer Street Vancouver BC V6B 2W5

J.J.Camp, Q.C.

jjcamp@cfmlawyers.ca

Reider Mogerman

rmogerman@cfmlawyers.ca

604-689-7555 604-689-7554 fax

Lawyers for B.C. Class Plaintiffs

34. BERKOW COHEN LLP

141 Adelaide Street West Suite 400 Toronto ON M5H 3L5

Jack Berkow

iberkow@berkowcohen.com

Scott Crocco

scrocco@berkowcohen.com

416-364-4900 416-364-3865 fax

Lawyers for Remaining Individual Settling Parties

35. BLACK & ASSOCIATES

2175 King Road King City ON L7B 1G3

Laith Hahn

905-893-8050 905-893-8025 fax lhahn@blackandassociates.ca

Lawyers for Quality & Company Inc.

36. **REVENU QUEBEC**

Complexe Desjardins, secteur D221LC C.P. 5000, succursale Place-Desjardins Montreal QC H5B 1A7

Jean Duval

514-287-8821 514-285-5348 fax jean.duval@revenuquebec.ca

#1837015 | 4079509

INDEX

INDEX

	DATE		PAGE NO.
	October 22, 2014	Notice of Motion returnable October 28, 2014	1
	October 22, 2014	Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia	10
A	January 10, 2012	Exhibit "A" – Settlement Agreement	32
В	March 25, 2014	Exhibit "B" – Letter from Revenu Quebec to Domfoam (with official translation)	136
С	March 20, 2014	Exhibit "C" – Letter from Minden Gross to Revenu Quebec (without attachments)	146
D	April 22, 2014	Exhibit "D" – Amended Proof of Claim from Revenu Quebec	152
Е	October 15, 2014	Exhibit "E" – Reply to the Notice of Appeal	158
	January 12, 2012	Initial Order of Justice Newbould	173
	April 29, 2014	Extension Order of Justice Brown	196
	B C	October 22, 2014 October 22, 2014 A January 10, 2012 B March 25, 2014 C March 20, 2014 D April 22, 2014 E October 15, 2014 January 12, 2012	October 22, 2014 October 22, 2014 October 22, 2014 Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia A January 10, 2012 Exhibit "A" – Settlement Agreement B March 25, 2014 Exhibit "B" – Letter from Revenu Quebec to Domfoam (with official translation) C March 20, 2014 Exhibit "C" – Letter from Minden Gross to Revenu Quebec (without attachments) D April 22, 2014 Exhibit "D" – Amended Proof of Claim from Revenu Quebec Exhibit "E" – Reply to the Notice of Appeal January 12, 2012 Initial Order of Justice Newbould April 29, 2014 Extension Order of Justice

#2262770 | 4079509

TAB 1

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD

(the "Applicants")

NOTICE OF MOTION (returnable October 28, 2014) (Re Extension of Stay Period)

THE APPLICANTS will make a motion to a judge presiding over the Commercial List on Tuesday, October 28, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. or as soon after that time as the motion can be heard at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

THIS MOTION IS FOR:

- 1. An Order substantially in the form attached hereto as Schedule "A":
 - (a) if necessary, abridging the time for service of this Notice of Motion and the Motion Record, declaring that the motion is properly

- returnable today, and validating service of this Notice of Motion and Motion Record;
- (b) extending the stay of proceedings from the Initial Order of Justice Newbould dated January 12, 2012 (the "Initial Order"), and subsequently extended by, *inter alia*, the Order of Justice Brown dated April 29, 2014, to and including April 24, 2015;
- (c) approving the Tenth Report of the Monitor, the conduct of the Monitor and the fees of it and its counsel as set out therein;
- 2. Such further and other relief as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court deems just.

THE GROUNDS FOR THE MOTION ARE:

- 1. On January 12, 2012, the Applicants sought and were granted protection under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA") pursuant to the Initial Order.
- 2. The Order of Justice Brown dated April 29, 2014 extended the stay period under the Initial Order to and including October 30, 2014 (the "Stay Period").

- 3. Deloitte & Touche Inc., now known as Deloitte Restructuring Inc. (the "Monitor") was appointed as monitor of the Applicants.
- 4. The Monitor, in conjunction with the Applicants, has solicited claims from the creditors, issued Notices of Disallowance where appropriate, and received Notices of Dispute from certain creditors.
- 5. The Applicants and the Monitor have engaged in extensive without prejudice discussions in respect of the claim filed by the class action claimants in the amount of \$97.5 million, which has resulted in a settlement which will accept the claim at a value of \$40 million for valuation and distribution purposes, subject to court approval.
- 6. The applicant, 3113736 Canada Ltd., is engaged in pursuing several parties in respect of outstanding accounts totalling in excess of \$2 million. Litigation has been commenced in connection with many of these claims, some of which have already settled.
- 7. The Applicants have engaged in extensive discussions and negotiations with Revenu Quebec in respect of certain claims that it has filed, which has resulted in a significant portion of the claim being withdrawn, but the balance of the claims still outstanding.

- 8. The Applicants have funds available for distribution to creditors.
- 9. A further extension of the Stay Period is necessary and appropriate to allow the Applicants and the Monitor to, among other things, finalize the settlement of the claims filed by the class action claimants, secure clearance certificate, or other arrangements with Canada Revenue Agency and the appropriate provincial tax authorities, allow for a resolution of the disputed claims with Revenu Quebec, collect outstanding amounts owed to 3113736 Canada Ltd. and to attend to the possible development of a plan for the distribution of proceeds.
- 10. The Monitor is supportive of the relief sought herein.
- 11. The Applicants are operating in good faith and with due diligence.
- 12. Section 11 of the CCAA and the inherent and equitable jurisdiction of this Honourable Court.
- 13. Rules 1.04, 1.05, 2.03, 3.02, and 37 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O.1990, Reg. 194, as amended.
- 14. Such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at the hearing

of the motion:

	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	October 22, 2014	Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia together with exhibits attached thereto
2.	October, 2014	Tenth Report of the Monitor together with exhibits attached thereto, filed separately
3.	January 12, 2012	Initial Order of Justice Newbould
4.	April 29, 2014	Extension Order of Justice Brown
5.	Such other material Court may permit.	as counsel may advise and this Honourable
October 22, 2014		MINDEN GROSS LLP 145 King Street West, Suite 2200 Toronto ON M5H 4G2
		Raymond M. Slattery (LSUC #20479L) 416-369-4149 rslattery@mindengross.com David T. Ullmann (LSUC #423571) 416-369-4148 dullmann@mindengross.com Sepideh Nassabi (LSUC #60139B) 416-369-4323 snassabi@mindengross.com 416-864-9223 fax Lawyers for the Applicants

TO: THE SERVICE LIST ATTACHED

#2262684 | 4079509

SCHEDULE "A"

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE M) TUESDAY, THE 28 th DAY
)
JUSTICE) OF OCTOBER, 2014.

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

(the "Applicants")

ORDER (Extension of Stay Period)

THIS MOTION made by the Applicants for an Order extending the stay of proceedings was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia sworn October 22, 2014, and the exhibits thereto, the Tenth Report of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. (formerly known as Deloitte & Touche Inc.), in its capacity as Court-appointed monitor of the Applicants (the "Monitor") and the appendices attached thereto (the "Tenth").

Report"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicants, counsel for the Monitor,

no one appearing for anyone else on the Service List, although properly served as appears from the affidavit of service of Victoria Stewart sworn October 23, 2014,

- 1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the Notice of Motion and Motion Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.
- 2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Stay Period of the Initial Order of Justice Newbould dated January 12, 2012 and as subsequently extended by, *inter alia*, the Order of Justice Brown dated April 29, 2014, is hereby extended from October 30, 2014 to and including April 24, 2015.
- 3. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Tenth Report and the actions, decisions and conduct of the Monitor as set out in the Tenth Report are hereby authorized and approved.
- 4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the fees and disbursements of the Monitor and its legal counsel, as set out in the Tenth Report and the Affidavit of Catherine

Hristow sworn October _____, 2014, and Affidavit of Grant Moffat sworn October , 2014, and the exhibits attached thereto, are hereby authorized and approved.

- 5. THIS COURT HEREBY requests the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicants, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such Orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicants and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, or to assist the Applicants and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.
- 6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each of the Applicants and the Monitor be at liberty and are hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any Court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this Order and for assistance in carrying out the terms of this Order.

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceeding commenced at TORONTO

ORDER (Extension Order)

MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200 Toronto ON M5H 4G2 Raymond M. Slattery (LSUC #20479L) 416-369-4149

rslattery@mindengross.com

David T. Ullmann (LSUC #423571) 416-369-4148

dullmann@mindengross.com

Sepideh Nassabi (LSUC #60139B) 416-369-4323

snassabi@mindengross.com 416-864-9223 fax

Lawyers for the Applicants

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

(the "Applicants")

AFFIDAVIT OF TONY VALLECOCCIA (sworn October 22, 2014)

- I, TONY VALLECOCCIA, of the City of Brampton, in the Province of Ontario, MAKE OATH AND SAY:
- 1. I am the President and CEO of 3113736 Canada Ltd. formerly known as Valle Foam Industries (1995) Inc. and of 4362063 Canada Ltd. formerly known as Domfoam International Inc. and a director of each of the Applicants, and as such have knowledge of the matters to which I hereinafter depose, except where otherwise stated. Where my evidence is based on information and belief, I have stated the source of that information and believe it to be true.

- 2. This affidavit is sworn in support of a motion by 3113736 Canada Ltd. ("Valle Foam"), and its affiliated companies, 4362063 Canada Ltd. ("Domfoam") and A-Z Sponge & Foam Products Ltd. ("A-Z") (collectively, the "Applicants") i) to seek an extension of the stay granted pursuant to the Initial Order from October 30, 2014 to and including April 24, 2015; ii) to report to the Court on the status of the claims process and, in particular, the dispute with Revenu Quebec; and iii) to report to the Court on the ongoing collection efforts of the Applicants.
- 3. As a result of the sale of assets of the Applicants, Valle Foam changed its name to 3113736 Canada Ltd. and Domfoam changed its name to 4362063 Canada Ltd. The style of cause of this proceeding was changed by Order of Justice Brown dated June 15, 2012 to reflect the change of names. For the purpose of this affidavit, the said Applicants will still be referred to as Valle Foam, Domfoam and A-Z.

BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF THE COMPANIES

4. On January 12, 2012, the Applicants sought and were granted protection under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA") pursuant to the Order of Justice Newbould (the "Initial Order").

5. The Applicants collectively operated as one of Canada's largest manufacturers and distributors of flexible polyurethane foam products from facilities located in Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia.

3

- 6. As reported in my previous affidavits in this proceeding, as a result of declining sales, fines imposed by the Competition Bureau of Canada, and class action lawsuits commenced against the Applicants in Canada and the United States, the Applicants required protection under the CCAA.
- 7. All three of the Applicants have completed court approved going concern sales of their businesses.

DOMFOAM

- 8. The transaction for the sale of the assets of Domfoam to 8032858 Canada Inc. closed on March 26, 2012. The entire purchase price payable has been provided to the Monitor.
- 9. Other than the review of outstanding claims (in particular with respect to certain tax claims discussed below), there are no further ongoing activities of Domfoam.

VALLE FOAM

- 10. The Valle Foam transaction to sell its assets to Fybon Industries Limited ("Fybon") closed in escrow on March 30, 2012, with escrow released on April 10, 2012 upon the filing of the Monitor's Certificate.
- 11. Valle Foam has pursued further collection efforts of its outstanding receivables. Out of the 24 actions commenced by Valle Foam, twelve are defended actions while the remaining actions have either settled or Valle Foam has obtained default judgment.
 - 12. Valle Foam intends to continue to vigorously pursue these matters.

A-Z FOAM

13. The A-Z transaction closed on April 2, 2012. There are no remaining issues on the A-Z transaction. The proceeds of sale have been paid to the Monitor.

COMPETITION ACT ISSUE

14. Both Domfoam and Valle Foam were charged with, and on January 5, 2012, pled guilty to certain offences relating to a price fixing conspiracy under the *Competition Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c C.34 (the "*Competition Act*"). Through agreement with the Director of Public Prosecutions, the resolution of the charges under the *Competition Act* included A-Z.

- 15. Domfoam was fined a total of \$6 million and Valle Foam was fined a total of \$6.5 million. Valle Foam paid \$500,000.00 in partial payment of the fines imposed against it. The details of the plea were set out in my previous affidavits.
- 16. Full disclosure of the Applicants' financial difficulties was made to the Crown prior to finalizing the statement of admissions and the entry of the pleas. The Crown was specifically advised of the Applicants' intention to file for protection under the provisions of the CCAA.
- 17. As part of the plea arrangement, certain officers and directors, including myself, agreed to cooperate with the continuing investigations being undertaken by the Competition Bureau. Interviews by counsel for the Competition Bureau were undertaken with the assistance of criminal counsel for the Applicants.

CLASS ACTIONS

18. Class counsel in the U.S. and Canada initiated a number of proposed class proceedings against the Applicants on behalf of purchasers of polyurethane foam and products containing polyurethane foam products. Some or all of the Applicants have been named as a defendant in at least six class action lawsuits in Canada, and over two dozen class action lawsuits in the United States (together, the "Class Actions"). The Canadian actions have been stayed as a result of the Initial Order.

19. The Applicants, through the Monitor, sought and received recognition of the CCAA proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The Order recognizes the CCAA proceedings as a forum main proceeding pursuant to the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The Order of Judge Whipple also provides that any extension or amendment of the Canadian Orders will be given full force and effect in the United States to the same extent that it is given effect in Canada.

6

STATUS OF U.S. LITIGATION

- 20. Immediately prior to the Initial Order, our lawyers in New York, Skadden, Arps, successfully negotiated on behalf of the Applicants a settlement with the three different groups of class action plaintiffs in the United States.
- 21. The agreements specifically provided that they were contingent upon the Applicants filing for creditor protection. No payment was contemplated by the settlements. The settlements did provide that the class action plaintiffs reserved the right to file claims in these proceedings. No such claims have been filed by the U.S. class action claimants. Certain officers and employees of the Applicants agreed to provide information in connection with the issues raised in the litigation.

- 22. The class settlements have been approved by the U.S. court. The class action plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed Domfoam and Valle Foam from the lawsuits.
- 23. As part of the implementation of the settlement agreements, various officers and employees of the Applicants have been examined by the class action plaintiffs' counsel. Among those examined were myself, John Howard, Dean Brayiannis, Robert Vale and Fred Zickmantel. The examinations involved over a dozen counsel for the class action plaintiffs.

STATUS OF CANADIAN LITIGATION

- 24. There are currently six class action proceedings in Canada that are pending before the courts in Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia. The Applicants have been named (or one or more of the Applicants have been named) in each of these class proceedings.
- 25. On January 10, 2012, shortly before the Initial Order, the Applicants and various current and former officers and employees of the Applicants including myself (the "Individual Settling Parties"), entered into a settlement agreement with the Canadian class action plaintiffs. Attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the Settlement Agreement made as of January 10, 2012.

- 26. The settlement agreement provided that the Applicants would provide some cooperation in the form of documentary production, and that the Individual Settling Parties would provide cooperation in the forms of interviews, depositions and testimonies. Under the settlement agreement, and subject to court approval, the class action plaintiffs agreed to discontinue the proceedings against the Applicants, and to provide certain releases and other protections in favour of the Individual Settling Parties.
- 27. Certain of the Individual Settling Parties, including myself, paid a total of \$1.2 million as part of the settlement. The settlement agreement also provided for the proposed assignment by the Applicants of a portion of the proceeds of certain U.S. class action settlements of up to \$200,000.00, described in more detail below.
- 28. In July 2013, each of Courts of Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia certified the Canadian class actions for the purposes of implementing the settlement agreement.
- 29. On October 28, 2013, Justice Lalonde of the Quebec Superior Court approved the settlement. On February 11, 2014, Justice Leitch of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice approved the settlement. Justice Bowden of the British Columbia Court approved the settlement on March 19, 2014.

30. The various class action claimants in Canada have filed proofs of claim in these proceedings. The claims were disallowed, but are subject to discussion and negotiation as described below. The claims against each of the Applicants total \$97.5 million.

STATUS OF FUNDS

- 31. As a result of completing the transactions and consolidating the remaining bank accounts and other amounts outstanding, the Applicants have significant funds available for distribution to the creditors.
- 32. In particular, the Monitor holds funds in approximately the following amounts as at October 21, 2014:

Valle Foam	\$6,092,323.46
Domfoam	\$2,164,278.28
A-Z	\$705,734.11

Urethane Antitrust Litigation

33. The Applicants are each claimants in a U.S. class action proceeding that relates to price fixing for a product known as "Polyether Polyol" ("Polyol").

- 34. The Applicants, as purchasers of the Polyol product are part of the litigation class, and are entitled to payment from that litigation. To date there have been two separate settlements approved by the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The settlements provide for payment in instalments.
- 35. By January 2014, all the installments in the original settlements, documented in my earlier affidavits, had been paid. There are no further distributions expected with respect to these settlements.
- 36. I am advised by David Ullmann, a partner at Minden Gross LLP, that there has been a trial in respect of one of the defendants in the Polyol matter, The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow"), in which a judgment has been rendered against Dow in the amount of \$1.06 Billion.
- 37. I am advised that the U.S. courts trial verdict was upheld by Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals in Denver. However, I understand it is Dow's public position that they are now going to attempt to appeal the matter to the U.S. Supreme Court.
- 38. If an appeal to be heard by U.S. Supreme Court is filed, it is my understanding that it may take as much as a year before the Supreme Court decides whether or not to take the appeal. It would then take at least a year from that date for the appeal to be heard.

- 39. The right to receive the amounts due with respect to the Polyol claims remains an asset of the Applicants' estates.
- 40. The Applicants entered into an agreement with an U.S. entity known as Refund Recovery Services, LLC ("RRS") in 2008 with respect to the administration of the claim. The agreement appointed RRS as the Applicants' exclusive agent to assist in filing the necessary documents to secure its share of the U.S. Polyol settlement funds.
- 41. Our counsel is in communication with RRS with respect to the progress of the Dow matter.
- 42. I am advised by our counsel that, in the event the Dow judgment is upheld and payment is made by Dow in the full amount of the claim, the recovery to the Applicants could be significant.
- 43. On a rough calculation, the gross amount, before attorney fees, payable in respect of the Applicants' claim in the Polyol proceedings, in the event of a one billion dollar judgment, could be as high as: Valle Foam \$6,000,000.00. Domfoam \$4,900,000.00 and A-Z Foam \$690,000.00.
- 44. I am cautioned by our counsel that there are many factors which could reduce the amounts actually received by the Applicants, including additional late

filed claims, appeal costs, higher attorney fees approved by the Court, and other unforeseen events or holdbacks.

CLAIMS PROCESS

- 45. The Order in these proceedings of Justice Brown dated June 15, 2012 (the "Claims Process Order"), established a process to identify pre and post-filing claims against the Applicants and/or their officers and directors.
- 46. The Monitor received claims in the aggregate amount of approximately \$900 million, of which approximately \$810,000.00 were post-filing claims.
- 47. The significant claims were as follows: i) Revenu Quebec in the amount of \$2.9 million against Domfoam and its officers and directors; ii) Competition Bureau in the amount of \$6 million against each of Domfoam and Valle Foam; and iii) the Canadian class actions in the amount of \$97.5 million.
- 48. The Applicants, with the assistance of the Monitor and its counsel engaged in extensive discussions and negotiations with the class action plaintiffs to settle the class action claims by accepting the claim for distribution purposes at a compromised amount of \$40 million subject to court approval. Justice Brown approved the settlement by Order dated April 29, 2014.

49. The extent of the anticipated distribution by the Applicants will be determined once the negotiation and/or adjudication of the claims have been completed. At this time the claims of Revenu Quebec and Canada Revenue Agency remain outstanding.

13

Contested Claims

- 50. As reported in my last affidavit in these proceedings, the Monitor has, in accordance with the Claims Process Order, disallowed the claims of Revenu Quebec against Domfoam.
- 51. There is currently no mechanism to allow the Monitor or the companies to adjudicate claims against the officers and directors.
- 52. Domfoam's director and officer insurer has been notified of the claim and of the various steps taken in these proceedings. The insurer has confirmed they will provide coverage for the proper amount due and owing in respect of this claim.

Issues in Dispute

53. There were originally three categories of dispute with Revenu Quebec which were:

- a) Intercompany Accounts: Whether or not the Applicants are related parties, and therefore entitled to an exemption with respect to the collection of GST for intercompany accounts;
- b) Temp Agencies: Whether or not Domfoam paid GST to various Temp Agencies for services rendered and the nature of those services;
- c) Pre-filing Credits: Whether or not Domfoam now owes GST in respect of various GST credits claimed prior to the CCAA filing for goods delivered prior to the CCAA filing but subsequently not paid for due to the CCAA filing.

Intercompany Accounts

- 54. Revenu Quebec's claim with respect to this issue amounts to approximately \$1.6 million (before penalties and interest). Domfoam contested this claim.
- 55. Following prolonged negotiations, Domfoam was ultimately able to persuade Revenu Quebec to withdraw this claim. The letter dated March 25, 2014 (with official translation) attached as **Exhibit "B"** constitutes official confirmation that this issue is resolved in Domfoam's favour.

Temp Agencies

- 56. Revenu Quebec's claim with respect to this issue is in the amount of approximately \$400,000.00 (before interest and penalties) in relations to GST paid to seven different Temporary Employment Agencies (the "Temp Agencies") hired by Domfoam to provide additional labour to Domfoam's operations prior to the CCAA filing.
- 57. Revenu Quebec has advised that six of the seven Temp Agencies are known to Revenu Quebec as "suppliers of false invoices" and that Revenu Quebec is of the view that the Temp Agencies could not have delivered the services in respect of which GST is asserted to have been paid by Domfoam. Domfoam disputes this position.
- 58. As previously set out in my last Affidavit, I believe Revenu Quebec is simply wrong about this issue. Attached as **Exhibit** "C" is a letter dated March 20, 2014 (without attachments) which sets out at length the evidence that our counsel has accumulated to demonstrate the truth of the position asserted by Domfoam.
- 59. Domfoam has demonstrated that each supplier paid had the correct and valid HST or QST number. Domfoam has produced time cards and Human Resource records, invoices and proof of payment to demonstrate that the temporary workers

in question did attend at Domfoam and that the agencies in question were paid.

Domofam has offered to produce affidavit evidence of the Domfoam parties involved.

60. As set out in the letter attached at Exhibit "B", Revenu Quebec has not agreed.

<u>Pre-Filing Credits</u>

- 61. With respect to this issue, Revenu Quebec takes the position that Domfoam owes a further approximately \$525,000.00 (before penalties and interest) in respect of GST credits which are now in dispute. This amount arises in respect of GST credits which Domfoam may have applied for in respect of goods or services it ordered and presumably took delivery of prior to the CCAA filing, and in respect of which, due to the prohibition in the Court order to pay those pre-filing debts after the CCAA proceeding commenced, the GST was likely ultimately never paid to Revenu Quebec.
- 62. We have now settled the amount of this claim with Revenu Quebec.
- 63. At this time, I understand it is undetermined whether or not these amounts will be payable as a post-filing obligation.

- 64. There may yet be a dispute with respect to whether or not these are fees in respect of which the company or the directors and officers have any liability. In the event there is any liability to the directors or officers, I understand there is also a charge in favour of the directors and officers, granted in the Initial Order, which may apply to these outstanding amounts.
- 65. As noted above, the company's director and officer insurer is also on notice as to this claim and the status of this dispute.

Claim against Valle Foam

- 66. A recent claim filed by Canada Revenue Agency against Valle Foam, referenced above, also arises from the same pre-filing credit issue which has been raised by Revenu Quebec against Domfoam.
- 67. It is my understanding that the Monitor has accepted this claim from Canada Revenue Agency, with respect to the quantum claimed as owing by Valle Foam for a similar category of claim. As in the Domfoam case, the question of the priority of this claim is undecided and is not being decided at this time.
- 68. There are no similar claims filed against A-Z. The Monitor has previously reported to the Court that there were post-filing HST amounts owing by A-Z, but that the Monitor intended to pay those amounts.

Current Status of the Tax Claims

- 69. Revenue Quebec ultimately filed an amended proof of claim on its own behalf and on behalf of Canada Revenue Agency in these proceedings on April 22, 2014. Canada Revenue Agency also provided an amended notice of assessment on April 4, 2014. No such notice has been provided by Revenue Quebec.
- 70. In the amended Notice of Assessment, Canada Revenue Agency filed a claim for approximately \$398,000.00, inclusive of penalties and interest. This new assessment reflected the fact that the matter with respect to the intercompany amounts had been settled, while the amounts owing in respect of the Temp Agency Issue and the Pre/Post filing issue remains outstanding.
- 71. The amended proof of claim, advised of claims in the amount \$398,000.00 claimed by Canada Revenue Agency, and approximately \$800,000.00 for Revenu Quebec. A copy of the Amended Claim is attached hereto as **Exhibit "D"**. It also confirms that the intercompany amounts is no longer in issue.
- 72. In consultation with the Monitor, Domfoam agreed to appeal the assessment with respect to the Temp Agency issue. Accordingly, the amount under appeal is approximately \$400,000.00 with respect to unpaid taxes, and approximately \$200,000.00 in penalties.

- 73. On June 17, 2014, Domfoam, in consultation with the Monitor, filed notices of appeal in the Tax Court of Canada and the Court of Quebec. Notwithstanding the facts in question in both appeals are identical, Domfoam was required, by Quebec and Federal procedure, to file appeals in two courts, since the amounts in issue were claimed as owing to two different tax authorities. Domfoam has also retained BCF LLP as its Quebec counsel to assist with this matter in Quebec.
- 74. Domfoam is not contesting the amount calculated for pre-filing credits as noted above. Based on the amended proofs of claim filed in April, the amount in question is approximately \$525,000.00, net of penalties and interest.
- 75. The matter with respect to the intercompany accounts is also settled, as noted above.
- 76. The amended claim filed also included an amount of approximately \$68,000.00 in alleged source deductions owing by Domfoam. Neither the Monitor nor Domfoam has any knowledge of these amounts and is reviewing whether these amounts have been properly calculated. This is the first time these amounts have ever been claimed as outstanding.
- 77. I am advised that it is a matter of convention that the Tax Court proceedings proceed more quickly than the Quebec Court proceedings in matters like this. Revenu Quebec and Canada Revenu Agency are jointly represented by Direction

adjointe du contentieux – Montréal – Secteur fiscal from Revenu Québec who has carriage of the matter in both courts.

- 78. Following the filing of the appeals, counsel for Domfoam corresponded with the assigned counsel from Revenu Quebec to discuss the matter. In order to facilitate a without prejudice settlement meeting, Domfoam, in consultation with the Monitor, consented to an extension of time for the delivery of the reply of Revenu Quebec until October 17, 2014.
- 79. On October 7, 2014, counsel for Domfoam and counsel for Revenu Quebec, as well as the auditor for Revenu Quebec who originally had carriage of the Domfoam file, had an extended teleconference to discuss the appeal. Unfortunately, no resolution was reached in that meeting.
- 80. The reply of Revenu Quebec in the Tax Court proceedings was delivered on October 16, 2014. In those pleadings, Revenu Quebec maintains its position that the taxes owing in connection with the Temp Agency issue is correct and that the taxes set out in the notice of assessment remains due and owing. Attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit "E"** is a copy of the Reply to the Notice of Appeal dated October 15, 2014.
- 81. The reply in the Court of Quebec is due on November 30, 2014.

