## SUPERIOR COURT

(Commercial Division)

CANADA PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

No.: 500-11-061483-224

#### IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF:

FORMERXBC INC. (FORMERLY XEBEC ADSORPTION INC.)

11941666 CANADA INC. (FORMERLY XEBEC RNG HOLDINGS INC.)

APPLIED COMPRESSION SYSTEMS LTD.

1224933 ONTARIO INC. (FORMERLY COMPRESSED AIR INTERNATIONAL INC.)

FORMERXBC HOLDING USA INC. (FORMERLY XEBEC HOLDING USA INC.)

ENERPHASE INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS, INC.

CDA SYSTEMS, LLC

FORMERXBC ADSORPTION USA INC. (FORMERLY XEBEC ADSORPTION USA INC.)

FORMERXBC PENNSYLVANIA COMPANY (FORMERLY THE TITUS COMPANY)

FORMERXBC NOR CORPORATION (FORMERLY NORTEKBELAIR CORPORATION)

FORMERXBC FLOW SERVICES - WISCONSIN INC. (FORMERLY XBC FLOW SERVICES - WISCONSIN INC.)

CALIFORNIA COMPRESSION, LLC

FORMERXBC SYSTEMS USA, LLC (FORMERLY XEBEC SYSTEMS USA, LLC)

and

#### **DELOITTE RESTRUCTURING INC.**

Debtors / Petitioners

Monitor

PROCÈS VERBAL/MEETING MINUTES
INFORMATION SESSION - PROPOSED ALLOCATION METHOD
JUNE 20, 2023, 1pm EST

#### Persons presenting:

- Deloitte Restructuring Inc. in its capacity as court-appointed monitor (the "Monitor"): Julie Mortreux (JM) and Jean-François Nadon (JFN)
- o McCarthy Tétrault LLP, Monitor's counsel: Mtre Jocelyn T. Perreault (JP)

### Other persons present in person at the Monitor's offices :

- o Monitor: Frédéric Turbide and Frédéric Beaudoin
- o McCarthy Tétrault LLP: Mtre Marc-Étienne Boucher (secretary)
- Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP, Petitioners' counsel: Mtre Sandra Abitan and Mtre Julien Morissette

#### Present on Teams Virtual Meeting: see list attached as Appendix A

[These minutes summarize the presentation made by the Monitor at the Allocation Method's information session and are prepared for information purposes. They do not in any way modify, supersede or replace the detailed information contained in the Monitor's Reports filed since the initiation of the CCAA Proceedings, including the Proposed Allocation Method Report.]

TIME	DESCRIPTION						
1.00	Invitees are admitted in the conference.						
1.01	Welcome words and introduction by JFN:						
	- Complex situation and complex group of entities						
	- We will be presenting today the fruit of our labor in relation to the allocation exercise						
	<ul> <li>Filing occurred in September 2022, SISP was launched for all or part of the group</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Complexities: not an integrated group, multiple jurisdictions, multiple locations and multiple business segments, and challenges to create value for stakeholders</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Filing in CAN and US, NBF was retained to manage SISP and reached out to hundreds of potential investors or purchasers, worldwide. 2 phases to the SISP: Phase 1 was encouraging, but offers received at Phase 2 were not as high as hoped for. Not unusual for this kind of process. Unfortunately, no holistic offer covering all of the entities and businesses, and even no offer for some entities, at this stage</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>After intense work, several offers were clarified, negotiated and closed.</li> <li>13 transactions were completed and all businesses resulting therefrom are currently operating. Positive for stakeholders, including most</li> </ul>						

TIME	DESCRIPTION						
	employees whose employment has been continued, for many clients whose contracts can be continued and for suppliers						
	<ul> <li>Remaining assets are quite marginal and we are at the point of determining outcome of the file and how we could distribute money going forward</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Exercise presented is not on distribution or recovery. This will depe the claims process, amongst other things. Claims Bar Date is July 2 we will have a better view for certain estates</li> </ul>						
	- This allocation which will be presented is a method. It is an exercise that started at the filing date. The purpose here is to allocate proceeds and expenses, fairly and in a transparent manner and to provide an equitable method to do so						
	- The method will be submitted for approval to Justice Immer on June 27 2023, and then for recognition by the US Court						
	<ul> <li>Language: information session will proceed in English, but participants are welcome to ask questions in French, and we will answer and translate simultaneously [repeat this in French]</li> </ul>						
	- Reminder that the Monitor has a dedicated telephone line and email address for questions or additional information						
1.10	Intervention by JP:						
	- This is meant to be an information session						
	- No vote will be held						
	<ul> <li>No rules per se have been put in place to participate, all parties who manifested an interest were provided the link to attend</li> </ul>						
	- The objective, as indicated to the Court, is to present to interested parties the general approach used by the Monitor to establish the Proposed Allocation Method, which is complicated by nature, and to answer questions in advance of the hearing scheduled on July 27.						
1.11	Presentation by JM:						
	- Very complex exercise as pointed out by JFN and JP						
1.12	Question from Sophie Latendresse (FTQ): will the recording be made available if we have to leave before the end?						
	- JFN: yes, it will be posted on the Monitor's website, to the extent possible						

TIME	DESCRIPTION						
1.12	Presentation by JM (cont'd):						
	<ul> <li>Page 7: JM presents the main sections of the proposed allocation me and the overview of the methodology used by the Monitor</li> </ul>						
	Page 7: explains why the allocation is made to BLA as part of corporate overhead expenses						
	- Page 7: corporate overhead expenses for XSU is calculated on a monthly expense average as detailed in the approach						
1.17	Intervention by JP:						
	- This exercise is made for all entities for post-filing expenses and intercompany transactions						
	- For pre-filing intercompany transactions, these will form part of proofs of claims as part of the claims process. This allocation does not deal with intercompany transactions that occurred before the filing date.						
1.18	Presentation by JM (cont'd):						
	<ul> <li>Reimbursements to NBC made by the Petitioners during the CCAA proceedings, allocated between entities where NBC has first ranking security</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Reimbursements to EDC made by the Petitioners during the CCAA proceedings; since insufficient funds to repay EDC in full, allocated between entities where EDC has security</li> </ul>						
	- Assumption of impact of transaction of Xebec UK: repaid \$