PROPOSED EXTENSION

- 82. The Applicants propose that the stay of the proceeding be extended from October 30, 2014 to April 24, 2015.
- 83. The extension sought herein will provide the Applicants and the Monitor to secure clearance certificate, or other arrangements with Canada Revenue Agency and the appropriate provincial tax authorities, to allow for a resolution of the disputed claim with Revenu Quebec, to collect outstanding amounts owed to Valle Foam, and otherwise to attend to the possible development of a plan for the distribution of the sale proceeds.
- 84. I am not aware of any party who objects to the proposed extension.
- 85. No cash flow is being provided with this affidavit as the Applicants have limited expenses and no employees. I am confident that the Applicants each have sufficient funds on hand to meet these obligations on a go forward basis for the period of the proposed extension.
- 86. I have been advised that the Monitor will support the proposed extension of the stay.
- 87. The Applicants are operating with good faith and with due diligence.

88. This affidavit is sworn in support of the Applicants' motion and for no other improper purpose.

SWORN before me at the City

of Brampton, in the Province of

Ontario, this 22nd day of

October, 2014.

Commissioner for Taking Addaws

#2260948 v1

Pauline Ema Leitgeb, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Minden Gross LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, Expires July 4, 2015.

This is Exhibit "A" referred to

in the Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia

Sworn this 22nd

day of October, 2014.

A Commissioner for Falding Affidavits

Pauline Erna Leitgeb, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Minden Gross LLP, Barristers and Solicitors. Expires July 4, 2015.

CANADIAN POLYURETHANE FOAM CLASS ACTIONS NATIONAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Made as of January 10, 2012

Ветwеед

"HI! NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG.
LTD, TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD., OPTION CONSOMMATEURS
and KARINE ROBILLARD

(the "Plaintiffs")

and

DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL, INC., VALLE FOAM INDUSTRIES (1995) INC., A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

(the "Domfoam Defendants")

and

DEAN BRAYIANNIS

(the "Brayiannis Defendant")

and

BRUCE BRADLEY, MICHAEL CAPPUCCINO, PIETRO (PETER) FOTI, DUKE GREENSTEIN, JOHN HOWARD, DALE MCNEILL, JAMES WILLIAM SPROULE, ROBERT VALLE, TONY VALLECOCCIA and FRED ZICKMANTEL

(the 'Individual Settling Parties')

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SECTION 1	- DEFINITIONS7
SECTION 2	SETTLEMENT APPROVAL 18
2,1	Best Efforts
2.2	Motions for Approval from Restructuring Court
2,3	Motions to Approve the Notice of Approval Hearings
2.4	Motions for Certification/Authorization and for Approval of the Settlement
2.5	Pre-Motion Confidentiality
2.6	Sequence of Motions, 20
SECTION 3	- SETTLEMENT EFFECT21
3.1	Settlement Has Continuing Effect in Event of Creditor Protection 21
SECTION 4	- SETTLEMENT BENEFITS
4.1	Payment of Settlement Amount
4.2	Assignment of Certain Claims relating to the U.S. Urethane Settlement
4.3	No Purther Settlement Payments, Transfers or Assignments
4.4	Taxes and Interest
4.5	Cooperation — Scope of Cooperation
SECTION 5	DISTRIBUTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT,
	ASSIGNMENT PROCEEDS, AND ACCRUED INTEREST 42
5.1	Distribution Protocol
5.2	No Responsibility for Administration or Fees
SECTION 6	- OPTING OUT
orciron a	
6.1	Procedure for Opting Out,
6.2	Opt Out Report
6,3	Right to Terminate Based on Opt Outs
SECTION 7	- RELEASES AND DISMISSALS45
7.1	Release of Releasees45
7.2	Covenant Not To Sue45
7.3	No Further Claims45
7.4	Discontinuance of Proceedings against the Domfoam Defendants 46
7.5	Discontinuance of Other Actions against the Domfoam Defendants, 46
7.6	Tolling of Limitation Periods as against the Domfoam Defendants 47

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		·	Page
	7.7	Dismissal of the Ontario Proceedings against the Braylannis Defendant	
	7.8	Dismissal of Other Actions against the Releasees.	47
	7,9	Impact of Discontinuance and Dismissals	47
	7.10	Releases and Covenants Not to Sue	48 48
SECTI	ON 8 -	BAR ORDER, WAIVER OF SOLIDARITY ORDER AND	
		OTHER CLAIMS	49
	8.1	British Columbia and Ontario Bar Orders	49
	8.2	Quedeo Waiver or Renunciation of Solidarity Order	50
	8.3	Material Term	52
SECTI	ON 9 -	- EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT	52
	9.1	No Admission of Liability	52
	9.2	Agreement Not Evidence	53
	9,3	No Further Litigation	53
SECTI	ON 10	- CERTIFICATION OR AUTHORIZATION FOR	
		SETTLEMENT ONLY	54
	10.1	Settlement Class and Common Issue	54
	10.2	Certification or Authorization Without Prejudice in the Event of	
		Termination	
SECTION	ON 11	- NOTICE TO SETTLEMENT CLASS	54
	11.1	Notice Required	54
	11.4	rorm, Publication and Distribution of Notice	55
	11.3	Notice of Distribution	55
SECTIO	ON 12	- ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION	55
-	12.1	Mechanics of Administration	55
1	12.2	Information and Assistance	56
SECTIO	ON 13	- CLASS COUNSEL FEES AND ADMINISTRATION	
		expenses	56

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Page
SECTION 14	- TERMINATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	57
14.1	Right of Termination	57
14.2	If Settlement Agreement is Terminated	60
14.3	Allocation of Monies in the Trust Account Following Termination	62
14.4	Survival of Certain Releases Following Termination	63
14.5	Survival of Provisions after Termination.	64
		11111111 UT
SECTION 15	5 - MISCELLANEOUS	64
15,1	Releasees Have No Liability for Administration	64
15.2	Motions for Directions	65
15.3	Headings, etc	65
15.4	Computation of Time	65
15.5	Ongoing Jurisdiction	66
15.6	Governing Law	67
15.7	Entire Agreement	67
15.8	Amendments	67
15,9	Binding Effect	67
15.10	Counterparts	68
15 . 11	Negotiated Agreement	
15.12		
15.13		
15.14	Recitals	
15.15		
15.16		
15.17		70
15.18	Notice	
15 10		

CANADIAN POLYURETHANE FOAM CLASS ACTIONS NATIONAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

RECITALS

- A. WHEREAS the Ontario Plaintiffs and the B.C. Plaintiffs have respectively commenced the Ontario Proceedings and the B.C. Proceedings which allege that the Defendants, including the Domfoam Defendants, participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the price of Foam Products in Canada and/or to allocate markets and customers for the sale of Foam Products in Canada, contrary to Part VI of the Competition Act and common law;
- B. AND WHEREAS the Ontario Plaintiffs have named the Brayiannis Defendant, an employee of the Domfoam Defendants, as an individual defendant in the Ontario Proceedings;
- C. AND WHEREAS the Quebec Plaintiffs have commenced the Quebec Proceeding which alleges that one Defendant and other unnamed co-conspirators participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the price of Foam Products in Canada and/or to allocate markets and customers for the sale of Foam Products in Canada, contrary to Part VI of the Competition Act and the civil law, but whereas the Quebec Plaintiffs have not pleaded the Domfoam Defendants or the Brayiannis Defendant as named parties to the Quebec Proceeding;
- D. AND WHEREAS the Domfoam Defendants believe that they are not liable in respect of the claims as alleged in the Proceedings, and whereas the Domfoam Defendants believe that they have good and reasonable grounds to oppose certification/authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings and have good and reasonable defences in respect of the merits of the Proceedings:
- E. AND WHEREAS the Domfoam Defendants assert that they would actively pursue and vindicate their defences in respect of certification/authorization and the merits during the course of the certification/authorization process, during the course of

discovery and during the course of trial if the Plaintiffs continued the Proceedings against them in the respective Courts;

- F. AND WHEREAS the Domfoam Defendants are currently facing very difficult financial circumstances and are currently operating in a precarious and unprofitable financial position, and whereas the Domfoam Defendants intend to file for creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or the U.S. in the near future, including but not limited to a filing under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and/or under related legislation in Canada and/or the U.S.;
- G. AND WHEREAS in light of the anticipated filing by the Domfoam Defendants for creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or the U.S., the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have determined that it is in the best interests of the Settlement Class to reach a resolution with the Domfoam Defendants whereby the Proceedings will be discontinued without prejudice as against the Domfoam Defendants, whereby the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members will preserve their rights to assert claims in respect of the Domfoam Defendants in the Restructuring Process, whereby the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members will secure access to cooperation and discovery from the Domfoam Defendants and whereby the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members will otherwise preserve their rights to pursue full joint and several liability as against the Non-Settling Defendants in the ongoing Proceedings;
- H. AND WHEREAS in addition, the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have determined that there would be substantial benefits for the Settlement Class in securing access to cooperation from the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties who are current and/or former officers, employees and agents of the Domfoam Defendants, and whereas the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have determined that it is in the best interests of the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class to reach a resolution with the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties as part of a settlement with the Domfoam Defendants;

- I. AND WHEREAS, despite their belief that they have good and reasonable grounds to oppose certification/authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings, and have good and reasonable defences in respect of the merits, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties have negotiated and entered into this Settlement Agreement to avoid the forther expense, inconvenience, and burden of this litigation and any other present or future litigation arising out of the facts that gave rise to this litigation and to achieve a resolution of all claims asserted or which could have been asserted against them by the Plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of the classes they seek to represent, and to avoid the risks inherent in uncertain, complex and protracted litigation, and thereby to put to rest this controversy involving the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, subject to the preservation of certain ongoing rights of the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members in respect of the discontinuance as against the Domfoam Defendants and in respect of the Restructuring Process as specifically set out in this Settlement Agreement;
- J. AND WHEREAS as part of this resolution, the Domfoam Defendants have agreed to cooperate with the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel by providing truthful information (to the extent that such information is in the possession, custody or control of the Domfoam Defendants and/or is accessible to the Domfoam Defendants following a filing for creditor protection and/or insolvency protection in Canada or in the U.S.) related to the sale and distribution of Foam Products in Canada and/or the claims that have been asserted by the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel against the Non-Settling Defendants and other named or unnamed co-conspirators in the Proceedings;
- K. AND WHEREAS, as part of this resolution, certain of the Individual Settling Parties, namely the Contributing Individual Settling Parties, have agreed to make a settlement payment for the benefit of the Settlement Class in exchange for a full and final release, in light of their potential risks of personal liability as residents of Canada that are subject to the jurisdiction of the Courts, the risks inherent in uncertain, complex and protracted litigation, and to avoid the further expense, inconvenience, and burden of this litigation, on the condition that the Individual Settling Parties receive a full and final release of all claims asserted or which could have been asserted against them by the

Plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of the classes they seek to represent in the Proceedings;

- L. AND WHEREAS, as part of this resolution, the Braylannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties have agreed to cooperate with the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel by providing truthful information related to the sale and distribution of Foam Products in Canada and/or the claims that have been asserted by the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel against the Non-Settling Defendants and other named or unnamed co-conspirators in the Proceedings;
- M. AND WHEREAS, as part of this resolution, in recognition of the existence of certain notice costs related to the implementation of this Settlement Agreement, the Domfoam Defendants have agreed to assign their potential interest in respect of certain limited and future distribution proceeds arising from a claim of the Domfoam Defendants in connection with the U.S. Urethane Proceedings up to a fixed maximum amount, on the understanding that any and all risk related to the validity or enforceability of such assignment or the collectability of such proceeds shall be borne entirely by the Plaintiffs and/or the Settlement Class and any failure of the assignment or any inability to recover such proceeds shall not give rise to any right of termination under this Settlement Agreement;
- N. AND WHEREAS counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, counsel for the Brayiannis Defendant, counsel for the Individual Settling Parties and counsel for the Plaintiffs have engaged in extensive arm's-length settlement discussions and negotiations, resulting in this Settlement Agreement relating to Canada;
- O. AND WHEREAS as a result of these settlement discussions and negotiations, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties and the Plaintiffs have entered into this Settlement Agreement, which embodies all of the terms and conditions of the settlement between the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties and the Plaintiffs, both individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class, subject to approval of the Courts;

- P. AND WHEREAS the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have reviewed and fully understand the terms of this Settlement Agreement and, based on their analyses of the facts and law applicable to the Plaintiffs' claims, and having regard to the proposed discontinuance of proceedings against the Domfoam Defendants, the implications of a filing by the Domfoam Defendants for creditor protection and/or insolvency relief, the value of the Settlement Amount to be paid by the Individual Settling Parties, the potential value of the Assignment, the value of cooperation to be provided by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, the burdens and expense in prosecuting the Proceedings, including the risks and uncertainties associated with trials and appeals and the risks associated with recovery and collectability of any potential judgment, the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have concluded that this Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Plaintiffs and the classes they seek to represent in the Proceedings;
- Q. AND WHEREAS the Plaintiffs have agreed to accept this settlement, in part, because of the significant value of the cooperation of the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree to render or make available to the Plaintiffs and/or Class Counsel as "first-in" settling defendants at an early stage of these Proceedings pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, as well as the attendant litigation and other risks in light of the potential defences that may be asserted by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties and the anticipated filing by the Domfoam Defendants for creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or the U.S.;
- R. AND WHEREAS the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties are entering into this Settlement Agreement in order to achieve a nation-wide resolution of all claims asserted or which could have been asserted against them by the Plaintiffs and the classes that they seek to represent in the Proceedings in the Courts in respect of this matter, and to avoid further expense, inconvenience and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation;

- S. AND WHEREAS the Parties therefore wish to, and hereby do, finally resolve on a national basis, without any admission of liability, all of the Proceedings as against the Domfoam Defendants and the Brayiannis Defendant and any potential claims against the Individual Settling Parties relating to these Proceedings;
- T. AND WHEREAS for the purposes of settlement only and contingent on approvals by the Courts as provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the Parties have consented to certification or authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings and have consented to a Settlement Class and a Common Issue in each of the Proceedings;
- U. AND WHEREAS the Quebec Plaintiffs shall amend the Quebec Proceeding to name the Domfoam Defendants as Defendants in the Quebec Proceeding;
- V. AND WHEREAS for the purposes of settlement only and contingent on approvals by the Courts as provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the B.C. and Ontario Plaintiffs have agreed to consent to a discontinuance of the B.C. and Ontario Proceedings as against the Domfoam Defendants, and the Quebec Plaintiffs have agreed to the settlement out of court of the Quebec Proceeding with the Domfoam Defendants subject to the terms of this Settlement Agreement and subject to the Final Orders;
- W. AND WHEREAS for the purposes of settlement only and contingent on the approvals by the Courts as provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the Ontario Plaintiffs have agreed to consent to a dismissal of the Ontario Proceedings as against the Brayiannis Defendant in the Ontario Courts;
- X. AND WHEREAS the Plaintiffs assert that they are adequate class representatives for the Settlement Class and will seek to be appointed representative plaintiffs in the respective Proceedings;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants, agreements and releases set forth herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, it is agreed by the Parties that the B.C. and Ontario Proceedings shall be discontinued without prejudice as to the Domfoam Defendants, shall be settled with prejudice as to the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, without

costs as to the Plaintiffs, the classes they seek to represent, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties, and that the Quebec Proceeding shall be settled out of court and without costs, subject to the approval of the Courts, on the following terms and conditions:

SECTION 1 - DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this Settlement Agreement only, including the Recitals and Schedules hereto:

- (1) Administration Expenses mean all fees, disbursements, expenses, costs, taxes and any other amounts incurred or payable by the Plaintiffs, Class Counsel or otherwise for the approval, implementation and operation of this Settlement Agreement, including the costs of Notices, the Escrow Agent, the Opt Out Administrator, the opt out process and claims administration but excluding Class Counsel Fees.
- (2) Approval Hearings mean the hearings to approve the motions brought by Class Counsel before each of the Courts for orders:
 - (a) certifying or authorizing the Proceedings as class proceedings in accordance with the Ontario Class Proceedings Act, the B.C. Class Proceedings Act and the Quebec Code of Civil Procedure; and
 - (b) approving the settlement provided for in this Settlement Agreement in accordance with the Ontario Class Proceedings Act, the B.C. Class Proceedings Act and the Quebec Code of Civil Procedure.
- (3) Assignment means an assignment, in the form executed and attached hereto as Schedule "C", whereby the Domfoam Defendants shall absolutely and unconditionally assign and transfer to the Plaintiffs, in trust for the Settlement Class, any potential right, title and interest that the Domfoam Defendants, or any one or more of them, may have in respect of the potential distribution proceeds arising from the U.S. Urethane Settlement as a result of a claim or claims that any

Domfoam Defendants have filed or may file as part of the U.S. Urethane Settlement that has been approved by the U.S. Courts as of the Execution Date, provided that any such assignment shall be strictly limited to a maximum amount or sum of the first two hundred thousand Canadian dollars (CAD \$200,000) that any Domfoam Defendants may receive as distribution proceeds as part of the U.S. Urethane Settlement.

- (4) Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act means the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, RSC 1985, c. B-3.
- (5) Brayiannis Defendant means Dean Brayiannis.
- (6) B.C. Class Counsel means Branch MacMaster LLP and Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman.
- (7) B.C. Class Proceedings Act means the Class Proceedings Act, RSBC 1996, c. 50.
- (8) B.C. Court means the British Columbia Supreme Court.
- (9) B.C. Plaintiffs mean Majestic Mattress Mfg. Ltd. and Trillium Project Management Ltd.
- (10) B.C. Proceedings mean the proceedings commenced by Majestic Mattress Mfg. Ltd. in the form of a Notice of Civil Claim filed in the British Columbia Supreme Court (Vancouver Registry), Court File No. VLC-S-S-106362, filed on September 24, 2010, and by Trillium Project Management Ltd. in the form of a Notice of Civil Claim filed in the British Columbia Supreme Court (Vancouver Registry), Court File No. S-106213, filed on September 15, 2010.
- (11) B.C. Settlement Class means: all Persons resident in British Columbia who purchased Foam Products in Canada during the Settlement Class Period, except Excluded Persons.
- (12) B.C. Settlement Class Members mean: all Persons included in the B.C. Settlement Class who do not validly opt out of the B.C. Proceedings.

- (13) Canadian Polyurethane Foam Class Actions National Settlement means the national settlement contemplated by this Settlement Agreement.
- (14) Claims Administrator means the Person proposed by Class Counsel and appointed by the Courts to administer the Settlement Agreement, including the claims process, in accordance with the provisions of this Settlement Agreement and the Distribution Protocol, and any employees of such Person.
- (15) Class Counsel means B.C. Class Counsel, Quebec Class Counsel and Ontario Class Counsel who act as class counsel in the Proceedings.
- (16) Class Counsel Fees include the fees, disbursements, costs, interest, HST and/or GST, and other applicable taxes or charges of Class Counsel.
- (17) Common Issue in each Proceeding means: Did the Domfoam Defendents, or any of them, conspire to harm the Settlement Class Members during the Settlement Class Period? If so, what damages, if any, are payable by the Domfoam Defendants, or any of them to the Settlement Class Members?
- (18) Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act means the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, RSC 1985, c. C-36, as amended.
- (19) Competition Act means the Competition Act, RSC 1985, c. C-34, as amended.
- (20) Confidential Opt Out Agreement means the confidential agreement which sets out the Confidential Opt-Out Threshold.
- (21) Confidential Opt Out Threshold means a threshold in respect of Opt Outs as agreed upon by the Plaintiffs and the Domfoam Defendants in a separate document delivered to the Courts under seal and kept confidential by the Parties and the Courts.
- (22) Confidentiality Order means any order with respect to confidentiality or the sealing of information that is issued by the Ontario Court, the Quebec Court

- and/or the B.C. Court, and any amendments thereto, and any other confidentiality order and undertaking relating to the Proceedings.
- (23) Contributing Individual Settling Parties mean John Howard, Robert Valle, Tony Vallecoccia and Fred Zickmantel.
- (24) Courts mean the Ontario Court, the Quebec Court and the B.C. Court.
- (25) Defendants mean the individuals and entities named as defendants in the Proceedings as set out in Schedule "A", as well as any named or unnamed co-conspirator who may be added as a defendant in the Proceedings in the future.
- (26) Distribution Protocol means the plan developed by Class Counsel for holding or distributing the Settlement Proceeds and accrued interest, in whole or part, for or to Settlement Class Members, as approved by the Courts which may, if directed by the Courts, permit the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel to use the Settlement Proceeds for the continued prosecution of the Proceedings or to protect against adverse costs awards, or require the Settlement Proceeds to be held in trust until the resolution of the Proceedings, in whole or in part.
- (27) Documents mean all papers, computer or electronic records, or other materials within the scope of Rule 1.03(1) and Rule 30.01(1) of the Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure and any copies, reproductions or summaries of the foregoing, including microfilm copies and computer images.
- (28) Domfoam Defendants means Domfoam International, Inc., Valle Foam Industries (1995) Inc. and A-Z Sponge & Foam Products Ltd.
- (29) Effective Date means the date when (i) the Final Orders have been received from all the Courts approving this Settlement Agreement, and (ii) the Opt Out Deadline has expired and any rights to terminate this Settlement Agreement under section 6.3 of this Settlement Agreement have expired.
- (30) Escrow Agent means the Person reasonably agreed to by the Domfoam Defendants and Class Counsel to hold and administer the Trust Account.

- (31) Excluded Person means each Defendant, the directors and officers of each Defendant, the subsidiaries or affiliates of each Defendant, the entities in which each Defendant or any of that Defendant's subsidiaries or affiliates have a controlling interest, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors and assigns of each of the foregoing, any judge of a Court who has heard or will hear any motion or application in respect of the Proceedings and his or her immediate family.
- (32) Execution Date means the date that this Settlement Agreement is executed by all parties as indicated on the cover page of this Settlement Agreement.
- (33) Final Order means a final order, judgment or equivalent decree entered by a Court in respect of the certification or authorization of a Proceeding as a class proceeding for the purposes of this settlement and/or the approval of this Settlement Agreement and implementing it in accordance with its terms, once the time to appeal such order has expired without any appeal being taken, if an appeal lies, or once there has been affirmation of the order, judgment or equivalent decree upon a final disposition of all appeals.
- (34) Foun Products mean polyurethane foam and any and all products that contain polyurethane foam.
- (35) Individual Settling Parties mean the Contributing Individual Settling Parties and Bruce Bradley, Michael Cappuccino, Pietro (Peter) Foti, Duke Greenstein, Dale McNeill and James William Sproule.
- (36) ISP Release Payment means the amount of 20% of the Plaintiffs' purchases of polyurethane foam from the Domfoam Defendants in Canada during the Settlement Class Period, to a maximum of six hundred thousand Canadian dollars (CAD \$600,000).
- (37) Non-Settling Defendant means a Defendant in the Proceedings that is not a Domfoam Defendant or a Brayiannis Defendant.

- (38) Notice of Approval Hearings mean the form or forms of notice, reasonably agreed to by the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, or such other form or forms as may be approved by the Courts, which informs the Settlement Class of: (i) the principal elements of this Settlement Agreement; and (ii) the dates and locations of the Approval Hearings.
- (39) Notice of Certification and Settlement Approval means the form or forms of notice, reasonably agreed to by the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, or such other form or forms as may be approved by the Courts, which informs the Settlement Class of:

 (i) the certification or authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings; (ii) the approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Courts; (iii) the process for opting out of the Proceedings; (iv) the Opt Out Deadline; and (v) if applicable, the process by which Settlement Class Members may apply to obtain compensation from the Settlement Proceeds.
- (40) Notices mean the Notice of Approval Hearings, the Notice of Certification and Settlement Approval, and notice of termination.
- (41) Ontario Class Counsel means Sutts, Strosberg LLP.
- (42) Ontario Class Proceedings Act means the Class Proceedings Act, S.O. 1992, c. 6.
- (43) Ontario Court means the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.
- (44) Ontario Plaintiff means "Hil Neighbor" Floor Covering Co. Limited.
- (45) Ontario Proceedings mean the proceeding commenced by "Hi! Neighbor' Floor Covering Co. Limited by Statement of Claim filed in the Ontario Superior Court (Windsor Registry), Court File No. CV-10-15164, filed on September 15, 2010 and the proceeding commenced by "Hi! Neighbor" Floor Covering Co. Limited by Statement of Claim filed in the Ontario Superior Court (Windsor Registry), Court File No. CV-11-17279, filed on December 30, 2011.

- (46) Ontario Settlement Class means: all Persons resident in Canada who purchased Foam Products in Canada during the Settlement Class Period, except Excluded Persons and Persons who are included in the B.C. Settlement Class and the Quebec Settlement Class.
- (47) Ontario Settlement Class Members mean: all Persons included in the Ontario Settlement Class who do not validly opt out of the Ontario Proceedings.
- (48) Opt Out means a member of a Settlement Class who has submitted a timely and valid written election to opt out of the Proceedings in accordance with orders of the Courts.
- (49) Opt Out Administrator means the Person proposed by Class Counsel and appointed by the Courts to receive the Opt Out Forms and report on the opt out process.
- (50) Opt Out Deadline means the date which is sixty (60) days after the date on which the Notice of Certification and Settlement Approval is first published, or such other date that has been agreed by the Parties and ordered by the Courts.
- (51) Opt Out Form means the form, to be reasonably agreed to by the Parties after the Settlement Agreement is executed, that shall be used for the purpose of implementing the opt out procedure set out in section 6 of this Settlement Agreement.
- (52) Other Actions mean actions or proceedings, other than the Proceedings, relating to the Released Claims commenced by a Settlement Class Member either before or after the Effective Date.
- (53) Party and Parties mean the Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties.
- (54) Person means an individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative,

trust, trustee, executor, beneficiary, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any other business or legal entity and their heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives or assignees.

- (55) Plaintiff or Plaintiffs mean "Hil Neighbor" Floor Covering Co. Limited, Majestic Mattress Mfg. Ltd, Trillium Project Management Ltd., Option Consommateurs and Karine Robillard, individually and collectively.
- (56) Proceedings mean the B.C. Proceedings, the Ontario Proceedings and the Quebeo Proceeding.
- (57) Proportionate Liability means the proportion of any judgment that, had they not settled, a Court would have apportioned to the Releasees.
- (58) Purchase Price means the purchase price actually paid by Settlement Class Members for Foam Products purchased during the Settlement Class Period, less any rebates, delivery or shipping charges, taxes and any other form of discounts.
- (59) Quebec Class Counsel means Belleau Lapointe.
- (60) Quebec Code of Civil Procedure means the Code of Civil Procedure, RSQ, c. C-25.
- (61) Quebec Court means the Superior Court of Quebec.
- (62) Quebac Plaintiffs mean Option Consommateurs and Karine Robillard.
- (63) Quebec Proceeding means the proceeding commenced by Karine Robillard in the form of a motion for authorization to institute a class proceeding (Requête pour autorisation d'exercer un recours collectif) in the Quebec Court, Court File No. .500-06-000524-104, filed on October 1, 2010.
- (64) Quebec Settlement Class means: all Persons resident in Quebec who purchased Foam Products in Canada during the Settlement Class Period, except Excluded Persons and any legal person established for a private interest, partnership or

association which at any time between October 1, 2009 and October 1, 2010 had under its direction or control more than 50 persons bound to it by contract of employment or that is not dealing at arm's length with Option Consommateurs or Karine Robillard.

- (65) Quebec Settlement Class Members mean: all Persons included in the Quebec Settlement Class who do not validly opt out of the Quebec Proceeding.
- Released Claims mean any and all manner of claims, demands, actions, suits, (66)causes of action, whether class, individual or otherwise in nature, whether personal or subrogated, damages whenever incurred, damages of any kind including compensatory, punitive or other damages, liabilities of any nature whatsoever, including interest, costs, expenses, class administration expenses (including Administration Expenses), penalties, and lawyers' fees (including Class Counsel Fees), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, foreseen or unforescen, actual or contingent, and liquidated or unliquidated, in law, under statute or in equity that Releasors, or any of them, whether directly, indirectly, derivatively, or in any other capacity, ever had, now have, or hereafter can, shall, or may have, relating in any way to any conduct occurring anywhere, from January 1, 1999 to the date hereof in respect of the purchase, sale, pricing, discounting, marketing, distributing of or compensation for, Foam Products, or relating to any conduct alleged (or which could have been alleged) in the Proceedings or the Other Actions including, without limitation, any such claims which have been asserted, would have been asserted, or could have been asserted, directly or indirectly, whether in Canada or elsewhere, as a result of or in connection with an alleged conspiracy or other unlawful agreement or combination or as a result of or in connection with any other alleged unlawful horizontal or vertical anti-competitive conduct in connection with the purchase, sale, pricing, discounting, marketing or distributing of Foam Products in Canada and including, without limitation, any claim for harm, damage or other relief in connection with oppressive or wrongful conduct under federal or provincial corporate statutes or at common or civil law arising from or in connection with

any unlawful horizontal or vertical anti-competitive conduct alleged (or which could have been alleged) in the Proceedings or the Other Actions. For greater certainty, nothing herein shall be construed to release any claims arising from any alleged product defect, breach of contract, breach of warranty or similar claims between the Parties relating to Foam Products.

- (67) Releasees mean, jointly and severally, individually and collectively, the Individual Settling Parties and the Brayiannis Defendant together with the present and former officers, directors, employees, managers, members, partners, agents, shareholders (in their capacity as shareholders, whether as direct or indirect shareholders), attorneys, trustees, servants and legal representatives of the Domfoam Defendants, and the predecessors, successors, purchasers, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of each of the foregoing, excluding the Domfoam Defendants and excluding the Non-Settling Defendants. For greater certainty, the term Releasees as defined for purposes of this Settlement Agreement shall include Global Upholstery Co. Limited and Valdomco Ltd., both of which are shareholders of Domfoam International Inc.
- (68) Releasors mean, jointly and severally, individually and collectively, the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members on behalf of themselves and any Person claiming by or through them as a present or former, direct or indirect parent, subsidiary, division, affiliate, predecessor, successor, shareholder, partner, director, owner of any kind, agent, employee, contractor, attorney, heir, executor, administrator, insurer, devisee, assignee or legal representative of any kind.
- (69) Restructuring Court means the Ontario Court that is appointed to oversee the Restructuring Process in Canada.
- (70) Restructuring Process means the process associated with the filing and implementation for creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or in the U.S., including but not limited to the process of filing a claim as a creditor under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and/or related legislation in Canada or the U.S..

- (71) Settlement Agreement means this agreement, including the recitals and schedules.
- (72) Settlement Amount means the sum of one million two hundred and twenty-six thousand Canadian dollars (CAD \$1,226,000).
- (73) Settlement Class means all Persons included in the Ontario Settlement Class, the Quebec Settlement Class and the B.C. Settlement Class.
- (74) Settlement Class Members mean the Ontario Settlement Class Members, Quebeo Settlement Class Members and B.C. Settlement Class Members.
- (75) Settlement Class Period means the period from January 1, 1999 to the Execution Date.
- (76) Settlement Proceeds mean the Settlement Amount and any proceeds from the Assignment.
- (77) Trust Account means an interest bearing trust account at a Canadian Schedule 1 bank under the control of the Escrow Agent for the benefit of Settlement Class Members.
- (78) U.S. Plaintiff means the plaintiffs in the U.S. Proceedings.
- (79) U.S. Proceedings mean the class action proceedings pending before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio under the caption In re Polyurethans Foam Antitrust Litigation, Master File No.: 10-MLS-2196 (JZ), MDL No. 2196, and including all class and individual actions transferred by the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation for coordination, all actions pending such transfer, all actions that may be transferred in the future and any other actions involving similar allegations relating to Foam Products that are pending or that may be commenced before the federal or state courts of the U.S.
- (80) U.S. Settlement means the settlement of any direct purchaser class actions in the U.S. Proceedings, the settlement of any indirect purchaser class actions in the

- U.S. Proceedings, the settlement of any direct purchaser actions or opt out actions and any other settlement of the U.S. Proceedings.
- (81) U.S. Urethane Proceedings mean the class action proceedings pending before the United States District Court for the District of Kansas under the caption In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation, Master File No.: 04-MD-01616-JWL, MDL No. 1616, and including all class and individual actions transferred by the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation for coordination, all actions pending such transfer, all actions that may be transferred in the future and any other actions involving similar allegations relating to urethane products that are pending or that may be commenced before the federal or state courts of the U.S.
- (82) U.S. Urethane Settlement means the settlement of any direct purchaser class actions in the U.S. Urethane Proceedings and/or the settlement of any indirect purchaser class actions in the U.S. Urethane Proceedings that exists as of the Execution Date.

SECTION 2 - SETTLEMENT APPROVAL

2,1 Best Efforts

- (1) The Parties shall respectively take all reasonable steps to expeditiously effectuate this settlement and to secure the prompt discontinuance of the Proceedings as against the Domfoam Defendants, including cooperating in the Domfoam Defendants' efforts to obtain any approvals required by the Restructuring Court regarding the approval and implementation of this Settlement Agreement, and cooperating in the Plaintiffs' efforts to obtain any approval or orders required from the Courts regarding the approval or implementation of the Settlement Agreement, including orders certifying the Settlement Class for settlement purposes and approving the form and distribution of the Notices contemplated by section 11 of this Settlement Agreement.
- (2) Following the Execution Date and prior to, or in conjunction with, the motions contemplated by section 2.3 of this Settlement Agreement, the Quebec Plaintiffs shall

amend the Quebec Proceeding to name the Domfoam Defendants as defendants to the Quebec Proceeding.

2.2 Motions for Approval from Restructuring Court

At a time mutually agreed to by the Parties after the Settlement Agreement is executed, the Plaintiffs and/or the Domfoam Defendants shall bring any motions before the Restructuring Court which are reasonably necessary to obtain an order permitting the obtaining of approval and implementation of this Settlement Agreement. The Domfoam Defendants shall cooperate with the Plaintiffs in respect of any such motions and in respect of obtaining any such relief from the Restructuring Court.

2.3 Motions to Approve the Notice of Approval Hearings

- (1) Following receipt of any orders referred to in section 2.2 or in the event such relief is not required from the Restructuring Court, at a time mutually agreed to by the Parties after the Settlement Agreement is executed, the Plaintiffs shall bring motions before each of the Courts for orders approving the Notice of Approval Hearings described in section 11.1.
- (2) The British Columbia, Quebec and Ontario orders approving the Notice of Approval Hearings shall be in the form reasonably agreeable to the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties.

2.4 Motions for Certification/Authorization and for Approval of the Settlement

- (1) As soon as practicable after the orders referred to in section 2.3 are granted, after the Notice of Approval Hearings has been published, the Plaintiffs shall bring motions before each of the Courts for orders certifying or authorizing the Proceedings commenced in their respective jurisdictions as a class proceeding for settlement purposes and for orders approving this Settlement Agreement.
- (2) The Ontario order approving this Settlement Agreement referred to in section 2.4(1) shall be in the form attached hereto as Schedule "B1" except that paragraphs 2, 4,

- 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33 and 34 of the Ontario order need only be substantially in the form set out in Schedule "B1".
- (3) The Quebec and British Columbia orders approving the Settlement Agreement referred to in section 2.4(1) shall be in the form attached hereto respectively in Schedules "B2" and "B3", except that paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 15, 24, 25, 26, 28 and 29 of the Quebec order and paragraphs 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 26, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 35 of the British Columbia order need only be substantially in the form set out in Schedules "B2" and "B3". The Quebec and British Columbia orders shall mirror the substance and, where possible, the form of the Ontarlo order.
- (4) The failure of any Court to approve the content of the orders as contemplated herein shall give rise to a right of termination by the Domfoam Defendants and/or any or all of the Individual Settling Parties pursuant to section 14 of this Settlement Agreement.

2.5 Pre-Motion Confidentiality

Until the Plaintiffs serve and file the materials for the first of the motions required by sections 2.2 and/or 2.3, the Parties shall keep all of the terms of this Settlement Agreement, and any information or Documents related thereto, confidential and shall not disclose them without the prior written consent of counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, the Individual Settling Parties and Class Counsel, as the case may be, except as required for the purposes of financial reporting or the preparation of financial records (including tax returns and financial statements) or as otherwise required by law. Once the first of the motions required by section 2.2 has been brought, the Parties shall otherwise remain subject to the other provisions of this Settlement Agreement governing confidentiality, including without limitation the provisions of the Confidential Schedule "C" and the Confidential Opt Out Agreement.

2.6 Sequence of Motions

(1) The Plaintiffs in Quebec and British Columbia shall not proceed with the respective hearings of the motions to approve the Notice of Approval Hearings unless and until the Ontario Court approves the Notice of Approval Hearings. The motions to

approve the Notice of Approval Hearings may be filed in Quebec and British Columbia, but, if necessary, Quebec Class Counsel and B.C. Class Counsel will seek an adjournment of their hearings to permit the Ontario Court to render its decision in respect of the approval of the Notice of Approval Hearings. The Domfoam Defendants may agree to waive this provision.

- (2) The Plaintiffs in Quebec and British Columbia shall not proceed with the respective hearings of motions to certify and/or authorize the Quebec and B.C. Settlement Class and approve this Settlement Agreement unless and until the Ontario Court certifies the Ontario Settlement Class and approves the Settlement Agreement. The approval motions may be filed in Quebec and British Columbia, but, if necessary, Quebec Class Counsel and B.C. Class Counsel will seek an adjournment of their hearings to permit the Ontario Court to render its decision in respect of certification of the Ontario Settlement Class and approval of the Settlement Agreement. The Domfoam Defendants may agree to waive this provision.
- (3) Notwithstanding section 2.6 of this Settlement Agreement, in the event that the Plaintiffs and Domfoam Defendants reasonably agree and the Courts determine that it is appropriate to conduct coordinated or simultaneous Approval Hearings in respect of the Proceedings before each of the Courts, the motions to approve the Notice of Approval Hearings and/or the motions to certify and/or authorize the Settlement Class and approve this Settlement Agreement may be heard in a coordinated or simultaneous manner by the Courts.

SECTION 3 - SETTLEMENT EFFECT

3.1 Settlement Has Continuing Effect in Event of Creditor Protection

(1) In the event that the Domfoam Defendants file for and/or obtain any form of creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or the U.S., including but not limited to a filing or granting of protection under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and/or related legislation, the Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall remain in full effect. For greater certainty, the existence of such a filing or the granting of creditor protection

in favour of the Domfoam Defendants shall not give rise to any right of termination by any Party under section 14 of this Settlement Agreement provided the Domfoam Defendants are not otherwise in breach of this Settlement Agreement.

Notwithstanding the existence of any automatic or other stay of proceedings (2) entered or otherwise triggered by the filing for any form of creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or the U.S., and subject to any Order issued by the Courts or the Restructuring Court, the Parties shall fully and completely perform the terms of this Settlement Agreement, except that all motion practice, discovery rights, trial proceedings and other proceedings in the Proceedings shall be indefinitely stayed as against the Domfoam Defendants and the Brayiannis Defendant. The Domfoam Defendants and the Brayiannis Defendant shall engage their reasonable efforts to ensure that any Restructuring Process shall not impede the hearings or implementation of this Settlement Agreement, and will make any applications that are reasonably required within the Restructuring Process in order to implement this Settlement Agreement. Notwithstanding anything in this Settlement Agreement to the contrary, including but not limited to this Settlement Agreement's provisions relating to the release of the Released Claims granted by the Releasors in fayour of the Releasees and the discontinuance of proceedings as against the Domfoam Defendants, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall preclude the Plaintiffs, or any member of the Settlement Class, individually or collectively, from filing against the Domfoam Defendants a claim in any creditor protection, restructuring, insolvency or other bankruptcy proceeding in Canada and/or the U.S. to the extent that such claim is based upon, arising out of or relating to facts, occurrences, transactions or other matters alleged in the Proceedings. To the extent permitted by law, the Domfoam Defendants shall not object to the filing by the Plaintiffs, or any member of the Settlement Class, of any such claim against the Domfoam Defendants in any creditor protection, restructuring, insolvency or other bankruptoy proceeding in Canada or the U.S., and further agree that nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall in any way impair or limit such claim against the Domfoam Defendants or the ability of such claimant(s) to seek recovery in any such creditor protection, restructuring or other bankruptcy proceeding in Canada or the U.S. for any such claim(s) against the Domfoam Defendants.

Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 3.1(1) and (2), the Plaintiffs, Class (3)Counsel and the Settlement Class Members, individually or collectively, shall not be permitted to file a claim or otherwise challenge the validity, legality, or continuing effect of the release of the Released Claims granted by the Releasors in favour of the Releasees pursuant to this Settlement Agreement or the discontinuances of the Proceedings as against the Domfoam Defendants provided, however, that the Domfoam Defendants shall not rely on such release of the Released Claims and/or discontinuances as a defence to or limitation on any claim filed on behalf of Plaintiffs, Class Counsel or any Settlement Class Member in any creditor protection, restructuring, insolvency or other bankruptcy proceeding in Canada or in the U.S. as against the Domfoam Defendants, and the Domfoam Defendants covenant and agree that no such defence or limitation will be asserted against such a claim against the Domfoam Defendants. For purposes of clarity, the release of the Released Claims granted by the Releasors pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall remain in effect in favour of the Releasees in any creditor protection, restructuring, insolvency and/or other bankruptcy proceeding in Canada and/or the U.S. or in any other proceeding in Canada, the U.S. or elsewhere, and the Releasees shall be fully entitled to assert and rely upon the release of the Released Claims as a defence to or limitation on any claim in any proceeding in Canada, the U.S. or elsewhere.

SECTION 4- SETTLEMENT BENEFITS

4.1 Payment of Settlement Amount

- (I) Within thirty (30) business days of the Execution Date, the Contributing Individual Settling Parties shall pay the Settlement Amount to the Escrow Agent for deposit into the Trust Account, in full satisfaction of the Released Claims against the Releasees.
- (2) The Contributing Individual Settling Parties shall pay the Settlement Amount to the Escrow Agent in accordance with the applicable contribution shares set out in Confidential Schedule "C" to this Settlement Agreement.

4.2 Assignment of Certain Claims relating to the U.S. Unethane Settlement

- (1) On the Execution Date, and subject to any order of the Restructuring Court or the Courts, the Domfoam Defendants shall deliver the Assignment to the Escrow Agent or shall otherwise make arrangements to place the Assignment in escrow until such time as the Final Orders have been granted and the Effective Date has occurred.
- The Domfoam Defendants shall notify the administrator of the U.S. Urethane Settlement of the existence of the Assignment. The Parties agree that to the extent that there is a distribution of funds payable to the Domfoam Defendants prior to the Effective Date, and subject to any order of the Restructuring Court or the Courts, the Parties shall jointly request that the administrator of the U.S. Urethane Settlement forward any such funds up to the maximum amount of the Assignment to the Escrow Agent for deposit into the Trust Account. To the extent necessary or to the extent required by law, the Domfoam Defendants may seek advance directions or an order from the Restructuring Court or the Courts in respect of any such communications or requests of the administrator of the U.S. Urethane Settlement, and the Parties agree to be bound by any such directions or order from the Restructuring Court or the Courts.
- The Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties do not make any representation, covenant or promises in respect of the validity or enforceability of the Assignment or the collectability of the proceeds from the Assignment, and the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class shall bear any and all risk relating to the validity or enforceability of the Assignment or the collectability of the proceeds from the Assignment. In the event that the Assignment is terminated, is not approved or otherwise fails to come into effect, the Parties agree that such event shall not give rise to any right of termination under this Settlement Agreement. However, nothing in this section shall be treated as a waiver, forbearance, or abandonment of the Plaintiffs' rights and/or interests accruing under the Assignment. The Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree to make reasonable efforts and provide cooperation to assist in the implementation of the Assignment.

4.3 No Further Settlement Payments, Transfers or Assignments

- (1) Subject to sections 4.1 and 4.2, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall have no obligation to pay any amount in addition to the Settlement Amount or to pay, assign or transfer any amount beyond the proceeds contemplated by the Assignment, for any reason, pursuant to or in furtherance of this Settlement Agreement. For greater certainty, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall have no responsibility or liability as a result of any decrease or depreciation of the value of the funds in the Trust Account or any inability or failure of the Plaintiffs to receive the proceeds contemplated by the Assignment, howsoever caused, including, but not limited to, a decrease or depreciation in the value of any investments purchased by the Escrow Agent or the Claims Administrator, or the payment of any Class Counsel Fees or any Administration Expenses,
- (2) The Escrow Agent shall maintain the Trust Account as provided for in this Settlement Agreement. The Escrow Agent shall not pay out all or part of the monies in the Trust Account, nor deliver the Assignment, except in accordance with the Settlement Agreement or in accordance with an order of the Courts, and if necessary an order of the Restructuring Court, obtained on notice to the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, and in any event, after all appeals related thereto have been disposed of.

4.4 Taxes and Interest

- (1) Except as hereinafter provided, all interest earned on the Settlement Proceeds shall accrue to the benefit of the Settlement Class and shall become and remain part of the Trust Account.
- (2) Subject to section 4.4(3), all taxes payable on any interest which accrues on the Settlement Proceeds in the Trust Account or otherwise in relation to the Settlement Proceeds shall be the responsibility of the Settlement Class. The Escrow Agent shall be solely responsible to fulfill all tax reporting and payment requirements arising from the Settlement Proceeds in the Trust Account, including any obligation to report taxable

income and make tax payments. All taxes (including interest and penalties) due with respect to the income earned on the Settlement Proceeds shall be paid from the Trust Account.

(3) The Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall have no responsibility to make any filings relating to the Trust Account and will have no responsibility to pay tax on any income earned by the Settlement Amount or pay any taxes on the monies in the Trust Account, unless this Settlement Agreement is terminated, in which case: (i) the applicable interest earned on the Settlement Amount in the Trust Account or otherwise shall be paid to the Contributing Individual Settling Parties who, in such case, shall be responsible for the payment of all taxes on such interest not previously paid by the Escrow Agent, and (ii) the applicable interest earned on the proceeds from the Assignment in the Trust Account or otherwise shall be paid to the Domfoam Defendants, or such other party that the Restructuring Court may direct, who, in such case, shall be responsible for the payment of all taxes on such interest not previously paid by the Escrow Agent or such other applicable third party that is supervising the escrow.

4.5 Cooperation - Scope of Cooperation

- (1) To the extent not previously provided to the Plaintiffs and subject to the limitations set forth in this Settlement Agreement, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree to provide cooperation to Class Counsel in accordance with the requirements of this section of the Settlement Agreement.
- (2) The Parties respectively acknowledge and agree that all information and Documents provided by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or their respective counsel to Class Counsel, the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs' experts under this Settlement Agreement may be used by Class Counsel, the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs' experts in connection with the investigation, prosecution and settlements of the claims in the Proceedings including, without limitation, the prosecution of the claims in the Proceedings against the Non-Settling Defendants and

named or unnamed co-conspirators, provided that such information and Documents shall not be used directly or indirectly for any other purpose, including the prosecution of any claim against the Releasees. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that all information and Documents provided by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or their respective counsel to Class Counsel, the Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs' experts under this Settlement Agreement shall be held and treated in strict confidence in accordance with this Settlement Agreement and any applicable Confidentiality Order, and shall not be otherwise disclosed to any person in any manner, directly or indirectly, by Class Counsel, the Plaintiffs or the Plaintiffs' experts in any way for any reason except in accordance with this Settlement Agreement and any applicable Confidentiality Order or with the express prior written consent of the Domfoam Defendants, the Braylannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or their respective counsel. Class Counsel, the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs' experts shall take all reasonable steps and precautions to ensure and maintain the confidentiality of information and Documents and any related work product of Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs' experts.

- (3) The cooperation that is to be provided by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties under this Settlement Agreement shall be limited to the allegations contained in the Proceedings, including an alleged unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the price of Foam Products in Canada and/or to allocate markets and customers for the sale of Foam Products in Canada, contrary to Part VI of the Competition Act, civil and/or common law.
- (4) On the Execution Date or at a later time mutually agreed upon by Class Counsel and the Domfoam Defendants, the Domfoam Defendants shall instruct counsel for the Domfoam Defendants to preserve the following Documents and to maintain a copy of such Documents that will remain in the possession of counsel for the Domfoam Defendants for the purpose of compliance by the Domfoam Defendants with section 4.3 of this Settlement Agreement: (i) all pre-existing business Documents that have been produced as of the Execution Date to any governmental authority in Canada in connection with that governmental authority's investigation of potential price-fixing

relating to the sale of Foam Products in Canada or elsewhere, and (ii) all pre-existing business Documents that have been produced as of the Execution Date to the U.S. Plaintiffs in the U.S. Proceedings as part of their discovery obligations in the U.S. Class Proceedings or as part of a U.S. Settlement and that relate to the allegations in the Proceedings.

- (5) Within ten (10) days of the Execution Date or at a time mutually agreed upon by Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties shall:
 - through a meeting or meetings between or among counsel for the Domfoam (a) Defendants, counsel for the Individual Settling Parties and Class Counsel, to be scheduled at a reasonable time and place and for a total duration that does not exceed five (5) hours in the aggregate, provide a preliminary verbal evidentiary proffer, which will include information relating to a general description of the polyurethane foam industry and information relating to participation of the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties in the events that are the subject matter of the Proceedings (the "Initial Proffer'). The Domfoam Defendants, the Individual Settling Parties, Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs shall reach agreement in advance with respect to the participants at the Initial Proffer, and Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs acknowledge in advance that the information that will be provided by the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties during the Initial Proffer shall be limited in light of the fact that the Courts have not considered nor approved Final Orders. During the course of the Initial Proffer, counsel for the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties may use or refer to certain Documents. Class Counsel shall be entitled to view these Documents during the Initial Proffer, but Class Counsel agree that they shall not be permitted to make or retain a copy of such Documents at such time. The Parties further agree that there shall be no audio or video recording and no written transcription or record of any statements made or information

Parties at the Initial Proffer, and that Class Counsel may only make written notes of their own thoughts and impressions at the proffer for the purpose of formulating legal advice, pursuing litigation and/or for the purpose of advancing settlement discussions in the interests of the Settlement Class. The Parties agree that any such written notes and any other communications, information and Documents relating to the Initial Proffer are privileged, shall be kept strictly confidential and will not be used by Class Counsel for any purpose other than the investigation, prosecution and settlement of the claims in the Proceedings.

- (6) At a reasonable time after the Execution Date, and upon reasonable advance notice, and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties shall:
 - (a) subject to the receipt of any necessary consent by governmental authorities in Canada or an order of the Courts overruling any objection by such governmental authorities, produce to Class Counsel all pre-existing business Documents produced to any governmental authority in Canada in connection with that governmental authority's investigation of potential price-fixing relating to the sale of Foam Products in Canada or elsewhere, and all preexisting business Documents produced to the U.S. Plaintiffs in the U.S. Proceedings as part of their discovery obligations in the U.S. Proceedings or as part of a U.S. Settlement and that relate to the allegations in the Proceedings.
- (7) Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date or at a time mutually agreed upon by Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties shall:
 - (a) through a meeting or meetings between counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, counsel for the Individual Settling Parties and Class Counsel, to

be scheduled at a reasonable time and place and for a total duration that does not exceed fifteen (15) hours in the aggregate, provide a verbal evidentiary proffer, which will include information relating to the allegations in the Proceedings including, without limitation, information with respect to dates, locations, subject matter, and participants in any meetings or discussions between competitors relating to the purchase, sale, pricing, discounting, marketing or distributing of Foam Products in Canada (the "Second Proffer"). The Domfoam Defendants, the Individual Settling Parties, Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs shall reach agreement in advance with respect to the participants at the Second Proffer. The Parties agree that there shall be no audio or video recording and no written transcription or record of any statements made or information provided by counsel for the Domfoam Defendants or counsel of the Individual Settling Parties at the Second Proffer, and that Class Counsel may only make written notes of their own thoughts and impressions at the Second Proffer for the purpose of formulating legal advice, pursuing litigation and/or for the purpose of advancing settlement discussions in the interests of the Settlement Class. The Parties agree that any such written notes and any other communications, information and Documents relating to the Second Proffer are privileged, shall be kept strictly confidential and will not be used by Class Counsel for any purpose other than the investigation, prosecution and settlement of the claims in the Proceedings;

(b) make reasonable efforts to provide existing electronic transactional data relating to sales of Foam Products during the Settlement Class Period by the Domfoam Defendants to direct purchasers that involved a billing address or a shipping address in Canada. The Domfoam Defendants represent that they are in the possession of some electronic transactional data relating to various sales of Foam Products by the Domfoam Defendants relating to Canada for part of the Settlement Class Period, which data includes Purchase Price information in respect of purchases by putative members of the Settlement Class who purchased Foam Products directly from the Domfoam Defendants during part of the Settlement Class Period. Counsel for the Domfoam

Defendants agrees to be reasonably available as necessary to respond to Class Counsel's questions regarding the set(s) of electronic transactional data produced by the Domfoam Defendants. If counsel for the Domfoam Defendants is unable to provide an adequate response to Class Counsel's questions, the Domfoam Defendants shall direct that a current employee of the Domfoam Defendants be reasonably available to Class Counsel to respond to Class Counsel's questions. The inability of the employee to respond to Class Counsel's questions or the failure of the current employee to agree to make him or herself available to or otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs shall not constitute a breach or violation of the Domfoam Defendants' obligations under this Settlement Agreement; and

- (c) subject to the receipt of any necessary consent by governmental authorities in Canada or an order of the Courts overruling any objection by such governmental authorities, produce any further Documents that fall within the description of section 4.5(6) of this Settlement Agreement and that have been identified as of the Effective Date, and make reasonable efforts to produce further pre-existing business Documents that have been identified, collected and organized by the Domfoam Defendants as of the Effective Date relating to the allegations in the Proceedings. The Domfoam Defendants represent that they have identified, collected and organized certain Documents relating to the Proceedings, but the Domfoam Defendants have not conducted or completed a comprehensive Document collection or review in light of the fact that the Proceedings remain at an early stage and discovery has not commenced in the Proceedings. The inability or failure of the Domfoam Defendants to conduct or complete a comprehensive Document collection or review as of the Effective Date shall not constitute a breach or violation of the Domfoam Defendants' obligations under this Settlement Agreement.
- (8) Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date or at a time mutually agreed upon by Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual

Settling Parties, and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall:

at the request of Class Counsel and upon reasonable notice, and subject to (a) any legal restrictions under any applicable domestic or foreign laws, and subject to the consent of governmental authorities in Canada or an order of the Courts overruling any objection by such governmental authorities, make themselves available for interviews to provide information, including Documents that are in the personal possession, power or control of the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties, relating to the allegations in the Proceedings in a personal interview with Class Counsel and/or experts retained by Class Counsel in the Proceedings, at a location chosen by the Individual Settling Parties in their sole discretion, Each such interview shall take place on a single day and shall last no more than eight (8) hours, including reasonable breaks, except for good cause, and counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannia Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall be entitled to attend such interviews. The reasonable costs incurred by, and the reasonable expenses of, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties in relation to such interviews shall be the responsibility of the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, The Parties agree that there shall be no audio or video recording and no written transcription or record of any statements made or information during such interview, and that Class Counsel may only make written notes of their own thoughts and impressions at such interviews for the purpose of formulating legal advice, pursuing litigation and/or for the purpose of advancing settlement discussions in the interests of the Settlement Class. The Parties agree that any such written notes and any other communications, information and Documents relating to the interview are privileged, shall be kept strictly confidential and will not be used by Class Counsel for any purpose other than the investigation, prosecution and settlement of the claims in the Proceedings.

- (9) Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date or at a time mutually agreed upon by Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Domfoam Defendants shall:
 - at the request of Class Counsel and upon reasonable notice, and subject to (a) any legal restrictions under any applicable domestic or foreign laws, and subject to the consent of governmental authorities in Canada or an order of the Courts overruling any objection by such governmental authorities, and subject to any order of the Restructuring Court, engage reasonable efforts to make available up to three (3) current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants (other than the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties) who have knowledge of the allegations in the Proceedings to provide information relating to the allegations in the Proceedings in a personal interview with Class Counsel and/or experts retained by Class Counsel in the Proceedings, at a location chosen by the Domfoam Defendants in their sole discretion or, in the alternative, if no such choice is made, at a location to be reasonably agreed between Class Counsel and the director, officer or employee being interviewed. Each such interview shall take place on a single day and shall last no more than eight (8) hours, including reasonable breaks, except for good cause, and counsel for the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties shall be entitled to attend such interviews. The Parties agree that there shall be no audio or video recording and no written transcription or record of any statements made or information during such interview, and that Class Counsel may only make written notes of their own thoughts and impressions at such interviews for the purpose of formulating legal advice, pursuing litigation and/or for the purpose of advancing settlement discussions in the interests of the Settlement Class. The reasonable costs incurred by, and the reasonable expenses of, the current directors, officers or employees in relation to such interviews shall be the responsibility of the Domfoam Defendants. The Parties agree that any such written notes and any other communications, information and Documents relating to the interview are privileged, shall be kept strictly

confidential and will not be used by Class Counsel for any purpose other than the investigation, prosecution and settlement of the claims in the Proceedings. If any such current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants (other than the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties) refuses to provide information, or otherwise cooperate, the Domfoam Defendants shall engage their reasonable efforts to make such person available for an interview but the failure or refusal of any such current director, officer or employee to agree to make him or herself available or to otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs, shall not constitute a breach or violation of the obligations of the Domfoam Defendants under this Settlement Agreement, and shall not provide any basis for the termination of this Settlement Agreement. In the event of such a failure or refusal of any specific current director, officer or employee to agree to make him or herself available or to otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs may seek orders from the Ontario Court requiring such current director, officer and/or employee to provide such information or otherwise cooperate pursuant to this Scttlement Agreement.

Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall, at the request of Class Counsel and upon reasonable notice in circumstances where there is a reasonable necessity for the evidence of the Brayiannis Defendant and/or Individual Settling Parties in the prosecution of the Proceedings, and subject to any legal restrictions under any applicable domestic or foreign laws, and subject to the consent of governmental authorities in Canada or an order of the Courts overruling any objection by such governmental authorities, make themselves reasonably available to provide evidence at trial of the Proceedings in Canada, or to be reasonably available to provide an affidavit or declaration and attend at a cross-examination in support of the certification or authorization motion in the Proceedings or in the event of a summary judgment motion brought against the Plaintiffs in the Proceedings.

At a time following the Effective Date and to be mutually agreed upon by Class (11)Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, and subject to the other provisions of this section, the Domfoam Defendants shall, at the request of Class Counsel and upon reasonable notice in circumstances where there is a reasonable necessity for the evidence of the current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants in the prosecution of the Proceedings, and subject to any legal restrictions under any applicable domestic or foreign laws, and subject to the consent of governmental authorities in Canada or an order of the Courts overruling any objection by such governmental authorities, and subject to any order of the Restructuring Court, engage reasonable efforts to make three (3) current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants (other than the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties) who have knowledge of the allegations in the Proceedings reasonably available to provide evidence at trial of the Proceedings in Canada, or to be reasonably available to provide an affidavit or declaration and attend at a cross-examination in support of the certification or authorization motion in the Proceedings or in the event of a summary judgment motion brought against the Plaintiffs in the Proceedings. If any current director, officer or employee of the Domfoam Defendants (other than the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties) refuses to cooperate under this section, the Domfoam Defendants shall engage their reasonable efforts to make such person available to provide testimony or otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs. The failure or refusal of any such current director, officer or employee to agree to make him or herself available, to provide testimony, to provide an affidavit or declaration, to attend at a cross-examination or to otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs shall not constitute a breach or violation of the obligations of the Domfoam Defendants under this Settlement Agreement, and shall not provide any basis for the termination of this Settlement Agreement. In the event of such failure or refusal of any specific current director, officer or employee to agree to make him or herself available or to otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs may seek orders from the Ontario Court requiring such current director, officer and/or employee to provide such information or otherwise cooperate pursuant to this Settlement Agreement.

Subject to the rules of evidence, the other provisions of this Settlement (12)Agreement and any Confidentiality Order, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties further agree to engage in reasonable efforts to produce acceptable affidavits or other testimony in the Proceedings from the Individual Settling Parties, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or up to three (3) current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants who are qualified to establish for admission into evidence any information or Documents produced by the Individual Settling Parties, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Domfoam Defendants in accordance with this section of this Settlement Agreement, provided that Class Counsel, counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, counsel for the Brayiannis Defendant, and counsel for the Individual Settling Parties, acting reasonably, agree that such evidence from such Individual Settling Parties, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or such current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants is reasonably necessary for the prosecution of the Proceedings and may be presented to the Courts. The reasonable costs incurred by, and the reasonable expenses of, such Individual Settling Parties, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or such current directors, officers or employees of the Domfoam Defendants in relation to such cooperation shall be the responsibility of the Individual Settling Parties, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Domfoam Defendants and/or the current directors, officers or employees. If any such current director, officer or employee of the Domfoam Defendants (other than the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties) refuses to cooperate under this section, the Domfoam Defendants shall engage their reasonable efforts to make such person available to provide testimony or otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs. The failure or refusal of any such current director, officer or employee to agree to make him or herself available, to provide testimony, to provide an affidavit or declaration, to attend at a cross-examination or to otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs shall not constitute a breach or violation of the obligations of the Domfoam Defendants under this Settlement Agreement, and shall not provide any basis for the termination of this Settlement Agreement. In the event of such failure or refusal of any specific current director, officer or employee to agree to make him or herself available or to otherwise cooperate with the Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs may seek orders from the Ontario Court requiring such current director, officer and/or employee to provide such information or otherwise cooperate pursuant to this Settlement Agreement.

- If, in the course of the Proceedings, the Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members (13) and/or Class Counsel conclude that it is reasonably necessary to disclose or provide information or Documents obtained from the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties which are not otherwise publicly available to the Non-Settling Defendants or any affiliates of the Non-Settling Defendants, or to file such information or Documents in the Proceedings, and such disclosure is not otherwise prohibited by this Settlement Agreement or a Confidentiality Order, then the Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members and/or Class Counsel shall provide the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties with an advance written description of the information or Documents that is to be provided to the Non-Settling Defendants or their affiliates or filed with the Courts within a reasonable amount of time in advance of the proposed disclosure, in order that the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties may take steps to protect their interests in respect of such information or Documents in accordance with this Settlement Agreement and/or any Confidentiality Order. For greater certainty, the rights of the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties under this section are in addition to and shall not derogate from any rights that the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties may have under any Confidentiality Order relating to the Proceedings.
- (14) The provisions set forth in section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement shall constitute the exclusive means by which the Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members and Class Counsel may obtain discovery and/or evidentiary disclosure from the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties and the Releasees for the purposes of any certification and/or authorization motion and/or any other motion, for discovery and/or for trial in connection with the Proceedings, and the Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members and Class Counsel shall pursue no other means of discovery and/or evidentiary disclosure as against the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties and/or the Releasees in connection with the

Proceedings, whether in Canada or elsewhere and whether under the rules or laws of this or any other Canadian or foreign jurisdiction.

- The obligations of the Domfoam Defendants under section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to any subsequent requests by the Plaintiffs and/or Class Counsel for the production or access to information and Documents relating to the Domfoam Defendants shall be contingent upon the ability of the Domfoam Defendants to lawfully and/or practically meet such obligations or requests subject to the filing or granting of creditor protection and/or insolvency relief under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and/or related legislation in Canada or the U.S. In particular but without limitation, none of the obligations in this section shall obligate the Domfoam Defendants to provide access to, produce or otherwise make available information or Documents that the Domfoam Defendants are no longer able or permitted to access as a result of the filing or granting of creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or the U.S. The Domfoam Defendants agree that they shall not seek any limitations or restriction from the Restructuring Court on their ability to cooperate in accordance with this Settlement Agreement, provided that the Parties agree that the Domfoam Defendants remain subject to any order from the Restructuring Court. The Plaintiffs and the Domfoam Defendants may, if necessary, respectively seek advance directions or an order from the Restructuring Court or the Courts in respect of compliance with these cooperation provisions during or after the Restructuring Process, and the Parties agree to be bound by any such directions or order from the Restructuring Court or the Courts.
- (16) Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall require, or shall be construed to require, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties to disclose or produce (i) any communications, discussions or agreements between the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties and government authorities in Canada or elsewhere in connection with any regulatory or criminal investigations relating to Foam Products that are not otherwise lawfully in the public domain, (ii) any information or Documents created for or by government authorities in Canada or elsewhere in connection with any regulatory or

criminal investigations relating to Foam Products that are not otherwise lawfully in the public domain and (iii) any notes, transcripts, testimony or other information or Documents relating to meetings or interviews with government authorities in Canada or elsewhere in connection with any regulatory or criminal investigations relating to Foam Products that are not otherwise lawfully in the public domain, however, for greater certainty, this section shall not detract or derogate from any obligation of the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties under section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement to produce pre-existing business Documents that belong to the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties and that were created prior to and independently from any regulatory or criminal investigation relating to Foam Products.

Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall require, or shall be construed to (17)require, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or any Releasees to perform any act which would violate any provincial, federal or foreign law, to disclose or produce any information or Documents prepared by or for counsel for the Domfoam Defendants or the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties or the Releasees, or to disclose or produce any information or Documents in breach of any order, privacy law or rule, regulatory directive, regulatory policy, regulatory agreement or law of any jurisdiction, or subject to solicitor-client privilege, litigation privilege, attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, common interest privilege, joint defence privilege or any other privilege, or to disclose or produce any information or Documents the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties obtained on a privileged or co-operative basis from any party to any action or proceeding who is not a Domfoam Defendant or a Braviannis Defendant. If any information or Documents protected by any privilege and/or by any order, privacy law or rule, regulatory directive, regulatory policy, regulatory agreement or law of any jurisdiction are accidentally or inadvertently produced by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties, the Plaintiffs. and Class Counsel shall promptly return such information and/or Documents to the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties and such information and/or Documents shall not be disclosed or used, directly or

indirectly, except with the express prior written consent of the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties, and the production of such information and/or Documents shall in no way be construed to constitute a waiver of privilege or protection by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties in connection with such information and/or Documents.

(18) Notwithstanding their obligations to cooperate as set forth in section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement, if the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties reasonably believe that any of their applications or agreements with government authorities in Canada or elsewhere in connection with any regulatory or criminal investigations relating to Foam Products (without admitting that any such applications or agreements exist) would be endangered by the production or disclosure of information or Documents which would otherwise be required to be produced to Class Counsel or the Plaintiffs pursuant to the terms of this Settlement Agreement, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Scttling Parties may withhold such information or Documents. To the extent that the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties withhold such information or Documents, pursuant to this section of this Settlement Agreement, the Domfoam Defendants and/or the Individual Settling Parties shall, within thirty (30) days from the date that such information or Documents would otherwise be produced to Class Counsel pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, provide to Class Counsel a description of the type of information or Document to be withheld, and the basis for withholding such information or Documents. The Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties shall work in good faith with such government authorities to obtain permission to disclose the information or Documents being withheld. If, on the date which is twelve (12) months from the execution of this Settlement Agreement and sixty (60) days from the date that such information or Documents would otherwise be produced to Class Counsel pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, information or Documents continue to be withheld by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties pursuant to this section, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties shall forthwith provide such information or Documents to Class Counsel and/or the Plaintiffs, unless any of the Courts, pursuant to motions filed by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties or otherwise, orders to the contrary.

- (19) Subject to the other provisions of section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement, the obligations of the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties to produce Documents pursuant to section 4.5 shall be a continuing obligation to make reasonable additional productions to the extent that the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties collect and identify further Documents following the initial production milestones set out above and that fall within the categories of documentary cooperation that are set out in section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement.
- (20) A material factor influencing the Domfoam Defendants', the Brayiannis Defendant's and the Individual Settling Parties' decision to execute this Settlement Agreement is their desire to limit the burden and expense of the Proceedings. Accordingly, Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs agree to exercise good faith in seeking cooperation from the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties, and to avoid seeking information that is unnecessary, cumulative or duplicative and agree otherwise to avoid imposing undue or unreasonable burden or expense on the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties.
- (21) The Plaintiffs may seek directions and/or orders from the Ontario Court relating to their rights under section 4.5 should the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Defendants not act reasonably in terms of its/their obligations under section 4.5 or act in a manner that is inconsistent with the spirit and intent of section 4.5.
- (22) The Domfoam Defendants', the Brayiannis Defendant's and the Individual Settling Parties' obligation to cooperate as particularized in section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement shall not be affected by the release provisions contained in section 7 of this Settlement Agreement.

The Domfoam Defendants', the Brayiannis Defendant's and the Individual Settling Parties' obligation to cooperate under this Settlement Agreement shall cease at the date of final judgment in the Proceedings as against all Defendants. Following the Effective Date, in the event the Plaintiffs allege a material breach by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties of their obligations under section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement, the non-breaching Party shall have the right to apply to the Ontario Court for specific performance in respect of such obligation. If the Ontario Court finds that a Party, including the Braylannis Defendant or any Individual Settling Parties, has materially breached section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement and orders specific performance as to that Party, and that Party nonetheless fails to comply with such order, this Settlement Agreement shall be terminated as to that, and only that, Party. In the event that the Ontario Court finds the Braylannis Defendant or any Individual Settling Parties not to have materially breached section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement, then this Settlement Agreement shall remain in effect as to that Brayiannis Defendant or as to that Individual Settling Party, Following the Effective Date, in no event shall any Party be permitted to unilaterally terminate this Settlement Agreement on the basis of actual or alleged breach of section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement. Furthermore, in no event shall the Brayiannis Defendant's or any Individual Settling Party' actual or alleged breach of any of the obligations of section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement in any way apply, alter, negate or have any effect whatsoever on (i) the discontinuance of the Proceedings with respect to the Domfoam Defendants, or (ii) the full and final release of Released Claims contemplated by this Agreement as to any other Releasees.

SECTION 5 – DISTRIBUTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT, ASSIGNMENT PROCEEDS, AND ACCRUED INTEREST

5.1 Distribution Protocol

(1) The Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties acknowledge that the Settlement Class includes Persons who purchased Foam Products directly from the Defendants as well as Persons who purchased Foam Products indirectly from third parties, and that this Settlement Agreement makes no determination as to

which Settlement Class Members are entitled to distribution from the Trust Account or as to the formula for determining the allocation of the monies in the Trust Account.

(2) After the Effective Date, at a time wholly within the discretion of Class Counsel, Class Counsel shall seek orders from the Courts approving the Distribution Protocol. Class Counsel shall engage in reasonable consultation with counsel for the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties regarding the terms of the Distribution Protocol. Subject to any amendments by the Courts, the Settlement Class Members shall be compensated pursuant to the Distribution Protocol. After the Effective Date and after the Courts have approved the Distribution Protocol, the remaining monies in the Trust Account shall be transferred by the Escrow Agent to the Claims Administrator for payment in accordance with the Distribution Protocol.

5.2 No Responsibility for Administration or Fees

The Domfosm Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall not have any responsibility, financial obligations or liability whatsoever with respect to the investment, distribution or administration of monies in the Trust Account including, but not limited to, Administration Expenses and Class Counsel Fees.

SECTION 6-OPTING OUT

6.1. Procedure for Opting Out

- (1) A Person may opt out of the Proceedings by completing and signing the Opt Out Form, and by sending the Opt Out Form, by pre-paid mail, courier or fax to the Opt Out Administrator at an address and coordinates to be identified in the Notice of Certification and Settlement Approval contemplated by section 11.1 of this Settlement Agreement.
- (2) A Person who wishes to opt out of the Proceedings must provide the following to the Opt Out Administrator as part of the Opt Out Form:
 - (a) an executed statement requesting that the Person opting out be excluded from the Settlement Class in the Canadian Polyurethane Foam Class Actions National Settlement:

- (b) the full name, current address and telephone number of the Person who is opting out and any former names which are relevant to its purchase of Foam Products in Canada during the Settlement Class Period;
- (c) the name(s), if known, of each entity from whom the Person purchased Foam
 Products in Canada during the Settlement Class Period; and
- (d) particulars of the Purchase Price, if known, and volume, if known, of Foam Products purchased from each such entity during the Settlement Class Period.
- (3) An election to opt out will only be effective if the Opt Out Form is postmarked on or before the Opt Out Deadline.

6.2 Opt Out Report

The Opt Out Administrator shall use the information provided by the Domfoam Defendants pursuant to section 12.2(2) to supplement and confirm the information received pursuant to section 6.1(2) of this Settlement Agreement. Within thirty (30) days of the Opt Out Deadline, the Opt Out Administrator shall provide to counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, counsel for the Individual Settling Parties and Class Counsel, to the extent that such information is known by the Opt Out Administrator, the following information in respect of each Person, if any, who has opted out of the Proceedings:

- (a) the Person's full name, current address and telephone number;
- (b) the reasons for opting out, if given:
- (c) the name(s), if known, of each entity from whom the Person purchased Foam Products during the Settlement Class Period;
- (d) for each such entity, the Purchase Price, if known, and volume, if known, of Foam Products purchased during the Settlement Class Period; and
- (e) a copy of all information provided in the opt out process by the Person electing to opt out.

6.3 Right to Terminate Based on Opt Outs

- (1) The Domfoam Defendants and/or the Individual Settling Parties may terminate this Settlement Agreement in the event that the volume of Foam Products purchased by members of the Settlement Class who opt out of the Proceedings or the number and identity of members of the Settlement Class who opt out of the Proceedings exceeds the Confidential Opt Out Threshold.
- (2) To terminate this Settlement Agreement based on Opt Outs, the Domfoam Defendants and/or the Individual Settling Parties shall give a written notice of termination to Class Counsel no later than twenty-one (21) days after the receipt of the report contemplated by section 6.2 of this Settlement Agreement.

SECTION 7 - RELEASES AND DISMISSALS

7.1 Release of Releasees

Upon the Effective Date, and in consideration of payment of the Settlement Amount and for other valuable consideration set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the Releasers forever and absolutely release the Releasees from the Released Claims.

7.2 Covenant Not To Sue

Notwithstanding section 7.1, for any Settlement Class Members resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all other tortfeasors, the Releasors do not release the Releasees but instead covenant not to sue and undertake not to make any claim in any way or to threaten, commence, participate in, or continue any proceeding in any jurisdiction against the Releasees in respect of or in relation to the Released Claims.

7.3 No Further Claims

The Releasors shall not now or hereafter institute, continue, maintain or assert, either directly or indirectly, whether in Canada or elsewhere, on their own behalf or on behalf of any class or any other Person, any action, suit, cause of action, claim or demand

against any Releasees or against any other Person who may claim contribution or indemnity, or other claims over relief, from any Releasees in respect of any Released Claim or any matter related thereto, except for the continuation of the Proceedings against the Non-Settling Defendants or named or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees.

7.4 Discontinuance of Proceedings against the Domfoam Defendants

The B.C. Proceedings and the Ontario Proceedings shall be discontinued without costs as against the Domfoam Defendants. The Quebec Proceeding shall be settled, without costs and without reservation as against the Domfoam Defendants, and the Parties shall sign and file a declaration of settlement out of court with the Quebec Court. The Parties agree that any such discontinuance and/or declaration of settlement out of court shall not alter, negate or otherwise have any effect on the releases in favour of the Releasees that are set out in section 7 of this Settlement Agreement. For greater certainty, the Parties agree that such discontinuances and/or declarations of settlement out of court shall not impair the Settlement Class' ability to file claims in any creditor protection or insolvency proceedings in Canada and/or the U.S. relating to the Domfoam Defendants, or to seek to enforce the Assignment as against the Domfoam Defendants or any legal representative of the Domfoam Defendants.

7.5 Discontinuance of Other Actions against the Domfoam Defendants

- (1) Upon the Effective Date, all Other Actions which were commenced in Ontario, British Columbia or any other jurisdiction in Canada except Quebec by any Settlement Class Member who does not opt out shall be deemed discontinued against the Domfoam Defendants.
- (2) Upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Settlement Class and the B.C. Settlement Class who does not opt out shall be deemed to irrevocably consent to the discontinuance of his, her or its Other Actions against the Domfoam Defendants.
- (3) Each member of the Quebec Settlement Class who makes a claim under this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to irrevocably consent to the dismissal, without

cost and without reservation, of his, her or its Other Actions against the Domfoam Defendants.

(4) Each Other Action commenced in Quebec by a member of the Quebec Settlement Class who makes a claim under this Settlement Agreement shall be dismissed as against the Domfoam Defendants without costs and without reservation.

7.6 Tolling of Limitation Periods as against the Domfoam Defendants

Following the discontinuance and settlement of the Proceedings set out in section 7.4, all applicable limitation periods for the filing of claims, defences, counterclaims and/or third party claims by the Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class Members shall be suspended or tolled as to the Domfoam Defendants. For greater certainty, the Parties do not agree to the tolling or suspension of any applicable limitation periods that may govern potential claims by the Non-Settling Defendants or named or unnamed co-conspirators as against the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or any other party.

7.7 Dismissal of the Ontarlo Proceedings against the Brayiannis Defendant

The Ontario Proceedings shall be dismissed, without costs and with prejudice as against the Brayiannis Defendant.

7.8 Dismissal of Other Actions against the Releasees

- (1) Upon the Effective Date, all Other Actions which were commenced in Ontario, British Columbia or any other jurisdiction in Canada except Quebec by any member of the Settlement Class who does not opt out shall be deemed dismissed against the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or the Releasees without costs and with prejudice.
- (2) Upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Settlement Class and the B.C. Settlement Class who does not opt out shall be deemed to invevocably consent to the dismissal of his, her or its Other Actions against the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or the Releasees.

- (3) Each member of the Quebec Settlement Class who makes a claim under this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to irrevocably consent to the dismissal, without cost and without reservation, of his, her or its Other Actions against the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or the Releasees.
- (4) Each Other Action commenced in Quebec by a member of the Quebec Settlement Class who makes a claim under this Settlement Agreement shall be dismissed as against the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties or the Releasees, without costs and without reservation.

7.9 Impact of Discontinuance and Dismissals

The Parties agree that the discontinuances, declarations of settlement, dismissals, and consents set out in section 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7 and 7.8 of this Settlement Agreement shall not alter, negate or otherwise have any impact or effect on the releases of the Released Claims by the Releasers in favour of the Releasees that are set out in sections 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 of this Settlement Agreement.

7.10 Releases and Covenants Not to Sue

The form and content of the releases and covenants not to sue contemplated in sections 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 of this Settlement Agreement shall be considered a material term of the Settlement Agreement in favour of the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties and the failure of any Court to approve the releases or covenants not to sue contemplated herein shall give rise to a right of termination by the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties pursuant to section 14 of this Settlement Agreement. For greater certainty, and notwithstanding any other term of this Settlement Agreement, the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall not have any right of termination in the event that any Court fails to approve the releases and/or covenants not to sue contemplated herein, or if any Court approves the releases and/or covenants not to sue contemplated herein in a materially modified form.

SECTION 8 - BAR ORDER, WAIVER OF SOLIDARITY ORDER AND OTHER CLAIMS

8.1 British Columbia and Ontario Bar Orders

The Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree that the British Columbia and Ontario orders approving this Settlement Agreement must include a bar order in respect of the B.C. Proceedings and the Ontario Proceedings. The bar order shall be in a form reasonably agreed to by the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, and shall include:

- (a) a provision that all claims for contribution, indemnity or other claims over, whether asserted or unasserted or asserted in a representative capacity, inclusive of interest, taxes and costs, relating to the Released Claims, which were or could have been brought in the Proceedings or otherwise, by any Non-Settling Defendant or any other Person or party, against a Releasee, or by a Releasee against a Non-Settling Defendant, are barred, prohibited and enjoined in accordance with the terms of this section (unless such claim is made in respect of a claim by an Opt Out);
- (b) a provision governing the rights of the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members to assert claims against the Non-Settling Defendants or named or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees in respect of the Proceedings or otherwise, provided that under such a provision, if a Court determines there is a right of contribution and indemnity or other claim over, whether in equity or in law, by statute or otherwise between co-conspirators, the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members shall not be entitled to claim or recover from the Non-Settling Defendants and/or named or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees that portion of any damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigative costs claimed pursuant to s. 36 of the Competition Act) that corresponds to the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees proven at trial or otherwise, in respect of the Proceedings or

otherwise, and the Court shall have full authority to determine the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees at trial or other disposition of the Proceedings, whether or not the Releasees appear at trial or other disposition and the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall be determined as if the Releasees are parties to the Proceedings and any determination by the Court in respect of the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall only apply in the relevant Proceedings and shall not be binding on the Releasees in any other proceedings; and

(c) a provision governing the ability of the Non-Settling Defendants to bring a motion to seek discovery of the Brayiannis Defendant for the purposes of the continuation of the Proceedings, provided that under such a provision, the Brayiannis Defendant shall retain and reserve all of his rights to oppose such a motion.

8.2 Quebec Waiver or Renunciation of Solidarity Order

- (1) The Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties agree that the Quebec order approving this Settlement Agreement must include an order that provides for a waiver or renunciation of solidarity. The waiver or renunciation of solidarity order shall be in a form reasonably agreed to by the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties, provided that the Quebec Court must take notice of the following undertaking and the order must include the following terms:
 - (a) a provision that the Plaintiffs in Quebec and the Quebec Settlement Class Members expressly waive and renounce the benefit of solidarity with respect to any share of liability, including without limitation liability arising from in solidum obligations, that can be attributed in any way to the Releasees in respect of the Quebec Proceeding (if any), in capital, interest and/or costs;
 - (b) a provision that the Plaintiffs in Quebec and the Quebec Settlement Class Members expressly waive and renounce, to the Releasees' exclusive benefit, to claim or receive payment from the Non-Settling Defendants or any other

person of any amount representing any share of liability that can be attributed in any way to the Releasees in respect of the Quebec Proceeding (if any), in capital, interests and/or costs;

- (c) a provision that the Plaintiffs in Quebec and the Quebec Settlement Class Members release the Non-Settling Defendants and any other person in respect of any share of liability that can be attributed in any way to the Releasees in respect of the Quebec Proceeding (if any), in capital, interests and costs; and
- (d) a provision that the Plaintiffs in Quebec and the Quebec Settlement Class Members will bear the Releasees' share in the contribution in respect of the Quebec Proceeding (if any) that would result from the insolvency of a Non-Settling Defendants or any other Person.
- The Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties (2) further agree that the Quebec order approving this Settlement Agreement must also include a provision that in the event that any person brings an action in warranty or any other claim to obtain from the Releasees an amount representing the share of liability attributed to the Releasees in the Quebec Proceeding (if any) and the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Individual Settling Parties and the other Releasees are not able to obtain the dismissal of such an action or claim through a preliminary motion at first instance before the Quebec Court, then the Plaintiffs in Quebec and the Quebec Settlement Class Members shall undertake to indemnify the Releasees and to save the Releasees harmless in respect of any damage, harm, loss or cost reasonably incurred in respect of such action or claim, provided that any such indemnity will only be paid out of any present or future undistributed settlement or judgment amount collected from the Non-Settling Defendants or named or unnamed co-conspirators or any other person for the benefit of the Plaintiffs and the Quebec Settlement Class Members in respect of the Quebec Proceeding. This indemnity shall not affect the ability of the Plaintiffs to seek interim distributions of settlement funds subject to court approval.

8.3 Material Term

The form and content of the bar orders and the waiver or renunciation of solidarity order contemplated in sections 8.1 and 8.2 of this Settlement Agreement shall be considered a material term of the Settlement Agreement in favour of the Domfoam ... Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties and the failure of any Court to approve the bar orders or the waiver or renunciation of solidarity order contemplated herein shall give rise to a right of termination by the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties pursuant to section 14 of this Settlement Agreement. For greater certainty, and notwithstanding any other term of this Settlement Agreement, the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall not have any right of termination in the event that any Court fails to approve the bar order and/or waiver or renunciation of solidarity order contemplated herein, or if any Court approves the bar order and/or waiver or renunciation of solidarity order contemplated herein in a materially modified form.

SECTION 9 - EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT

9.1 No Admission of Liability

The Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties expressly reserve all of their rights if this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason. The Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree that, whether or not this Settlement Agreement is finally approved, is terminated, or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, this Settlement Agreement and anything contained herein, and any and all negotiations, Documents, discussions and proceedings associated with this Settlement Agreement, and any action taken to carry out this Settlement Agreement, shall not be deemed, construed or interpreted to be an admission of any violation of any statute or law, or of any wrongdoing or liability by any of the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties or by any Releasee, or of the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in the Proceedings, the Other Actions or any other pleading filed by the Plaintiffs or any other Settlement Class Member.

9.2 Agreement Not Evidence

The Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree that, whether or not it is terminated, this Settlement Agreement and anything contained herein, and any and all negotiations, Documents, discussions and proceedings associated with this Settlement Agreement, and any action taken to carry out this Settlement Agreement, shall not be referred to, offered as evidence or received in evidence in any present, pending or future civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, except in a proceeding to approve and/or enforce this Settlement Agreement, or to defend against the assertion of Released Claims, or as otherwise required by law or as provided in this Settlement Agreement.

9.3 No Further Litigation

- (1) No Plaintiff and no Class Counsel may directly or indirectly participate or be involved in or in any way assist with respect to any claim made or action commenced by any Person which relates to or arises from the Released Claims, except in relation to the continued investigation and prosecution of the Proceedings, or any new proceedings, as against any Non-Settling Defendant or any named or unnamed co-conspirators who are not Releasees. However, this subsection shall not be operative to the extent that it is inconsistent with B.C. Class Counsel's obligations under Rule 4.7 of the British Columbia Professional Conduct Handbook.
- (2) For greater certainty, section 9.3(1) does not apply to the involvement of any Person in the continued investigation and prosecution of the Proceedings as against any Non-Settling Defendant or any named or unnamed co-conspirators who are not Releasees. In addition, section 9.3(1) does not apply in respect of any claim in respect of the Domfoam Defendants that may be filed in any creditor protection, restructuring or other bankruptcy proceeding in Canada or the U.S. relating to the Domfoam Defendants pursuant to section 3 of this Settlement Agreement.

SECTION 10 -- CERTIFICATION OR AUTHORIZATION FOR SETTLEMENT ONLY

10.1 Settlement Class and Common Issue

- (1) The Parties agree that the Proceedings shall be certified or authorized as class proceedings as against the Domfoam Defendants and the Brayiannis Defendant solely for purposes of settlement of the Proceedings and the approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Courts.
- (2) The Plaintiffs agree that, in the motions for certification or authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings and for the approval of this Settlement Agreement, the only common issue that the Plaintiffs will seek to define is the Common Issue and the only classes that they will assert are the Settlement Class. The Plaintiffs acknowledge that the Domfoam Defendants and the Braylannis Defendant agree to the definition of the Common Issue for purposes of settlement only.

10.2 Certification or Authorization Without Prejudice in the Event of Termination

In the event this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, the Parties agree that any prior certification or authorization of a Proceeding as a class proceeding pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, or any amended certification of a Proceeding as a class proceeding pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, including the definition of the Settlement Class and the statement of the Common Issue, shall be without prejudice to any position that any of the Parties may later take on any issue in the Proceedings or any other litigation.

SECTION 11 - NOTICE TO SETTLEMENT CLASS

11.1 Notice Required

The proposed Settlement Class shall be given the following Notices: (i) Notice of Approval Hearings; (ii) Notice of Certification and Settlement Approval; and (iii)

termination of this Settlement Agreement if it is terminated after notice provided in accordance with (i) above or as otherwise ordered by the Courts.

11.2 Form, Publication and Distribution of Notice

- (1) The form of the Notices referred to in section 11.1 and the manner of publication and distribution shall be as reasonably agreed to by the Plaintiffs and the Domform Defendants or in such form or manner as approved by the Courts.
- (2) The Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties shall engage in reasonable efforts to work with the parties to the U.S. Settlement and with the Non-Settling Defendants to the Proceedings to coordinate the form, publication and distribution of the Notices pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with the provision of notice for any other settlements that have or may be reached in the Proceedings or the U.S. Proceedings so that, to the extent possible, the Settlement Class receives effective notice on a timely basis and at a reasonable cost.

11.3 Notice of Distribution

Except to the extent provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the form of notice in respect to the administration of this Settlement Agreement and the Distribution Protocol shall be determined by the Courts on motions brought by Class Counsel.

SECTION 12 - ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

12.1 Mechanics of Administration

Except to the extent provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the mechanics of the implementation and administration of this Settlement Agreement and the Distribution Protocol shall be determined by the Courts on motions brought by Class Counsel.

12.2 Information and Assistance

- (1) The Domfoam Defendants will make reasonable efforts to compile a list of the names and addresses of Persons in Canada who purchased Foam Products from the Domfoam Defendants in Canada during the Settlement Class Period.
- (2) The information required by section 12.2(1) shall be delivered to Class Counsel within thirty (30) business days of the Execution Date.
- (3) Class Counsel may use the information provided under section 12.2(2) to advise Persons in Canada who purchased Foam Products from the Domfoam Defendants in Canada during the Settlement Class Period of this Settlement Agreement and the date of the Approval Hearings before the Courts.
- (4) If this Settlement Agreement is not approved, terminated, or otherwise fails to come into effect, all information provided by the Domfoam Defendants pursuant to section 12.2(2) shall be returned or destroyed forthwith in accordance with section 14.2(1)(f), no record of the information so provided shall be retained by Class Counsel in any form whatsoever, and the information so provided may not be used or disclosed, directly or indirectly, in any form or manner by Class Counsel or by any Person to whom Class Counsel has disclosed such information.

SECTION 13-CLASS COUNSEL FEES AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES

- (1) The Escrow Agent shall pay the reasonable costs of the notices referred to in section 11 of this Settlement Agreement, any reasonable costs associated with receiving the written elections to opt out and the costs of the Escrow Agent from the Trust Account.
- (2) Class Counsel may seek the Courts' approval to pay Class Counsel Fees and Administration Expenses contemporaneous with seeking approval of this Settlement Agreement, or at such other time as they shall determine in their sole discretion, provided that Class Counsel agree that they shall not be paid Class Counsel Fees from the Settlement Amount in the event this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated

or otherwise fails to take effect, provided that they may seek payment of professional fees in respect of their representation of the Plaintiffs from the ISP Release Payment contemplated by sections 14.2(1)(g)(A) and 14.4 of this Settlement Agreement. The Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall take no position with respect to Class Counsel's motion for payment of Class Counsel Fees.

- (3) Except as provided in sections 13(1) and 13(2), Class Counsel Fees and Administration Expenses may only be paid out of the Trust Account after the Effective Date.
- (4) The Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall not be liable for any fees, disbursements or taxes, including but not limited to Class Counsel Fees and any fees, disbursements or taxes of Class Counsel's, the Plaintiffs' or the Settlement Class Members' respective lawyers, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives.

SECTION 14 - TERMINATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

14.1 Right of Termination

- (1) The Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall have the right to terminate this Settlement Agreement, in the event that:
 - (a) any Court declines to certify or authorize a Settlement Class or the Settlement
 Class, and the Court's order or judgment has become a Final Order;
 - (b) any Court declines to approve this Settlement Agreement or any material term or part hereof, and the Court's order or judgment has become a Final Order;
 - (c) any Court approves this Settlement Agreement in a materially modified form, subject to the provisions of this Settlement Agreement governing materiality, and the Court's order or judgment has become a Final Order;

- (d) the Domfoam Defendants do not make an application for creditor protection and/or insolvency relief in Canada and/or in the U.S., including but not limited to an application under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and/or related legislation in Canada or the U.S., within thirty (30) days of the Execution Date; or
- (e) any orders approving this Settlement Agreement made by the Ontario Court, the B.C. Court or the Quebec Court do not become Final Orders.
- (2) The Domfoam Defendants and/or the Individual Settling Parties shall further have the right to terminate this Settlement Agreement in the event:
 - (a) any Court declines to certify or authorize a Settlement Class or the Settlement Class, and the Court's order or judgment has become a Final Order;
 - (b) any Court declines to approve this Settlement Agreement or any material term or part hereof, and the Court's order or judgment has become a Final Order;
 - (c) any Court approves this Settlement Agreement in a materially modified form, subject to the provisions of this Settlement Agreement governing materiality, and the Court's order or judgment has become a Final Order;
 - (d) any orders approving this Settlement Agreement made by the Ontario Court,
 the B.C. Court or the Quebec Court do not become Final Orders;
 - (e) the form and content of any of the Final Orders approved by the B.C. Court, the Ontario Court and the Quebec Court fails to comply with sections 2.4(2) and 2.4(3) of this Settlement Agreement;
 - (f) the form and content of any of the Final Orders approved by the B.C. Court, the Ontario Court and the Quebec Court fails to comply with sections 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 8.1 and 8.2 of this Settlement Agreement; or

- (g) the Confidential Opt Out Threshold is exceeded and the Domfoam Defendants and/or the Individual Settling Parties provide written notice of termination in accordance with section 6.3(2) of this Settlement Agreement.
- (3) To exercise a right of termination under section 14.1(1) or 14.1(2), a terminating party shall deliver a written notice of termination pursuant to section 15.18 of this Settlement Agreement. Upon delivery of such a written notice, this Settlement Agreement shall be terminated and, except as provided for in section 14.4, it shall be null and void and have no further force or effect, shall not be binding on the Parties, and shall not be used as evidence or otherwise in the Proceedings or any litigation.
- (4) Subject to section 14.1(5), any order, ruling or determination made by any Court that is not substantially in the form of its respective order annexed as Schedule "B1", "B2" or "B3" shall be deemed to be a material modification of this Settlement Agreement and shall provide a basis for the Domfoam Defendants' termination and/or the Individual Settling Parties' termination of this Settlement Agreement, provided however that the Domfoam Defendants and/or the Individual Settling Parties may agree to waive this provision.
- (5) Any order, ruling or determination made by any Court with respect to Class Counsel Fees and/or Distribution Protocol shall not be deemed to be a material modification of all, or a part, of this Settlement Agreement and shall not provide any basis for the termination of this Settlement Agreement.
- (6) For greater certainty, the Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties acknowledge and agree that they shall not rely on any future ruling or proceedings arising from or in connection with the pending appeals before the Supreme Court of Canada in respect of Sun-Rype Products Ltd. v. Archer Daniels Midland Company (Supreme Court of Canada File #34283) and Pro-Sys Consultants Ltd. v. Microsoft Corporation (Supreme Court of Canada File #34282) as a ground or basis for terminating this Settlement Agreement pursuant to sections 14.1(1) and 14.1(2) or otherwise at law.

14.2 If Settlement Agreement is Terminated

- (1) If this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, the Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree:
 - (a) no motion to certify or authorize any of the Proceedings as a class proceeding on the basis of this Settlement Agreement or to approve this Settlement Agreement, which has not been decided, shall proceed;
 - (b) any order certifying or authorizing any of the Proceedings as a class proceeding on the basis of the Settlement Agreement or approving this Settlement Agreement shall be set aside and declared null and void and of no force or effect, and anyone shall be estopped from asserting otherwise;
 - (c) any prior certification or authorization of any Proceedings as a class proceeding that has occurred after the date of this Settlement Agreement, including the definitions of the Settlement Class and the Common Issue, and any prior procedural or substantive ruling in respect of the ongoing Proceedings that has occurred after the date of this Settlement Agreement, shall be without prejudice to any position that the Domfoam Defendants, the Braylannis Defendant or the Individual Settling Parties may later take on any procedural or substantive issue in the ongoing Proceedings or any other litigation;
 - (d) any appearance, attendance, filing or any other action or step taken by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties pursuant to or relating to this Settlement Agreement shall be without prejudice to any position that the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties may later take in respect of the jurisdiction of the Courts or any other court (with the exception of the jurisdiction of the B.C. Court), including a motion by one or more of

the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties seeking to quash service exjuris or to otherwise challenge the jurisdiction of the Courts or any other court over such defendant in the Proceedings or any other litigation;

- (e) the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to determine a new timetable, if the Proceedings are to continue against the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties;
- (f) within ten (10) days of such termination or failure having occurred, Class Counsel shall destroy all Documents or other information provided by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties as cooperation under this Settlement Agreement, or containing or reflecting information derived from such Documents or other information, and to the extent that Class Counsel has disclosed any Documents or other information provided by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties to any other person (including Plaintiffs' experts), shall recover and destroy such Documents and other information. Class Counsel shall provide the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties with a written certification by Class Counsel of such destruction. Nothing contained in this subsection shall be construed to require Class Counsel to destroy any of their work product; and
- (g) each Class Counsel shall forthwith deliver consents in writing to counsel for the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties authorizing the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties to bring motions before each of the Courts for orders:
 - (A) directing that the balance of the Settlement Amount in the Trust Account less the ISP Release Payment shall be paid to the Contributing Individual Settling Parties, in accordance with section

14.3 of this Settlement Agreement, and that, subject to any contrary order of the Courts, the ISP Release Payment be paid to or held for the benefit of the Plaintiffs (individually in their capacity as named Plaintiffs only);

- (B) directing that the balance of the proceeds from the Assignment in the Trust Account shall be paid to the Domfoam Defendants, in accordance with section 14.3 of this Settlement Agreement;
- (C) declaring that this Settlement Agreement to be null and void and of no force or effect (except for the provisions set cut in section 14.4 of this Settlement Agreement); and
- (D) setting aside any order certifying or authorizing the Proceedings as a class proceedings on the basis of this Settlement Agreement.

14.3 Allocation of Monies in the Trust Account Following Termination

- (1) For greater certainty, if this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, the Parties agree that the Assignment shall be terminated and shall have no legal effect.
- (2) If this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, the Escrow Agent shall return to the Contributing Individual Settling Parties all monies from the Settlement Amount in the Trust Account including all accrued interest less the ISP Release Payment, and shall return to the Domfoam Defendants all monies from the proceeds of the Assignment received in the Trust Account as of the applicable date including all accrued interest but less the costs of the Escrow Agent and the Opt Out Administrator and the Notices that have been incurred but not paid to date, provided however, if the proceeds of the Assignment received as of the applicable date including all accrued interest are not sufficient to cover such costs, then the Escrow Agent may apply or deduct any such remaining costs (up to a maximum amount of three-hundred and seventy-five thousand Canadlan dollars (CAD \$375,000)) against the Settlement Amount including all accrued

interest less the ISP Release Payment. The Escrow Agent shall remit such monies to the Contributing Individual Settling Parties and/or the Domfoam Defendants within thirty (30) business days of such termination or event having occurred. The ISP Release Payment shall be held or distributed pursuant to the Court's discretion under section 14.2(1)(g)(A).

14.4 Survival of Certain Releases Following Termination

Notwithstanding sections 14.2 and 14.3 of this Settlement Agreement, in the event that the Contributing Individual Settling Parties comply with their obligations of payment under section 4.1 of this Settlement Agreement and the Individual Settling Parties and the Brayiannis Defendant comply with their obligations of cooperation set out in section 4.5 of this Agreement prior to the Approval Hearings, but this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason that does not arise as a result from a breach of the Individual Settling Parties or the Brayiannis Defendant, and the Courts approve an order under section 14.2(1)(g)(A) directing that the ISP Release Payment can be paid to, or held for the benefit of, the Plaintiffs (individually in their capacity as named Plaintiffs only), the provisions of sections 14.2, 14.3, 14.4 and 14.5 shall apply and bind the Parties, but the Plaintiffs excluding Option Consommateurs (individually in their capacity as named Plaintiffs only) shall still be deemed to have fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Releasees, shall covenant not to sue the Releasees with respect to all such Released Claims, and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing or prosecuting any such Released Claims against the Releasees so long as the Individual Settling Parties and the Brayiannis Defendant continue to provide cooperation pursuant to section 4.5 of this Agreement. Section 7.2 applies to any release under this section. For greater certainty, nothing in this section purports to affect the rights of any other Settlement Class Members as against the Individual Settling Parties and the Brayiannis Defendant, and the Individual Settling Parties and the Brayiannis Defendant will not object to the addition or substitution of plaintiffs to allow for the continuation of the Proceedings as proposed class proceedings, will not raise limitations or estoppel arguments as against any other putative member of the Settlement Class arising from this section, nor will they raise conflict of interest arguments as against the Plaintiffs or Class Counsel arising from this section, provided the Plaintiffs (including Option Consommateurs) and Class Counsel shall not in any circumstance use any information or Documents obtained or derived in respect of the Individual Settling Parties and the Brayiannis Defendant pursuant to section 4.5 of this Settlement Agreement for the purpose of asserting any claims relating to the Released Claims against any Releasees in any proceeding or other forum, unless such information or Documents are lawfully obtained through other means.

14.5 Survival of Provisions after Termination

If this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, the provisions of sections 4.5(2), 4.5(11), 9, 10.2, 11, 12.2(4), 13(1), 14 and 15(18) (and any additional provisions governing confidentiality and any addition provisions governing cooperation to the extent that there is continuing cooperation) shall survive the termination and continue in full force and effect. The definitions and Schedules shall survive only for the limited purpose of the interpretation of sections 4.5(2), 4.5(11), 9, 10.2, 11, 12.2(4), 13(1), 14 and 15(18) (and any additional provisions governing confidentiality and any additional provisions governing cooperation to the extent that there is continuing cooperation) within the meaning of this Settlement Agreement, but for no other purposes. All other provisions of this Settlement Agreement and all other obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall cease immediately.

SECTION 15 - MISCELLANEOUS

15.1 Releasees Have No Liability for Administration

The Releasees have no responsibility for and no liability whatsoever with respect to the administration of the Settlement Agreement or the Distribution Protocol.

15.2 Motions for Directions

- (1) Class Counsel, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and/or the Individual Settling Parties may apply to the Courts for directions in respect of this Settlement Agreement.
- (2) Class Counsel may apply to the Courts for directions in respect of the Distribution Protocol.
- (3) All motions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement shall be on notice to the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties, except for those motions concerned solely with the implementation and administration of the Distribution Protocol.

15.3 Headings, etc.

In this Settlement Agreement:

- (a) the division of the Settlement Agreement into sections and the insertion of headings are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect the construction or interpretation of this Settlement Agreement; and
- (b) the terms "this Settlement Agreement", "hereof", "hereunder", "herein" and similar expressions refer to this Settlement Agreement and not to any particular section or other portion of this Settlement Agreement.

15.4 Computation of Time

In the computation of time in this Settlement Agreement, except where a contrary intention appears.

(a) where there is a reference to a number of days between two events, the number of days shall be counted by excluding the day on which the first event happens and including the day on which the second event happens, including all calendar days; and (b) only in the case where the time for doing an act expires on a holiday, the act may be done on the next day that is not a holiday.

15.5 Ongoing Jurisdiction

- (1) Each of the Courts shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the Proceeding commenced in its jurisdiction, the parties thereto and Class Counsel Fees in that Proceeding.
- (2) No Party shall ask a Court to make any order or give a direction in respect of any matter of shared jurisdiction unless that order or direction is conditional upon a complementary order or direction being made or given by the other relevant Court(s) with which it shares jurisdiction over that matter.
- (3) Notwithstanding sections 15.5(1) and 15.5(2), the Ontario Court shall exercise jurisdiction with respect to implementation, administration, interpretation and enforcement of the terms of this Settlement Agreement. Issues related to the administration of this Settlement Agreement, the Trust Account, and other matters not specifically related to the claim of a Quebec Settlement Class Member or a B.C. Settlement Class Member shall be determined by the Ontario Court.
- (4) For the purposes of settlement only and contingent on the approvals by the Courts as provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the Domfoam Defendants, the Braylannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the Courts solely for the purpose of implementing, administering and enforcing this Settlement Agreement. The Parties acknowledge and confirm that the Domfoam Defendants, the Braylannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties do not attorn to the Courts for any other purpose or proceeding and that the Domfoam Defendants, the Braylannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties otherwise reserve all of their other existing jurisdictional rights.
- (5) The Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties may apply to the Ontario Court for direction in respect of the implementation, administration and enforcement of this Settlement Agreement.

15.6 Governing Law

This Settlement Agreement shall be governed by and construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario.

15.7 Entire Agreement

This Settlement Agreement, including the Confidential Opt Out Agreement, constitutes the entire agreement among the Parties, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings, undertakings, negotiations, representations, promises, agreements, agreements in principle and memoranda of understanding in connection herewith. None of the Parties will be bound by any prior obligations, conditions or representations with respect to the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement, unless expressly incorporated herein.

15.8 Amendments

This Settlement Agreement may not be modified or amended except in writing and on consent of all Parties hereto and any such modification or amendment must be approved by the Courts with jurisdiction over the matter to which the amendment relates.

15.9 Binding Effect

This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon, and enure to the benefit of, the Plaintiffs, the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant, the Individual Settling Parties, the Settlement Class Members, the Releasers, the Releasers, and all of their successors and assigns. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each and every covenant and agreement made herein by the Plaintiffs shall be binding upon all Releasors and each and every covenant and agreement made herein by the Domfoam Defendants, the Brayiannis Defendant and the Individual Settling Parties shall be binding upon all of the Releasees.

15.10 Counterparts

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same agreement, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Settlement Agreement.

15.11 Negotiated Agreement

This Settlement Agreement has been the subject of negotiations and discussions among the undersigned, each of which has been represented and advised by competent counsel, so that any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Settlement Agreement shall have no force and effect. The Parties further agree that the language contained in or not contained in previous drafts of this Settlement Agreement, or any agreement in principle, shall have no bearing upon the proper interpretation of this Settlement Agreement.

15.12 Language

- (1) The Parties acknowledge that they have required and consented that this Settlement Agreement and all related documents be prepared in English; les parties reconnaissent avoir exigé que la présente convention et tous les documents connexes soient rédigés en anglais. Nevertheless, if required by law or by the Courts, the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties shall prepare a French translation of the Settlement Agreement including the Schedules at their own expense. The Parties agree that such translation is for convenience only. In the event of any dispute as to the interpretation or application of this Settlement Agreement, only the English version shall govern.
- (2) The cost of translating the Notices, claims forms, Opt Out Forms or other documents referenced to or flowing from this Settlement Agreement into French and/or any other language shall, in the event such translation is required by law or by the Courts, be paid by the Domfoam Defendants and the Individual Settling Parties.

15.13 Transaction

The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement constitutes a transaction in accordance with Articles 2631 and following of the Civil Code of Quebec, and the Parties are hereby renouncing to any errors of fact, of law and/or of calculation.

15.14 Recitals

The recitals to this Settlement Agreement are true and form part of the Settlement Agreement.

15.15 Schedules

The Schedules annexed hereto form part of this Settlement Agreement,

15.16 Acknowledgements

Each of the Parties hereby affirms and acknowledges that:

- (a) he, she or a representative of the Party with the authority to bind the Party with respect to the matters set forth herein has read and understood the Settlement Agreement;
- (b) the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the effects thereof have been fully explained to him, her or the Party's representative by his, her or its counsel;
- (c) he, she or the Party's representative fully understands each term of the Settlement Agreement and its effect; and
- (d) no Party has relied upon any statement, representation or inducement (whether material, false, negligently made or otherwise) of any other Party, beyond the terms of this Settlement Agreement, with respect to the first Party's decision to execute this Settlement Agreement.

15.17 Authorized Signatures

Each of the undersigned represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of, and to execute, this Settlement Agreement.

15.18 Notice

Any and all notices, requests, directives, or communications required by this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and shall, unless otherwise expressly provided herein, be given personally, by express courier, by postage prepaid mail, by facsimile transmission, or by email pdf files, and shall be addressed as follows:

For the Plaintiffs and for Class Counsel in the Proceedings:

Harvey T. Strosberg, Q.C. and Heather Rumble Peterson

SUTTS, STROSBERG LLP 600-251 Goyeau Street Windsor, ON N9A 6V4

Tel: 519-258-9333 Fax: 519-258-9527

Email: harvey@strosbergco.com hpeterson@strosbergco.com

Daniel Belleau and Maxime Nasr

BELLEAU LAPOINTE 306 Place d'Youville, Suite B-10 Montreal, QC H2Y 2B6

Tel: 514-987-6700 Fax: 514-987-6886

Email: dbelleau@belleaulapointe.com mnasr@belleaulapointe.com Ward Branch and Luciana Brasil

BRANCH MACMASTER 1410 - 777 Homby Street Vancouver, BC V7G 3E2

Tel: 604-654-2966 Fax: 604-684-3429

Email: <u>wbranch@branmac.com</u> <u>lbrasil@branmac.com</u>

J.J. Camp, Q.C. and Reidar Mogerman

CAMP FIORANTE MATTHEWS MOGERMAN 400 – 856 Homer St. Vancouver, B.C. V6B 2W1

Tel: 604-689-7555
Fax: 604-689-7554

Email: jjcamp@cfmlawyers.ca mogerman@cfmlawyers.ca

For the Domfoam Defendants:

Christopher P. Naudie

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP P.O. Box 50 1 First Canadian Place Toronto, ON M5X 1B8 Tcl: 416-862-6811

Tcl: 416-862-6811
Fax: 416-862-8666
Email: cuaudie@osler.com

For Tony Vallecoccia and John Howard:

Robert Tanner

TANNER & GUINEY
130 Adelaide Street West, Suite 3425
P.O.Box 34
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3P5
Tel: 416-862-7745
Fax: 416-862-7874

Email: rgtanner@tannerguiney.com

For Bruce Bradley, Dean Braylannis, Michael Cappuccino, Pietro (Peter) Foti, Duke Greenstein, Dale McNeill, James William Sproule, Robert Valle and Fred Zickmantel:

Jack Berkow

BERKOW COHEN LLP 141 Adelaide Street West Suite 400 Toronto, Ontario M5H 3L5

Tel: 416-364-4900 Fax: 416-364-3865

Email: jberkow@berkowcohen.com

The Parties have executed this Settlement Agreement as of the date on the cover

pagę,

"HIT NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG. LTD, TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LID., OPTION CONSOMMATEURS and KARINE

ROBILARD, by their counsel

By:

Name: Branch MacMaster LLP
Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings

Bý:

Name: Sutts, Strosberg LLP

Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings

By:

Name: Camp Florante Matthews Mogerman

Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings

By:

Name: Belleau Lapointe : Title: Counsel in the Quebec Proceeding

The Parties have executed this Settlement Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

"HI NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG. LTD, TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD., OPTION CONSOMMATEURS and KARINE ROBILLARD, by their counsel

Ву:	Name: Branch MacMaster LLP
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
Ву:	
Ву:	Name: College Fiorante Matthews Magerman Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
Ву:	
	Name: Belleau Lapointe Title: Counsel in the Quebec Proceeding

The Parties have executed this Settlement Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

"HI! NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO.
LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MEG, LTD,
TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD.,
OPTION CONSOMMATEURS and KARINE
ROBILLARD, by their counsel

By:	
	Name: Branch MacMaster LLP
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
By;	
	Name: Sutts, Strosberg LLP
	Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings
By:	
	Name: Camp Florante Matthews Mogerman
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
By:	Della, la ninta
•	Name: Belleau Lapointe
	Title: Counsel in the Quebec Proceeding

By:	1 received
	Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel
	ritie; Canadian Counser
	FOAM INDUSTRIES (1995) INC., by its
counsel	
By:	"T. Malure
٠,٠	Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP
	Title: Canadian Counsel
A_7. SPC	DNGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD., by its
counsel	Middle Political Colored Line, by the
Ву:	l. L. Handy
	Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP
	Title: Canadian Counsel
BRUCE	BRADLEY
T	
By:	
DEANI	arayiannis
DEAN I	Brayiannis

The Parties have executed this Settlement Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

"HI! NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO.
LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG. LTD,
TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD.,
OPTION CONSOMMATEURS and KARINE
ROBILLARD, by their counsel

By:	
	Name: Branch MacMaster LLP
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
By:	
	Name: Sutts, Strosberg LLP
	Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings
Ву:	
	Name: Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
By:	
	Name: Belleau Lapointe
	Title: Counsel in the Quebec Proceeding
Ву:	Archen Mozint
	Name: Andrew Merganti
	Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings

DOMFO	AM INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its counsel
By:	•
	Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel
VALLE)	FOAM INDUSTRIES (1995) INC., by its
Ву:	
	Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel
A-Z SPO counsel By:	NGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD., by its
	Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel
BRUCE :	BRADLEY Bruce Bruslley
	The same of
DEAN B	RAYIANNIS
Ву:	

DOMEORIN INTERNATIONAL,	MAC., by its counsel
Ву:	
Name: Osler, Hoskin & H	arcourt LLP
Title: Canadian Counsel	
VALLE FOAM INDUSTRIES (19 counsel	95) INC., by its
Ву:	
Name; Osler, Hoskin & H	arcourt I T D
Title: Canadian Counsel	alcourt CTÉ
A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUC counsel By:	TS LTD., by its
Name: Osler, Hoskin & H	arcourt I I P
Title: Canadian Counsel	atoonit DIN
BRUCE BRADLEY	
_	
Ву:	
•	•
DEAN BRAYIANNIS	
- 1)/	7
Ву:	
Y	,

MICHAEL CAPPICCINO By:
sy: (two .
PIETRO (PETER) FOTI
Ву:
DUKE GREENSTEIN
Ву:
•
JOHN HOWARD
Ву:
DALE MCNEIL
Ву:

	MICHAEL CAPPUCCINO
	Ву:
	. •
	PLETRO (PETER) FOTI
	By: Pietro (Pefer) Foto
	DUKE GREENSTEIN
•	Ву:
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
•	
	JOHN HOWARD
	Ву:
	•
	DALE MCNEIL
	Ву:

MICHAE	EL CAPPUCCINO
Ву:	
PIETRO	(PETER) FOTI
Ву:	
DEITEN A	CONTENTEMENT
	GREENSTEIN
Ву:	0:000
TOTAL!	HOWARD
	HOWARD
Ву:	
DALE	MCNEIL
By;	
ъy,	

MICHAEL CAPPUCÇINO
Ву:
•
PIETRO (PETER) FOTI
Ву;
·
DUKE GREENSTEIN
Ву:
JOHN HOWARD
By: John C Howard
<i>O</i>
·
DALEMONEIL
Вуг

MICHAEL CAPPUCCINO
Ву:
PIETRO (PETER) FOTI
Ву:
DUKE GREENSTEIN
Ву:
Dy.
•
JOHN HOWARD
By:

JAMES WILLIAM SPROULE
Ву:
/ -
ROBERT VALLE
Ву:
TONY VALLECOCCIA
By:
FRED ZICKMANTEL
Ву:

JAMES WILLIAM SPROULE
Ву;
Nonzama, and
ROBERT VALLE
By, Ichalla
•
•
TONY VALLECOCCIA
Ву:
~
FRED ZICKMANTEL
Ву:

JAMES WILLIAM SPROULE
Ву:
ROBERT VALLE
Ву:
•
TONY VALLECOCCIA
By: Ta Collewan
By: 2 12 14 00000
FRED ZICKMANTEL
Ву:

JAMES WILLIAM SPROULE	
Ву:	

ROBERT VALLE	
Ву:	
TONY VALLECOCCIA	
Ву:	

SCHEDULE "A"

Proceedings

#.'	Court and File No.	Plaintiffs' Counseli	Style of Cause	
1	Supreme Court of British Columbia (Vancouver Registry) (Court File No. VLC-S-S-106362)	Branch MacMaster LLP	Majestic Mattress Mfg., Ltd. v. Vitafoam Products Canada Limited et al.	Vitafoam Products Canada Limited, Vitafoam Incorporated, Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company, Valle Foam Industries (1995) Inc., Domfoam International, Inc., A-Z Sponge & Foam Products Ltd., The Carpenter Company, Woodbridge Foam Corporation, Flexible Foam Products, Inc., Scottdel Inc., Foamex Innovations, Inc., and Future Foam, Inc.
2	Supreme Court of British Columbia (Vancouver Registry) (Court File No. S-106213)	Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman	Trillium Project Management Ltd. v. Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company et al.	Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company, Valle Foam Industries, Inc., Domfoam International, Inc., The Carpenter Co., Carpenter Canada Co., The Woodbridge Group, Flexible Foam Products, Inc., Scottdel Inc., Foamex Innovations Canada, Inc., Future Foam, Inc., Vitafoam Products Canada Limited and Vitafoam, Inc.

#	Court and File No.	Plaintiffs' Counsel	Style of Cause	
3	Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Windsor) (Court File No. CV-10-15164)	Sutts, Strosberg	"Hi! Neighbor" Floor Covering Co. Limited v. Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company	Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company, Valle Foam Industries (1995), Inc., Domfoam International, Inc., The Carpenter Co., Carpenter Canada Co., Woodbridge Foam Corporation, Flexible Foam Products, Inc., Foamex Innovations, Inc., Future Foam, Inc., Leggett & Platt, Inc., Vitafoam Products Canada Limited, Vitafoam, Inc., Dean Brayiannis, Bruce Schneider, Robert Magee and Michael Lajambe
4	Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Windsor) (Court File No. CV-11-17279)	Sutts Strosberg	"Hil Neighbor" Floor Covering Co. Limited v. Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company	Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company, Valle Foam Industries (1995), Inc., Domfoam International, Inc., The Carpenter Co., Carpenter Canada Co., Flexible Foam Products, Inc., Foamex Innovations, Inc., Future Foam, Inc., Leggett & Platt, Inc., Mohawk Industries Inc., Vitafoam Products Canada Limited, Vitafoam, Inc., Woodbridge Foam Corporation, David Carson, Louis Carson, Dean Brayiannis, Bruce Schneider, Michael Lajambe and Robert Magee

#	Court and File No.	Plaintiffs' Counsel	Style of Cause	
5	Superior Court of Québec (Montreal) (Court File No. 500-06-000524- 104)	Belleau Lapointe	Karine Robillard c. Produits Vitafoam Canada Limitée et al., et Vitafoam Inc.	Produits Vitafoam Canada Limitée, and Vitafoam Inc.

SCHEDULES B1, B2 B3, C - INTENTIONALLY DELETED

ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT

Made as of January 10, 2012

Between

Dompoam international, inc., Valle foam industries (1995) inc. and A-Z sponge & foam products Ltd.

(the "Domfoam Defendants")

"HI NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG, LTD., TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD., OPTION CONSOMMATEURS and KARINE ROBILLARD

(the "Plaintiffs")

ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT

- Definitions. The definitions and recitals set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Assignment and Assumption Agreement.
- 2. Assignment. The Domfoam Defendants hereby absolutely and unconditionally grant, assign, convey and set over unto the Plaintiffs, in trust for the Settlement Class, as of the date of this Assignment and Assumption Agreement, any potential rights, interests and title that the Domfoam Defendants, or any one or more of them, may have in respect of the potential distribution proceeds arising from the U.S. Urethane Settlement as a result of a claim or claims that any Domfoam Defendant have filed or may file as part of the U.S. Urethane Settlement that has been approved by the U.S. Courts as of the Execution Date, provided that any such assignment shall be strictly limited to a maximum amount or sum of the first two hundred thousand Canadian dollars (CAD \$200,000) that any Domfoam Defendant may receive as distribution proceeds as part of the U.S. Urethane Settlement.
- 3. Severability. Any provision of this Assignment and Assumption Agreement that is prohibited or unenforceable in any jurisdiction will, as to that jurisdiction, be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition or unenforceability and will be severed from the balance of this Assignment and Assumption Agreement, all without affecting the remaining provisions of this Assignment and Assumption Agreement or affecting the validity or enforceability of such provision in any other jurisdiction.

47

- Governing Law. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement will be governed by and
 construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada
 applicable in Ontario.
- Successors and Assigns. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement enurs to the benefit of, and is binding on, the Plaintiffs and their successors and assigns, and enurs to the benefit of, and is binding on, the Domfoam Defendants and their successors and assigns.

6. Execution. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same document, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of this agreement.

The Parties have executed this Assignment and Assumption Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

Ξį

"HI NEIGHBOR" PLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG, LTD, TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD, and KARINE ROBILLARD, by their Jourso

Name: Brench MacMaster LLP
Title: Louaset in the B.C. Proceedings

Name: Suits, Strosberg LLP
Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings

Name: Camp Florunte Matthews Mogerman
Title: Counted in the B.C. Proceedings

Name: Belleau Lapointe

Title: Counsel in the Quebea Proceeding

Execution. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same document, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of this agreement.

The Parties have executed this Assignment and Assumption Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

> "HII NEIGHBOR" PLOOR COVERING CO. LIMPTED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG, LTD, TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD. and KARINE ROBILLARD, by their counsel

Name: Branch Mucillaster LLP Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings

Name: Sutis, Strospery LLP
Thie: County of the Ontario Proceedings

Name: Campifiorante Matthews Megagian Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings

Name: Belleau Lapointe

Title: Counsel in the Quotice Proceeding .

Execution. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement may be executed in 6. counterparts, all of which taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same document, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of this agreement.

The Parties have executed this Assignment and Assumption Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

> "HI NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MRG, LID, TRILITIM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LID, and KARINE ROBILLARD, by their coursel

Name: Branch MacMaster LLP Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings

Name: Sutts, Shosberg LLP

Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings

Name: Camp Florante Matthews Mogerman Fitle: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings

Name: Bellenn Lapointe

Title: Counsel in the Quebec Proceeding

6. Execution. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same document, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of this agreement.

The Parties have executed this Assignment and Assumption Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

"HI NEIGHBOR" FLOOR COVERING CO. LIMITED, MAJESTIC MATTRESS MFG. LID, TRILLIUM PROJECT MANAGEMENT LTD., OPTION CONSOMMATEURS and KARINE ROBILLARD, by their counsel

Ву:	
	Name: Branch MacMaster LLP
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
By:	
	Name: Sutts, Strosberg LLP
	Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings
Ву:	
	Name: Camp Piorante Matthews Mogerman
	Title: Counsel in the B.C. Proceedings
Ву;	
•	Name: Belleau Lapointe
	Title: Counsel in the Quebec Proceeding
Ву:	Anchew Mozant
	Name: Andrew Morganti
	Title: Counsel in the Ontario Proceedings

DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its counsel

Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel

VALLE FOAM INDUSTRIES (1995) INC., by its counsel By:

Name: Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel

A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LITD., by its counsel

By:

Name: Osler, Hoskin & Haroourt LLP Title: Canadian Counsel

TAB B

This is Exhibit "B" referred to

in the Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia

Sworn this 22nd

day of October, 2014.

A Commissioner for Taking Affidavits

Pauline Erna Leitgeb, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Minden Gross LLP, Barristers and Solicitors. Expires July 4, 2016.



Geloveyt 409/237/7198 Magness 614/908/4111 Terense 418-075/6000

Tall Fees, 1-000-557-5103 in/6654 (Lungsuges, com www.All.ungsuges, com

Рекейдердын амиминан терме ТР Миникаа жасы 1971

REVENU QUÉBEC

Objections Branch - Montréal

CERTIFIED - Xpresspost LP 336 979 976 CA

Montréal, March 25, 2014

LIOR SIMANTOV

DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC.

8785, BOUL. LANGELIER

SAINT-LEONARD (QUEBEC) H1P 2C9

Re: Notice of Objection

Our ref.: 315244 and 315238

Dear Mr. Simantov:

Further to the notices of objection you submitted to us, please be advised that the following changes will be made to your assessment:

GST for the periods 2011-11-30, 2011-04-30, 2010-04-30 and 2009-04-30

The tax assessed, in the amount of \$1,664,824.52, will be revised to \$0.00 because the objecting party is a specified member of a qualifying group within the meaning of section



Translators & Interpreters

Calgory (413-237-1495) Pentreat 014-246-4142 Turning 416-475-5043 Tall Prope 1-000-507, 9,003 info@AllEuropaschmicatin www.AllEuropascha.com

feljing pedali damandah berar 120 kmpayas asa 1071

156 of the Excise Tax Act. Therefore, the supplies made between the objecting party and "AZ-Spong" and "Vallé Foam" are zero-rated supplies. Furthermore, the input tax credits in the amount of \$120,548.10 were duly denied since the documentation requirements were not met as provided in subsections 169(1) and (4) of the Excise Tax Act and the related regulations. The vouchers provided are non-compliant in that you failed to show that you acquired a supply and, if applicable, the consideration involved and the identity of the supplier, among other reasons.

A notice of reassessment reflecting these changes and representing the decision on the objection will be sent to you at a later date.

The decisions on objection respecting your other periods are as follows:

QST

The assessment for the period from 2007-05-01 to 2011-12-31 was made in accordance with the provisions of the Act, in particular, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, in that the input tax rebates in the amount of \$245,286.03 were duly denied since the documentary requirements were not met as provided in sections 199 and 201 of the Act respecting the Québec sales tax and the related regulations. The vouchers provided are non-compliant in that you failed to show that you acquired a supply and, if applicable, the consideration involved and the identity of the supplier, among other reasons.



Translators & Interpreters

Calpay: Houses fisc Montes Strack Litz Recess 419475 500

Tell Free 1-800-597-9100 : Info@Mill.anguagne.acm www.All.anguages.com

Complexe Desjardins, secteur D231LO

C.P. 5000, succursale Place-Desjardins

Montréal (Québec) H5B 1A7

Tel.: 514-287-8324 or 1-888-830-8808, extension 2878324

.../2

Milliangnager (ad.)

Cidosejr: 403-287/7186 Köntessit:514-568-1113 Turosa: 416-976-6766

Johnson 1-801 (679) 100 InhitAM ongologasisara Wate Alfanguagasisana

entonom reciples a constituentiale in court for the queneral constituent

2

GST for the period of 2008-04-30

The assessment was made in accordance with the provisions of the Act, in particular, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, in that the input tax credits in the amount of \$34,138.04 were duly denied since the documentary requirements were not met as provided in subsections 169(1) and (4) of the Excise Tax Act and the related regulations.

The vouchers provided are non-compliant in that you failed to show that you acquired a supply and, if applicable, the consideration involved and the identity of the supplier, among other reasons.

Finally, please note that the periods 2011-12-31 and 2011-10-31 are uncontested periods. Therefore, no objection decision is being issued for them.

You may contact us for clarifications, further information or, where applicable, a copy of the memorandum on the objection.

If you wish to appeal these decisions before the courts, please refer to the leaflets titled "Information on Judicial Recourse" and "Appeals to the Tax Court of Canada in Matters Concerning the GST/HST" enclosed with this letter.

Please note the following limitation periods: the period for appealing the decision making changes to your assessment will only begin on the mailing date of the notice of assessment you



Translators & Interpruters

Calgory: 403 **237**,7165 Mantreet 014-059-1172 Tyronia: 418-575-5000 Tell Firm; 5.000 (0.000 lcd) Inde@AllCanguages.com svew.AllCanguages.com

Planting pareta communicate in over 120 Juntinger conce. 1971

will be receiving; the appeal period for the decisions	upholding your assessments is running as of
this letter.	

Sincerely,

[stamp] Original signed

Claudie Michaud

Objection Officer

/lds

Encl.

c.c. David T. Ullman

MINDEN GROSS LLP



Calgary: 403-297-7155 Montreal: 514-868-1112 Terontor: 416-975-5000 Tot Figer 1-800-567-8109 info@AllLanguages.com www.AllLanguages.com

Helping people communicate in over 120 languages since 1975.

	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>
I, Joanne Daigle, translator for AI Ontario, make oath and say:	LL LANGUAGES LTD of Toronto, in the Province of
1. I understand both the Frenc	ch and the English languages;
the following from Revenu a) Letter to David T. Ullma	the annexed translation from French into English with Québec, both dated March 25, 2014, to wit: an of Minden Gross LLP; of Domfoam International Inc.; and
	by me, is, to the best of my knowledge and ability, a of the said documents in every respect.
SWORN before me at the City of	
Toronto, this 9 th day of April 2014.)
April 2014.	A = A = A
1) (Diell
A Notary Public in and for	
the Province of Ontario.)
Bradley Robert Pearson)



Direction des oppositions - Montrées

Montréal, le 25 mars 2014

David T. Ullman MINDEN GROSS LLP 145, King Street West, suite 2200 Toronto ON M5H 4G2

Objet: DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC. N/Réf.: 315244 et 315238

À titre de renseignement, nous joignons à la présente une copie de la correspondance adressée à votre client.

Nous vous prions d'agréer, Monsieur, l'expression de nos meilleurs sentiments.

Claudie Michaud Agent d'opposition

/lds

p.j.

Complexe Desjardins, secteur 023110 C.P. 5000, succursale Place-Desjardins Montréal (Québec) H5B 1A7 Tél: 514-287-8324 ou 1 888 830-8808 (poste 2878324)



Direction des oppositions - Montréal

CERTIFIÉ - Xpresspost LP 336 979 976 CA

Montréal, le 25 mars 2014

LIOR SIMANTOV DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC. 8785, BOUL. LANGELIER SAINT-LEONARD (QUEBEC) H1P 2C9

Objet: Avis d'opposition

N/Réf.: 315244 et 315238

Monsieur.

Pour faire suite aux avis d'opposition que vous nous avez notifiés, nous vous informons que les modifications suivantes seront apportées à votre cotisation :

TPS pour les périodes 2011-11-30, 2011-04-30, 2010-04-30 et 2009-04-30

La taxe cotisée au montant de 1 664 824,52 \$ sera révisée à 0,00 \$ puisque l'opposante est un membre déterminé d'un groupe admissible au sens de l'article 156 de la Loi sur la taxe d'accise. En conséquence, les fournitures effectuées entre l'opposante et « AZ-Spong » et « Vallé Foam » sont des fournitures détaxées. Qui plus est, les crédits de taxe sur intrants au montant de 120 548,10 \$ ont dûment été refusés puisque les exigences documentaires ne sont pas respectées compte tenu des dispositions des articles 169 (1) et (4) de la Loi sur la taxe d'accise et des règlements y afférents. En effet, les pièces justificatives ne sont pas conformes, entre autres, en ce que vous n'avez pas démontré avoir acquis une fourniture et, le cas échéant, pour quelle contrepartie et de quel fournisseur.

Un avis de nouvelle cotisation constituant la décision sur opposition et tenant compte de ces modifications vous sera acheminé ultérieurement.

Concernant vos autres périodes, les décisions sur opposition sont les suivantes :

TVQ

La cotisation pour la période du 2007-05-01 au 2011-12-31 a été établie conformément aux dispositions de la loi notamment, mais sans restreindre la généralité de ce qui précède, en ce que les remboursements de taxe sur intrants au montant de 245 286,03 \$ ont dûment été refusés puisque les exigences documentaires ne sont pas respectées compte tenu des dispositions des articles 189 et 201 de la Loi sur la taxe de vente du Québec et des règlements y afférents. En effet, les plèces justificatives ne sont pas conformes, entre autres, en ce que vous n'avez pas démontré avoir acquis une fourniture et, le cas échéant, pour quelle contrepartie et de quel fournisseur.

.../2

TPS pour la période 2008-04-30

La cotisation a été établie conformément aux dispositions de la loi notamment, mais sans restreindre la généralité de ce qui précède, en ce que les crédits de taxe aur intrants au montant de 34 138,04 \$ ont dûment été refusés puisque les exigences documentaires ne sont pas respectées compte tenu des dispositions des articles 169 (1) et (4) de la Loi sur la taxe d'accise et des règlements y afférents. En effet, les plèces justificatives ne sont pas conformes, entre autres, en ce que vous n'avez pas démontré avoir acquis une fourniture et, le cas échéant, pour quelle contrepartie et de quel fournisseur.

Enfin, veuillez noter que les périodes 2011-12-31 et 2011-10-31 constituent des périodes non contestées. Par conséquent, aucune décision sur opposition n'est rendue à cet égard.

Si vous désirez obtenir des précisions, des renseignements additionnels ou, le cas échéant, une copie du mémoire sur opposition, vous pouvez communiquer avec nous.

Si vous voulez faire appel de ces décisions devant les tribunaux, veuillez-vous référer aux feuillets « Renseignements sur les recours judiciaires » et « Appel à la Cour canadienne de l'impôt concernant la TPS/TVH » qui sont joints à cette lettre.

Veuillez noter les délais suivants: le délai pour faire appel de la décision apportant des modifications à votre cotisation court uniquement à compter de la date de la mise à la poste de l'avis de cotisation que vous allez recevoir; quant aux décisions qui maintiennent les cotisations, le délai pour faire appel court à compter de cette lettre.

Nous vous prions d'agréer, Monsieur, l'expression de nos meilleurs sentiments.

Original signé

Claudie Michaud Agent d'opposition

/lds

p.J.

c.c. David T. Uliman MINDEN GROSS LLP

TAB C

This is Exhibit "C" referred to

in the Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia

Sworn this 22nd

day of October, 2014.

A Commissioner for Taking Affidavits

Pauline Erna Leitgeb, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Minden Gross LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, Expires July 4, 2015, MINDEN GROSS LLP

MINDEN GROSS LLP
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS
145 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 2200
TORONTO, ON, CANADA M5H 4G2
TEL 416.362.3711 FAX 416.864.9223
www.mindengross.com

DIRECT DIAL 416.369.4148
E-MAIL dullmann@mindengross.com
FILE NUMBER 4079509

March 20, 2014

VIA E-MAIL (Yvon.Julien@revenuquebec.ca)

Ms. Yvon Julien
Revenu Québec
Complexe Desjardins, C.P.3000, Secteur D231L0
Montreal, Québec
H5B 1A4

Dear Ms. Julien:

Re: Domfoam International Inc. ("Domfoam") – Notice of Objection Your Reference Nos: 315238 and 315244

Thank you for your e-mail of March 12, 2014 confirming that you have taken over the completion of this matter from Ms. Michaud. As you are aware, this dispute has arisen in the context of the ongoing *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* ("CCAA") proceedings of Domfoam. In those proceedings, Revenu Quebec filed a claim against Domfoam and its Directors and Officers, in the amount of approximately \$2,900,000. In November 2012, Revenu Quebec was advised by the Court appointed Monitor that it was not prepared to accept this claim. Since that time, while reserving our rights to continue with the resolution process set out in the Order of Justice Brown, dated June 15, 2012 in the CCAA proceeding, we have attempted to resolve this matter.

We remind you that there were three categories of dispute: inter-company accounting, taxes paid to Temporary Employment Agencies, and input credits related to pre-filing goods. As of January 29, 2014, the inter-company account issue was resolved.

In your e-mail you ask for our further commentary in respect of the outstanding claims for pre-filing goods. As we advised Ms. Michaud by phone, our clients and the Monitor are prepared to accept that the assessment contained in her letter of May 29, 2013, a copy of which is enclosed for your ease of reference, indicating CTI in the amount of \$185,667.56 and RTI in the amount of \$348,868.20, is likely correct. There remains



however an issue with respect to whether or not these amounts are properly payable and by whom, but we do not dispute the calculation of these amounts. For the purposes of determining the amount of the Revenu Quebec claim in the claims process, this matter is also resolved.

The remaining matter is with respect to the use of Temp Agencies.

We are intending to attend at Court on April 15, 2014, to seek an order extending the stay under the CCAA and possibly to attend to the distribution of funds to the creditors in that proceeding. In the event this matter is not resolved by March 28, 2014, we will be seeking an Order of the Court at that hearing to schedule the resolution of this matter.

Given how close we are to resolving this matter, we trust that will not be necessary.

Temporary Agencies Issues:

We wish to take this opportunity to contest the finding included in Ms. Michaud's e-mail of January 29, 2014, a copy of which is enclosed for your ease of reference. In that e-mail, Revenu Quebec maintained the position that a QST refund in the amount of \$245,286.00 and a GST refund in the amount of \$154,686.14 were properly duly denied by Revenu Quebec. In particular, she continue to maintain the position that "the suppliers [Temp Agencies] that issued the invoices have not rendering the services to your client, the documents you record is not consistent with the inputs."

We recognize that you have not personally been involved in all elements of this matter, and given Ms. Michaud's absence for maternity leave, we wanted to take the opportunity to review with you the history of the matter with respect to the Temp Agency issue.

As you are aware, we provided a form of Notice of Objection on behalf of our client on November 8, 2012. The Notice of Objection stated, with respect to the Temp Agency issue, that, given that our client was invoiced for the Temp Services for its purchase of goods and services during the reporting periods, and given that we had provided samples of invoices attached to that Notice of Objection, and given the position of our client that it paid the GST and QST amounts to the Temp Agencies, it was our position that no further amounts should be owing in respect of this claim. We attach the invoices which were attached to that Notice of Objection. You will note that in the interest of protecting the privacy of the individuals involved we have redacted their names from the various invoices.



The invoices attached indicate that services were rendered by Metropolitan Services Inc. and by SOS Montreal Services and 9253-5996 Quebec Inc.

In response to our Objection, Ms. Michaud wrote to us on May 29, 2013. She took the position that the invoices provided were not sufficient and quoted an authority which she asserted stands for the position that supplying invoices is not a complete answer if those invoices are insufficiently detailed. Essentially, the authority which she cites highlights the fact that Revenu Quebec is suspicious that the supplied invoices were manufactured and that the services were never rendered.

On August 29, 2013, we wrote in response to Ms. Michaud's letter and provided further evidence, a copy of which is enclosed for your ease of reference. That letter attempted to distinguish the invoices we provided from ones to which Ms. Michaud's authority related. To provide further evidence, we provided punch cards in connection with two of the invoices previously sent, to show that the workers in question actually attended at Domfoam. Although the names of the workers were again redacted, the punch cards can be reconciled with the invoice and the summary preceding them. We considered this further evidence of the fact that the individuals in question indeed attended at the businesses and offered the services.

Ms. Michaud responded on September 10, 2013 and advised that six of the seven Temp Agencies used by our client had been audited by Revenu Quebec and qualified as "Fournisseur De Factures De Complaisance" or "Suppliers of false invoices". We are not certain which are the "6 of 7 agencies" to which she referred. It would be helpful if you could provide us with the names of those agencies.

Further, Revenu Quebec stated that the Temp Agencies in question do not have any activities in accordance with the rules of the industry. Further, Revenu Quebec stated that "the suppliers do not have any capacity to provide the services billed to your client". As such, the tax credits were denied.

Notwithstanding that letter, Ms. Michaud advised us that you would still be available for discussion on this issue. She also provided us with three authorities upon which she relied in taking this position in an e-mail dated October 1, 2013, a copy of which is enclosed for your ease of reference. We have reviewed those authorities and note that they appear to stand for the proposition that in the event a party pays a supplier GST or QST and that supplier has provided a false or inaccurate GST or QST number, the party making the payment may be liable for the taxes if they are not remitted by the supplier



who receives the GST or QST.

As you will see from the invoices which are attached, there is a GST and QST number on each invoice. We are assured by the Controller of Domfoam, Mr. Glenn Ross, that prior to commencing business with these entities he did, as the cited cases instruct, and contacted the helpline at QST and at Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA") to determine the correct QST or GST numbers for the entities. There has been no allegation from Revenu Quebec that the GST or QST numbers were inaccurate. Therefore, we do not think the authorities cited by Ms. Michaud answered this issue. Nonetheless, we do understand that your position remains that the services were not rendered.

We wrote to Ms. Michaud on October 9, 2013 and advised her that we will continue to investigate the authority that she had provided and would seek further time to deal with this issue.

On January 8, 2014, Ms. Michaud wrote to us and confirmed that we could speak to you directly if we wanted to review this matter further, a copy of that e-mail is enclosed for your ease of reference. We are writing this letter in aid of that.

We have now had an opportunity to complete our investigation with our client. Our client is prepared to execute such further Affidavits or other documents as may be required to further validate their business practices. I am advised by Mr. Glenn Ross, and by Mr. John Howard, the Controller and CEO respectively of Domfoam, that Domfoam was a business which at many times used significant temporary labour for the purpose of operating its business. They would be prepared to attest to the fact that they utilized the business services of the various Temp Agencies. Notwithstanding that those Temp Agencies may have, according to Revenu Quebec, in other instances issued false invoices, that is not the case here.

We now have multiple invoices, cheques, punch cards, and other payroll and Human Resource records which demonstrate that Metropolitan, SOS, an entity known as A&S Montreal, 9253-5996 Quebec Inc., Produmex Inc., and an entity known as Yott Personnel Agency, provided services to Domfoam in respect of which payments were made and GST payments were supplied. Further records for the other agencies are available from our clients which will further support our position. We are happy to provide these invoices to you at your request or to meet with you to review same.

We are confident that in the event this matter were ever to be adjudicated by the Monitor or by an officer of the Court appointed in the CCAA process, that the evidence provided



by our clients would clearly demonstrate that they did, in fact, utilize the services of these parties. In addition to the testimony of Mr. Howard and Mr. Ross, I understand Ms. Lozza, the payroll manager would also be prepared to offer evidence as well as certain floor managers, foreman and other parties to attest to the Temp Services routinely used by Domfoam.

The simple fact remains that Domfoam used temporary services, those services were provided by the agencies identified in the invoices, and those agencies had proper GST numbers, and Domfoam made payments of GST in that regard. We cannot see the purpose of having you issue a letter to us in the form that Ms. Michaud attached in her email of January 29, 2014 denying this claim.

In the event you do not advise us by March 28th, 2014 that Revenu Quebec is prepared to remove its objection to the Temp Agency issue, we will have to proceed with our motion in order to move this matter forward.

Yours truly,

MINDEN GROSS LLP

David T. Ullmann

DTU:nh Encl.

#2170412 v2

TAB D

This is Exhibit "D" referred to

in the Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia

Sworn this 22nd

day of October, 2014.

A Commissioner for Taking Affidavits.

Pauline Erna Leitgeb, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Minden Gross LLP, Barristers and Solicitors. Expires July 4, 2015.



Taxe sur les produits et services et taxe de vente harmonisée

Agence du revenu Canada Revenue Agency

PREUVE DE RÉCLAMATION Loi sur les arrangements avec les créanciers des compagnies (TPS)

Numéro de référence : 4526237

Dans l'affaire de l'arrangement de 4362063 CANADA INC. de SAINT-LEONARD (QUEBEC) dans la province de Québec et de la réclamation de sa Majesté du chef du Canada, créancière, représentée par le ministre du Revenu du Québec, veuillez expédier tout avis ou toute correspondance concernant la présente réclamation à l'adresse suivante :

Service des faillites et des propositions, 3e étage, secteur R23CPF, 1600, boulevard René-Lévesque Ouest Montréal (Québec) H3H 2V2.

Je, Josée Paquette, résidant à MONTRÉAL dans la province de Québec, atteste ce qui suit :

- 1. Je suis à l'emploi de Revenu Québec et suis dûment autorisé à agir aux présentes.
- 2. Je suis au courant de toutes les circonstances entourant la réclamation visée par le présent formulaire.
- 3. Le débiteur était, à la date de l'arrangement, soit le 12 janvier 2012, endetté envers la créancière et l'est toujours, pour la somme de 398 904,83 \$, comme l'indique le relevé de compte ci-annexé et désigné comme l'annexe A.
- La réclamation porte sur ce qui suit :
- 4. Aucun avoir du débiteur n'est détenu à titre de garantie et aucun droit à un rang prioritaire n'est revendiqué.

Fait à MONTRÉAL, le 22 avril 2014.

Personne autorisée à signer

Annexe à la preuve de réclamation

Dans l'affaire de la proposition de 4362063 CANADA INC.. Numéro de référence : 4526237

Objet : État sommaire de la dette au 12 janvier 2012

Périodes	Description	Droits	Pénalités	Intérêts	Frais	Total
Loi sur la taxe d'accise Numéro de référence : 123485039						
2008 à 2012	cotisation	340 353,70 \$	40 172,36 \$	18 378,77 \$	\$ 00'0	398 904,83 \$
Montant dû à Revenu Québec						398 904,83 \$

Note: Dans toute communication avec la Direction générale du recouvrement, n'oubliez pas de mentionner le numéro de référence.



PREUVE DE RÉCLAMATION - CRÉANCIER NON GARANTI Loi sur les arrangements avec les créanciers des compagnies

Numéro de référence : 4526237

Dans l'affaire de l'arrangement de :

4362063 CANADA INC. de SAINT-LEONARD (QUEBEC) et de la réclamation du ministre du Revenu, (ci-après *le créancier*), veuillez expédier tout avis ou toute correspondance concernant la présente réclamation à l'adresse suivante :

Expédiez tout avis ou toute correspondance concernant la présente réclamation à l'adresse suivante :

Service des faillites et des propositions, 3e étage, secteur R23CPF, 1600, boulevard René-Lévesque Ouest Montréal (Québec) H3H 2V2.

Je, Josée Paquette, résidant à MONTRÉAL dans la province de Québec, atteste ce qui suit :

- 1. Je suis à l'emploi de Revenu Québec et suis dûment autorisé à agir aux présentes.
- 2. Je suis au courant de toutes les circonstances entourant la réclamation visée par le présent formulaire.
- 3. Le débiteur mentionné ci-dessus était, à la date de de l'arrangement proposé, à savoir le 12 janvier 2012, endetté envers le créancier mentionné ci-dessus (ci-après le créancier) et l'est toujours, pour la somme de 844 941,87 \$, comme l'indique l'annexe ci-jointe.
- 4. Le créancier ne détient aucun avoir du débiteur à titre de garantie.

Fait à MONTRÉAL, ce 16 avril 2014.

/Cr

017501

156

PER-6356.1 (2012-09)

Dans l'affaire de la proposition de 4362063 CANADA INC..

Numéro de référence : 4526237

Objet : État sommaire de la dette au 12 janvier 2012

Années ou périodes	Description	Droits	Pénalités	Intérêts	Frais	Total
Loi sur les impôts (retenues à la source) Numéro de référence : 1010413083						
2011-00 2011-00	cotisation cotisation	68 900,21 \$ 0,00 \$	10:335,03 \$ 400,00 \$	\$ 00'0 \$ 00'0	\$ 00°0 0°00	79 235,24 \$ 400,00 \$
Loi sur les ímpôts (sociétés) Numéro de référence : 1010413083						
2011-04	cotisation	9 240,10 \$	\$ 00'0	\$ 00'0	\$ 00'0	9 240,10 \$
Loi sur la taxe de vente du Québec Numéro de référence : 1010413083						
2011-12	cotisation	596 630,60 \$	159 435,92 \$	\$ 00'0	\$ 00'0	756 066,53 \$
Montant dû à Revenu Québec						844 941,87 \$

Note : Dans toute communication avec la Direction générale du recouvrement, n'oubliez pas de mentionner le numéro de référence.

Afin que nous puissions traiter efficacement ce dossier, il est important que les documents que vous expédiez parviennent au bon destinataire.

Pour cette raison, vous voudrez bien :

- l'enveloppe-réponse ci-jointe pour - utiliser l'envoi VOS documents;
- y insérer la partie inférieure de cette page de façon à ce que l'adresse apparaisse dans la fenêtre de l'enveloppe.

Nous vous remercions de votre collaboration.

PER-6297 (2012-04)



Taxe sur les produits et services, taxe de vente harmonisée et lois québécoises



Agence du revenu Canada Revenue

NUMÉRO DE RÉFÉRENCE: 4526237

NOM: DELOITTE ET TOUCHE INC.

1400

181, RUE BAY

TORONTO, ON M5J 2V1

Josée Paquette

RETOURNEZ À:

3e étage, secteur R23CPF 1600, boulevard René-Lévesque Ouest Montréal (Québec) #3# 2V2

TAB E

This is Exhibit "E" referred to

in the Affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia

Sworn this 22nd

day of October, 2014.

A Commissioner for Taking Affidavits

Pauline Erna Leitgeb, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Minden Gross LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, Expires July 4, 2015.

159

TAX COURT OF CANADA

2014-2105(GST)G

BETWEEN:

4362063 CANADA LTD. formerly known as DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC.,

Appellant,

and

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN,

Respondent.

REPLY TO THE NOTICE OF APPEAL

IN REPLY TO THE NOTICE OF APPEAL SENT TO RESPONDENT ON JUNE 24TH, 2014 IN RESPECT OF THE REASSESSMENT PERTAINING TO THE PERIODS FROM APRIL 1ST, 2009 TO APRIL 30TH, 2009, APRIL 1ST, 2010 TO APRIL 30TH, 2010, APRIL 1ST, 2011 TO APRIL 30TH, 2011 AND NOVEMBER 1ST, 2011 TO NOVEMBER 30TH, 2011 (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE "RELEVANT PERIODS"), THE NOTICE OF WHICH REASSESSMENT HAS NO NUMBER AND IS DATED APRIL 4TH, 2014, THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ACTING FOR AND ON BEHALF OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING:

A. FACTS

- He has no knowledge of the facts alleged in paragraph 1 of the Notice of appeal;
- He denies, as drafted, paragraph 2 of the Notice of appeal;
- 3. He takes note of paragraph 3 of the notice of appeal and admits that Appellant filed a Notice of Objection;

- 4. He denies, as drafted, paragraph 4 of the Notice of appeal;
- He admits paragraph 5 of the Notice of appeal;
- He has no knowledge of the facts alleged in paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 of the Notice of appeal;
- 7. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Notice of appeal, he refers to the Notices of Assessment, dated June 28, 2012, and denies anything that is not in conformity with said Notices of Assessment;
- 8. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Notice of appeal, he admits that the Appellant filed a Notice of Objection. He refers to the letter from Revenu Quebec, dated March 25th, 2014, and to the Notice of Reassessment and denies anything that is not in conformity with said letter and Notice of Reassessment;
- 9. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Notice of appeal, he refers to the Notice of Reassessment and denies anything that is not in conformity with said Notice of Reassessment;
- 10. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 12 of the Notice of appeal, he refers to the Notice of Objection and denies anything that is not in conformity with said Notice of Objection;
- 11. With respect to the allegations of paragraphs 12 a) and 12 b) of the Notice of appeal, he refers to the letter of March 25th, 2014, and denies anything that is not in conformity with said letter;

Oct. 16. 2014 9:40AM

- 12. With respect to the allegations of paragraph 12 c) of the Notice of appeal, he takes note of the admission to the effect that the Taxpayer settled this issue and that the pre-filing credits are no longer in issue with Canada Revenue Agency or Revenu Quebec;
- 13. He takes note of paragraph 13 of the Notice of appeal and more specifically of the admission to the effect that the balance of the Notice of Reassessment is not in dispute and is accepted by the Taxpayer;
- 14. He takes note of paragraph 14 of the Notice of appeal and has no knowledge of the relevance of said paragraph;
- 15. He denies, as drafted, paragraph 15 of the Notice of appeal;
- 16. He denies the allegations of fact contained in paragraphs 16 and 17 of the Notice of appeal;
- 17. He has no knowledge of the facts alleged in paragraph 18 of the Notice of appeal;
- 18. He denies, as drafted, paragraphs 19 and 20 of the Notice of appeal;
- He denies the allegations of fact contained in paragraphs 21 and 22 of the Notice of appeal;
- 20. He denies, as drafted, paragraph 23 of the Notice of appeal and takes note of the statutory provisions relied on by Appellant;
- 21. He denies, as drafted, paragraph 24 of the Notice of appeal and adds that the issue to be decided is whether Appellant was entitled to ITCs claimed regarding the alleged suppliers and whether the assessing

penalties were in accordance with the provisions of the Excise Tax Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. E-15 (hereinafter referred to as the « ETA »);

- 22. He denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 and 26 of the Notice of appeal;
- 23. Notwithstanding the foregoing, he denies all other facts and conclusions of law implicitly or explicitly contained in the Notice of appeal that are not consistent with the following;
- 24. On June 28th, 2012, Respondent, through the Agence du revenu du Québec (hereinafter referred to as the "Minister"), assessed Appellant for seven (7) periods, between April 1st, 2008 and December 31st, 2011, pursuant to Part IX of the ETA and sent Appellant Notice of assessment bearing no number and dated the same day.
- 25. As indicated in the Notice of assessment sent to Appellant, the amounts assessed on June 28th, 2012, are as follows:

Adjustments made to the calculation of the reported net tax	2 005 177,90 \$
Net Interest	108 226,99 \$
Penaltles (under 285 ETA)	38 671,54 \$
Failure to file penalty	3 936,99 \$
Prior balance	598,62 \$
Amount owing	2 156 612,04 \$

resulting in an amount of net tax of 2 005 177,90 \$ that Appellant should have reported for the said seven (7) periods;

26. The said adjustments of 2 005 177,90 \$ include the collection of GST for intercompany accounts for an amount of 1 664 824,20 \$ and the input tax

Oct. 16. 2014 9:40AM

credits (hereinafter referred to as « ITCs ») for an amount of 340 353,70 \$, detailed as follows :

a) Pre-filings credits: 185 667,56\$

b) Convenience bills: 154 686,14 \$

Total: 340 353,70 \$

- 27. More specifically, the said amount of 154 686,14 \$ referred to in the preceding paragraph represents the ITCs disallowed by Respondent for the said seven (7) periods with respect to seven (7) alleged suppliers;
- 28. On November 8th, 2012, after the prescribed time limit, Appellant filed a Notice of Objection to the assessment dated June 28th, 2012;
- 29. On or around May 2013, despite the time limit expired, the Minister accepted the said Notice of Objection without any admission as to the contents of said Notice of Objection which allegations of fact are denied;
- 30. By letter dated August 30th, 2013, Appellant informed the Minister that the issue concerning the Pre-filing credits (185 667,56 \$) was no longer in dispute;
- 31. On March 25th, 2014, in reply to the Notice of Objection, the Minister notified Appellant of the decision to modify the assessment as follows:
 - The tax assessed (intercompany accounts), in the amount of 1 664 824,20 \$, was revised to 0,00 \$;
- 32. On April 4th, 2014, a Notice of Reassessment reflecting these modifications for the relevant periods was issued and sent to Appellant;

Oct. 16. 2014 9:41AM

- 33. On June 17th, 2014, within the prescribed time limit, Appellant appealed the Reassessment that was made in its name, filing a Notice of appeal to this effect with this Court, as appears from the record of the Court;
- 34. On June 24th, 2014, the Registry of this Court transmitted the Notice of appeal to the office of the Deputy Attorney General of Canada;
- 35. By letter dated August 15th, 2014, Appellant allowed an additional delay of sixty (60) days, until October 6th, to the Respondent to file the Reply to the notice of appeal;
- 36. By letter dated October 9th, 2014, Appellant allowed an additional delay of eleven (11) days, until October 17th, to the Respondent to file the Reply to the notice of appeal;
- 37. When establishing the Reassessment in respect of Appellant, the Minister relied, inter alia, on the following assumptions and findings of fact:
 - (a) the facts admitted above;
 - (b) Appellant was registered for the purposes of Part IX of the ETA during the relevant periods;
 - (c) for the relevant periods, Appellant filed its net tax returns monthly;
 - (d) Appellant acquired taxable supplies of goods and services in the course of its commercial activities during the relevant periods as well as outside its commercial activities;

165

CONTENTIEUX REVENU 514 285 5348

- (e) in filing the monthly reports for the relevant periods, Appellant claimed in the calculation of the net ITCs which were higher that Appellant was entitled to claim;
- (f) while performing an audit, Respondent noticed, inter alia, that Appellant had claimed ITCs in connection with services purportedly received from the seven (7) suppliers in dispute;
- (g) when required to do so, Appellant did not provide to the Minister sufficient evidence in such form containing such information as would have enabled the amount of 154 686,14 \$ in ITCs (referred to in paragraph 26, claimed and obtained by Appellant in the calculation of its net tax for the relevant periods) to be determined, including any such information as may be prescribed;
- (h) more specifically, in order to determine said amount of ITCs, Appellant provided to the Minister supporting documents and other documents that did not meet the requirements of the ETA and the regulations related thereto;
- (i) during the relevant periods, as mentioned in the Audit report, Respondent found that Appellant had claimed ITCs in respect of the following alleged suppliers, being:
 - Métropolitain services (M.S.) inc.
 - Groupe investissement AD inc. (Métropolitain services (M,S,)
 - 9234-9463 Québec inc. (Placement Métropolitain)
 - 9253-5996 Québec inc.
 - 9172-4286 Québec inc. (S.O.S. Montréal Services)
 - 9225-1297 Québec inc. (A&S Montréal)
 - Service Placebec inc.

166

- (j) most notably, Respondent found, inter alia, that the suppliers lacked the material, financial, human resources and expertise to provide the supplies and\or services purportedly made to Appellant during the relevant periods;
- (k) further to the said audit, Respondent established most notably that there was no exchange of goods and\or services between Appellant and the alleged suppliers in issue and that Appellant appeared to be involved in invoices of accommodation issued, most notably, to enable the Appellant to claim ITCs without justification and\or in excess of the amount to which it was otherwise entitled;
- (I) Appellant knew or should have known the existence of an illegal tax scheme in which it was most likely involved including the seven
 (7) alleged suppliers;
- (m) the purpose of Appellant's scheme, which has widespread use in the personal agency industry, is to use so-called "convenience" bills in order to make ITC claims that are unwarranted in terms of the requirements of the ETA;
- (n) in this instance, Appellant (the "accommodated" person) called upon third parties operating real or fake businesses, as the case may be (it does not matter which), that is, the seven (7) suppliers in question (the "accommodating" persons), to issue invoices to Appellant for goods or services that were not supplied to Appellant by any of them nor acquired by Appellant from any of them;
- (o) if Appellant acquired the supplies of goods or services at issue, it was not from any of the suppliers in question but rather from a

Oct. 16. 2014 9:42AM

supplier other than any of the ones indicated in the supporting documents provided for the relevant periods;

- (p) most of the seven (7) suppliers cannot be found, offered no cooperation and had no commercial activities;
- (q) the alleged suppliers have neither the personnel nor the facilities to make the supplies of goods and services that they allegedly agreed to make to Appellant;
- (r) the supporting documents provided by some of the seven (7) suppliers in question are all of the same type and are drafted in a similar fashion;
- (s) the supporting documents provided in respect of some of the seven (7) suppliers have inconsistent numerical sequences and unsufficient description\informations concerning the services allegedly rendered;
- (t) the cheques for the payment of consideration by Appellant for the alleged supplies acquired from some of the seven (7) suppliers were almost always cashed by a check-cashing business;
- (u) Appellant's business transactions with the seven (7) suppliers in question last for a short period of time and follow one another. Per exemple, Groupe investissement A.D. inc., making business under the name of "M.S.", replaced Métropolitain services (M.S.) inc. after its "closing";
- (v) Appellant has demonstrated wilful blindness in respect of the seven (7) alleged suppliers in question;

- (w) some of the seven (7) alleged suppliers used invalid tax number;
- (x) most of the seven (7) suppliers had the same workers;
- (y) some employees of the suppliers received social insurance benefits, others did not appear in Revenue Quebec systems and/or were paid in cash;
- (z) suppliers director, as Mr. Zaddi, from Groupe investissement A.D. inc, had no vehicle and received social insurance benefits;
- (aa) the low hourly rate billed by the alleged suppliers was not realistic;
- (bb) furthermore, Appellant acted unreasonably and did not take appropriate measures in order to manage the risk of hiring suppliers particularly by;
 - failing to obtain from the suppliers document confirming an insurance protection;
 - failing to obtain from the CSST confirmation that the seven (7)
 suppliers fulfilled all legal obligations and duties;
- (cc) therefore, Respondent disallowed the ITCs claimed by Appellant regarding the seven (7) suppliers for the relevant periods in accordance with the ETA;
- (dd) Appellant is therefore liable to the Minister for the amount of the adjustments made to its reported net tax for the relevant periods, plus the net interest and penalties;

169

B. ISSUE TO BE DECIDED

38. In regards to Appellant's reported net tax for the periods in question, the question in issue is whether Appellant was entitled to ITCs claimed regarding the seven (7) suppliers during the relevant periods and whether the Minister was justified in assessing interests and penalties in accordance with the provisions of section 285 of the E.T.A;

C. APPLICABLE LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS, GROUNDS RELIED UPON AND RELIEF SOUGHT

- 39. Respondent relies, inter alia, on sections 123, 165 169, 221, 225, 228, 280, 280.1, 285, 296, 299 and 300 of the ETA and on the Input Tax Credit Information (GST/HST) Regulations (hereinafter referred to as the "Regulations");
- 40. Under section 225 of the ETA, Appellant may claim ITCs in the calculation of its net tax for the relevant periods provided that, inter alia, before filing its returns for the reporting periods in question, it has obtained sufficient evidence in such form containing such information as will enable the amount of the ITCs to be determined, including any such information as may be prescribed.
- 41. Of the total amount of ITCs that Appellant claimed, and originally obtained, in the calculation of the net tax that it reported for the relevant periods, Appellant provided to the Minister supporting documents which did not meet the requirements under subparagraph 169(4)(a) of the ETA and section 3 of the Regulations because the documents are false, due particularly to the inaccuracy of the identity of the suppliers in question

referred to in the documents, as more described in the preceding paragraphs;

- 42. The Minister was therefore well founded in fact and in law to reassess Appellant and make adjustments to the calculation of Appellant's net tax for the relevant periods for an amount of 154 686,14 \$;
- 43. In addition, the Minister was well founded in fact and in law to reassess Appellant for interest and penalties in accordance with the provisions of sections 280 and 285 of the ETA since Appellant, knowingly or under circumstances amounting to gross negligence, made a false statement or omission in its net tax return and claimed without justification the ITCs in issue;
- 44. The power to cancel interest payable by Appellant pursuant to section 280 of the ETA may be exercised only by the Minister under section 281.1 of the ETA, and is not subject to this Court's jurisdiction.
- 45. The reassessment issued to Appellant for the relevant periods is well-founded in fact and in law;
- 46. Appellant has the burden of proof since the reassessment is deemed valid;
- 47. Appellant has not a sufficient interest to institute the present procedures;
- 48. The Reply to the notice of appeal is well-founded in fact and in law;
- 49. The Deputy Attorney General of Canada concludes that the appeal is ill-founded in fact and in law, and should be dismissed;

THEREFORE, MAY IT PLEASE THIS COURT:

TO DISMISS Appellant's Notice of Appeal;

THE WHOLE WITH COSTS against Appellant.

Montreal, Province of Quebec this 15th day of October 2014.

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

Jean-Duval

LARIVIÈRE MEUNIER

Direction du contentieux fiscal et civil de l'Agence du revenu du Québec

TO:

The Registrar

TAX COURT OF CANADA

AND TO:

David T. Ullmann

MINDEN GROSS LLP Counsel for Appellant

172

2014-2105(GST)G

TAX COURT OF CANADA

BETWEEN:

4362063 CANADA INC. formerly known as DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC.,

Appellant,

-and-

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN,

Respondent.

REPLY TO THE NOTICE OF APPEAL

ORIGINAL

THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT

Me Jean Duval LARIVIÈRE MEUNIER

Direct line: 514 287-8821

3, Complexe Desjardins, secteur D221LC

C.P. 5000, succ. Place-Desjardins

Montréal (Québec) H5B 1A7 Téléphone : 514 287-8333

Sans frais: 1 888 830-8808, poste 287-8821

Télécopieur : 514 285-5348 O/F. : CM-210305-14

TAB 3



Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	THURSDAY, THE 12 th
JUSTICE NEWBOULD)	DAY OF JANUARY, 2012

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF VALLE FOAM INDUSTRIES (1995) INC., DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

(the "Applicants")

INITIAL ORDER

THIS APPLICATION, made by Valle Foam Industries (1995) Inc., Domfoam International Inc., and A-Z Sponge & Foam Products Ltd. (hereinafter, collectively referred to as the "Applicants"), pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA") was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia sworn January 11, 2012 and the exhibits thereto (the "Vallecoccia Affidavit"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicants, no one else appearing although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of Victoria Stewart sworn January

11, 2012, and on reading the consent of Deloitte & Touche Inc. to act as the Monitor,

SERVICE

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the Notice of Application and the Application Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

APPLICATION

2. **THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES** that the Applicants are companies to which the CCAA applies.

PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT

3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that one or more of the Applicants, individually or collectively, shall have the sole authority to file and may, subject to further order of this Court, file with this Court a plan of compromise or arrangement (hereinafter referred to as the "Plan").

POSSESSION OF PROPERTY AND OPERATIONS

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants shall remain in possession and control of their current and future assets, undertakings and properties of every nature and kind whatsoever, and wherever situate including all proceeds thereof (collectively, the "Property"). Subject to further Order of this Court, the Applicants shall continue to carry on business in a manner consistent with the preservation of their respective businesses (collectively, the "Business") and

Property. The Applicants shall each be authorized and empowered to continue to retain and employ the employees, consultants, agents, experts, appraisers, accountants, counsel and such other persons (collectively, "Assistants") currently retained or employed by them, with liberty to retain such further Assistants as they deem reasonably necessary or desirable in the ordinary course of business or for the carrying out of the terms of this Order.

- 5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, the Applicants shall be entitled but not required to pay the following expenses whether incurred prior to, on or after the date of this Order:
 - (a) all outstanding and future wages, compensation, salaries, employee and pension benefits, vacation pay and expenses (including, but not limited to, employee medical, dental, disability, life insurance and similar benefit plans or arrangements, incentive plans, share compensation plans, and employee assistance programs and employee or employer contributions in respect of pension and other benefits), and similar pension and/or retirement benefit payments, commissions, bonuses and other incentive payments, payments under collective bargaining agreements, and employee and director expenses and reimbursements, payable on or after the date of this Order, in each case incurred in the ordinary course of business and consistent with existing compensation policies and arrangements;
 - (b) compensation to employees in respect of any payments made to employees prior to the date of this Order by way of the issuance of cheques or electronic transfers are subsequently dishonoured due to the commencement of these proceedings; and

- (c) the reasonable fees and disbursements of any Assistants retained or employed by the Applicants in respect of these proceedings, at their standard rates and charges, including any payments made to Assistants prior to the date of this Order by way of the issuance of cheques or electronic transfers that are subsequently dishonoured due to the commencement of these proceedings; and
- (d) amounts owing for goods and services actually supplied to the Applicants, or to obtain the release of goods contracted for prior to the date of this Order by other suppliers, solely where such goods were ordered by the Applicants or any of them after November 30, 2011 on the express understanding that such goods or services were to be paid for on a cash on delivery basis and in respect of which such payment has not been made by the Applicants or any of them.
- 6. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as otherwise provided to the contrary herein, the Applicants shall be entitled but not required to pay all reasonable expenses incurred by the Applicants in carrying on the Business in the ordinary course after the date of this Order, and in carrying out the provisions of this Order, which expenses shall include, without limitation:
 - (a) all expenses and capital expenditures reasonably necessary for the preservation of the Property or the Business including, without limitation, payments on account of insurance (including directors and officers insurance), maintenance and security services; and

- (b) payment, including the posting of letters of credit, for goods or services actually supplied or to be supplied to the Applicants following the date of this Order;
- 7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants shall remit, in accordance with legal requirements, or pay:
 - (a) any statutory deemed trust amounts in favour of the Crown in right of Canada or of any Province thereof or any other taxation authority which are required to be deducted from employees' wages, including, without limitation, amounts in respect of (i) employment insurance, (ii) Canada Pension Plan, (iii) Quebec Pension Plan, and (iv) income taxes;
 - (b) all goods and services or other applicable sales taxes (collectively, "Sales Taxes") required to be remitted by the Applicants in connection with the sale of goods and services by the Applicants, but only where such Sales Taxes are accrued or collected after the date of this Order, or where such Sales Taxes were accrued or collected prior to the date of this Order but not required to be remitted until on or after the date of this Order, and
 - (c) any amount payable to the Crown in right of Canada or of any Province thereof or any political subdivision thereof or any other taxation authority in respect of municipal realty, municipal business or other taxes, assessments or levies of any nature or kind which are entitled at law to be paid in priority to claims of secured creditors and which are attributable to or in respect of the carrying on of the Business by the Applicants.
- 8. THIS COURT ORDERS that until a real property lease is disclaimed, terminated, repudiated or resiliated in accordance with the CCAA, the Applicants

shall pay all amounts constituting rent or payable as rent under their respective real property leases (including, for greater certainty, common area maintenance charges, utilities and realty taxes and any other amounts payable to the landlord under the lease) or as otherwise may be negotiated between the Applicants and the landlord from time to time ("Rent"), for the period commencing from and including the date of this Order, twice-monthly in equal payments on the first and fifteenth day of each month, in advance (but not in arrears). On the date of the first of such payments, any Rent relating to the period commencing from and including the date of this Order shall also be paid.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as specifically permitted herein, the Applicants are hereby directed, until further Order of this Court: (a) to make no payments of principal, interest thereon or otherwise on account of amounts owing by the Applicants to any of their creditors as of this date; (b) to grant no security interests, trust, liens, charges or encumbrances upon or in respect of any of its Property; and (c) to not grant credit or incur liabilities except in the ordinary course of the Business.

RESTRUCTURING

- 10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants shall, subject to such requirements as are imposed by the CCAA have the right to:
 - (a) permanently or temporarily cease, downsize or shut down any of their respective businesses or operations, and to dispose of non-profitable, redundant or non-material assets and operations, and to dispose and sell such assets or operations not exceeding \$100,000.00 in any one transaction or \$1 million in the aggregate;

- (b) terminate the employment of such of their employees or lay off or temporarily or indefinitely lay off such of their employees as the relevant Applicant deems appropriate on such terms as may be agreed upon between the relevant Applicant and such employee, or failing such agreement, to deal with the consequences thereof in the Plan
- (c) in accordance with paragraphs 10 (a) and (d), vacate, abandon, resiliate, or quit any leased premises and/or disclaim, cancel, terminate or repudiate any real property lease and any ancillary agreements relating to any leased premises, on not less than seven (7) days notice in writing to the relevant landlord on such terms as may be agreed upon between the Applicants and such landlord, or failing such agreement, to deal with the consequences thereof in the Plan;
- (d) disclaim, terminate, repudiate or resiliate, in whole or in part, with the prior consent of the Monitor or further Order of the Court, such of their arrangements, agreements or contracts of any nature whatsoever with whomsoever, whether oral or written, as the Applicants deem appropriate, in accordance with Section 32 of the CCAA, with such disclaimers, repudiation, termination, or resiliations to be on such terms as may be agreed upon between the relevant Applicants and such counter-parties, or failing such agreements, to deal with the consequences thereof in the Plan; and
- (e) pursue all avenues of refinancing of the Business or Property, in whole or part, subject to prior approval of this Court being obtained before any material refinancing;

all of the foregoing to permit the Applicants to proceed with an orderly restructuring or winding down of some or all of the respective Business (the "Restructuring").

- 11. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants shall each provide each of the relevant landlords with notice of the relevant Applicant's intention to remove any fixtures from any leased premises at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the intended removal. The relevant landlord shall be entitled to have a representative present in the leased premises to observe such removal and, if the landlord disputes the Applicant's entitlement to remove any such fixture under the provisions of the lease, such fixture shall remain on the premises and shall be dealt with as agreed between any applicable secured creditors, such landlord and the relevant Applicant, or by further Order of this Court upon application by the relevant Applicant on at least two (2) days notice to such landlord and any such secured creditors. If an Applicant disclaims, resiliates, repudiates or terminates the lease governing such leased premises in accordance with Section 32 of the CCAA, it shall not be required to pay Rent under such lease pending resolution of any such dispute (other than Rent payable for the notice period provided for in Section 32(5) of the CCAA), and the disclaimer, termination or resiliation of the lease shall be without prejudice to the Applicant's claim to the fixtures in dispute.
- 12. THIS COURT ORDERS that if a lease is repudiated or if a notice of disclaimer or termination or resiliation is delivered pursuant to Section 32 of the CCAA, then (a) during the notice period prior to the effective time of the disclaimer, termination, repudiation or resiliation, the landlord may show the affected leased premises to prospective tenants during normal business hours, on giving the relevant Applicant's and the Monitor 24 hours' prior written notice, and

(b) at the effective time of the disclaimer or termination or resiliation, the relevant landlord shall be entitled to take possession of any such leased premises without waiver of or prejudice to any claims or rights such landlord may have against the Applicants in respect of such lease or leased premises and such landlord shall be entitled to notify the Applicants of the basis on which it is taking possession and to gain possession of and re-lease such leased premises to any third party or parties on such terms as such landlord considers advisable, provided that nothing herein shall relieve such landlord of its obligation to mitigate any damages claimed in connection therewith.

NO PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE APPLICANTS OR THE PROPERTY

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that until and including February 10, 2012, or such later date as this Court may order (the "Stay Period"), no proceeding or enforcement process in any court or tribunal (each, a "Proceeding") shall be commenced or continued against or in respect of the Applicants or the Monitor, or affecting the Business or the Property, except with the written consent of the Applicants and the Monitor, or with leave of this Court, and any and all Proceedings currently under way against or in respect of the Applicants or affecting the Business or the Property are hereby stayed and suspended pending further Order of this Court.

NO EXERCISE OF RIGHTS OR REMEDIES

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that during the Stay Period, all rights and remedies of any individual, firm, corporation, governmental body or agency, or any other entities (all of the foregoing, collectively being "Persons" and each being a "Person") against or in respect of the Applicants or the Monitor, or affecting the

Business or the Property, are hereby stayed and suspended except with the written consent of the Applicants and the Monitor, or leave of this Court, provided that nothing in this Order shall (i) empower the Applicants to carry on any business which the Applicants are not lawfully entitled to carry on, (ii) affect such investigations, actions, suits or proceedings by a regulatory body as are permitted by Section 11.1 of the CCAA, (iii) prevent the filing of any registration to preserve or perfect a security interest, or (iv) prevent the registration of a claim for lien.

NO INTERFERENCE WITH RIGHTS

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that during the Stay Period, no Person shall discontinue, fail to honour, alter, interfere with, repudiate, terminate or cease to perform any right, renewal right, contract, agreement, authorization, licence or permit in favour of or held by the Applicants, except with the written consent of the Applicants and the Monitor, or leave of this Court.

CONTINUATION OF SERVICES

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, all Persons having oral or written agreements with the Applicants or statutory or regulatory mandates for the supply of goods and/or services, including without limitation all waste disposal service providers, all computer software, information technology services, communication and other data services, programming supply, computer software, communication and other data services, centralized banking services, payroll services, insurance, transportation services, utility or other services to the Business or the Applicants, are hereby restrained until further Order of this Court from discontinuing, altering, interfering with or terminating the supply of such goods or services as may be required by the Applicants, and that the Applicants shall be

entitled to the continued use of their current premises, telephone numbers, facsimile numbers, internet addresses and domain names, provided in each case that the normal prices or charges for all such goods or services received after the date of this Order are paid by the Applicants in accordance with normal payment practices of the Applicants or such other practices as may be agreed upon by the supplier or service provider and each of the Applicants and the Monitor, or as may be ordered by this Court.

NON-DEROGATION OF RIGHTS

17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, notwithstanding anything else in this Order, no Person shall be prohibited from requiring payment for goods, services, use of lease or licensed property or other valuable consideration provided on or after the date of this Order, nor shall any Person be under any obligation on or after the date of this Order to advance or re-advance any monies or otherwise extend any credit to the Applicant. Nothing in this Order shall derogate from the rights conferred and obligations imposed by the CCAA.

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that during the Stay Period, and except as permitted by subsection 11.03(2) of the CCAA, no Proceeding may be commenced or continued against any of the former, current or future directors or officers (or their estates) of the Applicants with respect to any claim against such directors or officers that arose before the date hereof and that relates to any obligations of the Applicants whereby the directors or officers are alleged under any law to be liable in their capacity as directors or officers for the payment, performance or breach of such obligations, acts, or actions until a compromise or arrangement in respect of

the Applicants, if one is filed, is sanctioned by this Court or is refused by the creditors of the Applicants or this Court.

DIRECTORS' AND OFFICERS' INDEMNIFICATION AND CHARGE

- 19. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants shall jointly indemnify their directors and officers from and against all claims, costs, charges, expenses, obligations and liabilities that they may incur as directors or officers of the Applicants, after the date hereof except to the extent that, with respect to any officer or director, such claim, cost, charge, expense, obligation or liability was incurred as a result of the director's or officer's gross negligence or wilful misconduct.
- 20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the directors and officers of the Applicants shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "Directors' Charge") on the Property, which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$1 million as security for the indemnity provided in paragraph 19 of this Order. The Directors' Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 32 herein.
- 21. THIS COURT ORDERS that, notwithstanding any language in any applicable insurance policy to the contrary, (a) no insurer shall be entitled to be subrogated to or claim the benefit of the Directors' Charge, and (b) the Applicants' directors and officers shall only be entitled to the benefit of the Directors' Charge to the extent that they do not have coverage under any directors' and officers' insurance policy, or to the extent that such coverage is insufficient to pay amounts indemnified in accordance with paragraph 19 of this Order.

APPOINTMENT OF MONITOR

- 22. THIS COURT ORDERS that Deloitte & Touche Inc. is hereby appointed pursuant to the CCAA as the Monitor, an officer of this Court, to monitor the business and financial affairs of the Applicants with the powers and obligations set out in the CCAA or set forth herein and that the Applicants and their shareholders, officers, directors, and Assistants shall advise the Monitor of all material steps taken by the Applicants pursuant to this Order, and shall co-operate fully with the Monitor in the exercise of its powers and discharge of its obligations and provide the Monitor with the assistance that is necessary to enable the Monitor to adequately carry out the Monitor's functions.
- 23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor, in addition to its prescribed rights and obligations under the CCAA, is hereby directed and empowered to:
 - (a) monitor the Applicants' receipts and disbursements;
 - (b) report to this Court at such times and intervals as the Monitor may deem appropriate with respect to matters relating to the Property, the Business, and such other matters as may be relevant to the proceedings herein;
 - (c) assist and advise the Applicants in their development of the Plan or winding down, downsizing and any amendments to the Plan, any restructuring steps taken pursuant to paragraphs 5 and 10 hereof, and the implementation of the Plan;
 - (d) advise the Applicants in the preparation of their cash flow statements;

- (e) assist and advise the Applicants, to the extent required by the Applicants, with the negotiations with creditors and the holding and administering of creditors' (or shareholders' meetings) for voting on the Plan;
- (f) have full and complete access to the Property, including the premises, books, records, data, including data in electronic form, and other financial documents of the Applicants, to the extent that is necessary to adequately assess the Applicants' business and financial affairs or to perform its duties arising under this Order;
- (g) be at liberty to engage independent legal counsel or such other persons as the Monitor deems necessary or advisable respecting the exercise of its powers and performance of its obligations under this Order;
- (h) consider, and if deemed advisable by the Monitor, prepare a report as an assessment of the Plan;
- (i) assist the Applicants with their continuing restructuring activities, including the assessment and analysis of any proposed sale of assets or closure of facilities;
- (j) advise and assist the Applicants, as requested, in their negotiations with suppliers, customers and other stakeholders; and
- (k) perform such other duties as are required by this Order or by this Court from time to time.
- 24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor shall <u>not</u> take possession of the Property and shall take <u>no</u> part whatsoever in the management or supervision of the management of the Business and shall not, by fulfilling its obligations hereunder,

be deemed to have taken or maintained possession or control of the Business or Property, or any part thereof.

- THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing herein contained shall require the 25. Monitor to occupy or to take control, care, charge, possession or management (separately and/or collectively, "Possession") of any of the Property that might be environmentally contaminated, might be a pollutant or a contaminant, or might cause or contribute to a spill, discharge, release or deposit of a substance contrary to any federal, provincial or other law respecting the protection, conservation, enhancement, remediation or rehabilitation of the environment or relating to the disposal of waste or other contamination including, without limitation, the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, the Ontario Environmental Protection Act, the Ontario Water Resources Act, or the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act and regulations thereunder (the "Environmental Legislation"), provided however that nothing herein shall exempt the Monitor from any duty to report or make disclosure imposed by applicable Environmental Legislation. The Monitor shall not, as a result of this Order or anything done in pursuance of the Monitor's duties and powers under this Order, be deemed to be in Possession of any of the Property within the meaning of any Environmental Legislation, unless it is actually in possession.
- 26. THIS COURT ORDERS that that the Monitor shall provide any creditor of the Applicants with information provided by the Applicants in response to reasonable requests for information made in writing by such creditor addressed to the Monitor. The Monitor shall not have any responsibility or liability with respect to the information disseminated by it pursuant to this paragraph. In the case of information that the Monitor has been advised by the Applicants is confidential,

the Monitor shall not provide such information to creditors unless otherwise directed by this Court or on such terms as the Monitor and the Applicants may agree.

- 27. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in addition to the rights and protections afforded the Monitor under the CCAA or as an officer of this Court, the Monitor shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of its appointment or the carrying out of the provisions of this Order, save and except for any gross negligence or wilful misconduct on its part. Nothing in this Order shall derogate from the protections afforded the Monitor by the CCAA or any applicable legislation.
- 28. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor and counsel to the Applicants shall be paid their reasonable fees and disbursements, in each case at their standard rates and charges, by the Applicants as part of the costs of these proceedings, including completing and implementation of the settlements with the class action plaintiffs. The Applicants are hereby authorized and directed to pay the accounts of the Monitor, counsel for the Monitor and counsel for the Applicants on an hourly basis and, in addition, the Applicants are hereby authorized to pay to the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor, and counsel to the Applicants, retainers in the amounts of \$150,000.00 and \$50,000.00, respectively, to be held by them as security for payment of their respective fees and disbursements outstanding from time to time.
- 29. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor and its legal counsel shall pass their accounts from time to time, and for this purpose the accounts of the Monitor and its legal counsel are hereby referred to a judge of the Commercial List of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.

- 30. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor, if any, and the Applicants' counsel shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "Administration Charge") on the Property, which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$500,000.00, as security for their professional fees and disbursements incurred at the standard rates and charges of the Monitor and such counsel, both before and after the making of this Order in respect of these proceedings, including completing the settlements with the class action plaintiffs. The Administration Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 32 hereof.
- 31. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Valle Foam Industries (1995) Inc. ("Valle Foam") shall be authorized to advance funds up to, but not exceeding \$1 million to either of A-Z Sponge & Foam Products Ltd. ("A-Z") or Domfoam International Inc. ("Domfoam") to be used for operating purposes of Domfoam or A-Z, as the case may be, provided that i) no such loan shall be advanced without the prior written consent of the Monitor, ii) that any such loan shall be properly documented and subject to such terms, including rates of interest, if any, which the Monitor deems reasonable it the circumstances, and iii) that any such loan shall be secured by way of a general security agreement which shall provide a first in priority charge on the assets of Domfoam subject only to the priority of the charges granted hereunder. The Applicants may, prior to the advance of any funds, attend to seek a further order of this court to grant a specific charge if the Applicants or the Monitor deem it appropriate or necessary to do so.

VALIDITY AND PRIORITY OF CHARGES CREATED BY THIS ORDER

32. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the priorities of the Directors' Charge and the Administration Charge as among them, shall be as follows:

First – Administration Charge (to the maximum amount of \$5); 500,000

- THIS COURT ORDERS that the filing, registration or perfection of the 33. Directors' Charge or the Administration Charge, (collectively, the "Charges") shall not be required, and that the Charges shall be valid and enforceable for all purposes, including as against any right, title or interest filed, registered, recorded or perfected subsequent to the Charges coming into existence, notwithstanding any such failure to file, register, record or perfect.
- THIS COURT ORDERS that each of the Directors' Charge or the 34. Administration Charge, (all as constituted and defined herein) shall constitute a charge on the Property and such Charges shall rank in priority to all other security interests, trusts, liens, charges and encumbrances, claims of secured creditors, statutory or otherwise (collectively, "Encumbrances") in favour of any Person.
- 35. THIS COURT ORDERS that except as otherwise expressly provided for herein, or as may be approved by this Court, the Applicants shall not grant any Encumbrances over any Property that rank in priority to, or pari passu with, any of the Directors' Charge or Administration Charge, unless the Applicants also obtains the prior written consent of the Monitor, and the beneficiaries of the Directors' Charge and the Administration Charge, or further Order of this Court.
- THIS COURT ORDERS that the Directors' Charge and the Administration 36. Charge shall not be rendered invalid or unenforceable and the rights and remedies of the chargees entitled to the benefit of the Charges (collectively, the "Chargees") shall not otherwise be limited or impaired in any way by (a) the pendency of these proceedings and the declarations of insolvency made herein; (b) any application(s)

for bankruptcy order(s) issued pursuant to BIA, or any bankruptcy order made pursuant to such applications; (c) the filing of any assignments for the general benefit of creditors made pursuant to the BIA; (d) the provisions of any federal or provincial statutes; or (e) any negative covenants, prohibitions or other similar provisions with respect to borrowings, incurring debt or the creation of Encumbrances, contained in any existing loan documents, lease, sublease, offer to lease or other agreement (collectively, an "Agreement") which binds any of the Applicants, and notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in any Agreement:

- (a) the creation of the Charges shall not be deemed to constitute a breach by any of the Applicants of any Agreement to which it is a party;
- (b) none of the Chargees shall have any liability to any Person whatsoever as a result of the creation of the Charges; and
- (c) the payments made by the Applicants pursuant to this Order, and the granting of the Charges, do not and will not constitute preferences, fraudulent conveyances, transfers, settlements at undervalue, oppressive conduct, or other challengeable or void or voidable transactions or reviewable transactions under any applicable law.
- 37. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Charge created by this Order over leases of real property in Canada shall only be a Charge in the Applicants' interest in such real property leases.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

in [newspapers specified by the Court] a notice containing the information

prescribed under the CCAA, (ii) within five days after the date of this Order, (A) make this Order publicly available in the manner prescribed under the CCAA, (B) send, in the prescribed manner, a notice to every known creditor who has a claim against the Applicants of more than \$1000, and (C) prepare a list showing the names and addresses of those creditors and the estimated amounts of those claims, and make it publicly available in the prescribed manner, all in accordance with Section 23(1)(a) of the CCAA and the regulations made thereunder.

- 39. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants and the Monitor be at liberty to serve this Order, any other materials and orders in these proceedings, any notices or other correspondence, by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic transmission to the Applicants' creditors or other interested parties at their respective addresses as last shown on the records of the Applicants and that any such service or notice by courier, personal delivery or electronic transmission shall be deemed to be received on the next business day following the date of forwarding thereof, or if sent by ordinary mail, on the third business day after mailing.
- 40. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants, the Monitor, and any party who has filed a Notice of Appearance may serve any court materials in these proceedings by e-mailing a PDF or other electronic copy of such materials to counsels' email addresses as recorded on the Service List from time to time, and the Monitor may post a copy of any or all such materials on its website at www.deloitte.com/ca/vallefoam.

GENERAL

- 41. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants or the Monitor may from time to time apply to this Court for advice and directions in the discharge of its powers and duties hereunder.
- 42. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Monitor from acting as an interim receiver, a receiver, a receiver and manager, or a trustee in bankruptcy of the Applicants, the Business or the Property.
- 43. THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicants, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicants and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicants and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.
- 44. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor is hereby authorized, as the foreign representative of the Applicants, to apply for recognition of these proceedings as "Foreign Main Proceedings" in the United States pursuant to Chapter 15 of the *U.S. Bankruptcy Code*.
- 45. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each of the Applicants and the Monitor be at liberty and is hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this

Order and for assistance in carrying out the terms of this Order, and that the Monitor is authorized and empowered to act as a representative in respect of the within proceedings for the purpose of having these proceedings recognized in a jurisdiction outside Canada.

- 46. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any interested party (including the Applicants and the Monitor) may apply to this Court to vary or amend this Order on not less than seven (7) days notice to any other party or parties likely to be affected by the order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.
- 47. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order and all of its provisions are effective as of 12:01 a.m. Eastern Standard/Daylight Time on the date of this Order.

#1832803 | 4079509

Tust.

HOLLECTATION OF A TOP TO THE COANSILE PROSPREMOD

JAN 1 2 2012

四江鄉/多人門。

M

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF VALLE FOAM INDUSTRIES (1995) INC., DOMFOAM INTERNATIONAL INC., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST ONTARIO

Proceeding commenced at TORONTO

INITIAL ORDER

MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200 Toronto ON M5H 4G2 Raymond M. Slattery (LSUC #20479L) 416-369-4149

rslattery@mindengross.com

David T. Ullmann (LSUC #423571)

416-369-4148

dullmann@mindengross.com

Sepideh Nassabi (LSUC #60139B)

416-369-4323

snassabi@mindengross.com

416-864-9223 fax

Lawyers for the Applicants

TAB 4

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE MR.) TUESDAY, THE 29th DAY
)
JUSTICE BROWN) OF APRIL, 2014.

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

(the "Applicants")

ORDER (Class Action Settlement & Extension of Stay Period)

THIS MOTION made by the Applicants for an Order extending the stay of proceedings was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the affidavit of Tony Vallecoccia sworn April 22, 2014, and the exhibits thereto, the Ninth Report of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. (formerly known as Deloitte & Touche Inc.), in its capacity as Court-appointed monitor of the Applicants (the "Monitor") and the appendices attached thereto (the "Ninth").

Report"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicants, counsel for the Monitor,

no one appearing for anyone else on the Service List, although properly served as appears from the affidavit of service of Victoria Stewart sworn April 22, 2014,

- 1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the Notice of Motion and Motion Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.
- 2. THIS COURT ORDERS that the settlement of the claim filed by the class action claimants for distribution purposes at a compromised amount of \$40 million inclusive of interests and costs is hereby authorized and approved.
- 3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Stay Period of the Initial Order of Justice Newbould dated January 12, 2012 and as subsequently extended by, *inter alia*, the Order of Justice Newbould dated December 17, 2013, is hereby extended from April 30, 2014 to and including October 30, 2014.
- 4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Ninth Report and the actions, decisions and conduct of the Monitor as set out in the Ninth Report are hereby authorized and approved.

198

- 5. THIS COURT ORDERS that the fees and disbursements of the Monitor and its legal counsel, as set out in the Ninth Report and the Affidavit of Catherine Hristow sworn April 22, 2014, and Affidavit of Grant Moffat sworn April 22, 2014, and the exhibits attached thereto, are hereby authorized and approved.
- 6. THIS COURT HEREBY requests the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicants, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such Orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicants and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, or to assist the Applicants and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.
- 7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each of the Applicants and the Monitor be at liberty and are hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any Court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this Order and for assistance in carrying out the terms of this Order.

ENTERED UT LINSCRIT À TORONTO ON L'OCCUCAD: LE LDAILS LE BEGISTRE NOU

9509

#2125615 | 4079509

APR 2 9 2014

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceeding commenced at TORONTO

ORDER

(Class Action Settlement & Extension Order)

MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200

Toronto ON M5H 4G2

Raymond M. Slattery (LSUC #20479L)

416-369-4149

rslattery(a)mindengross.com

David T. Ullmann (LSUC #423571)

416-369-4148

dullmann@mindengross.com

Sepideh Nassabi (LSUC #60139B)

416-369-4323

snassabi@mindengross.com

416-864-9223 fax

Lawyers for the Applicants

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF 3113736 CANADA LTD., 4362063 IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED CANADA LTD., and A-Z SPONGE & FOAM PRODUCTS LTD.

Court File No. CV-12-9545-00CL

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST ONTARIO

Proceeding commenced at TORONTO

MOTION RECORD OF THE APPLICANTS (returnable October 28, 2014) (re Extension of Stay Period)

MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200 Toronto ON M5H 4G2 Raymond M. Slattery (LSUC #20479L)

416-369-4149

rslattery@mindengross.com

David T. Ullmann (LSUC#423571)

416-369-4148

dullmann@mindengross.com

Sepideh Nassabi (LSUC #60139B)

416-369-4323

snassabi@mindengross.com

416-864-9223 fax

Lawyers for the Applicants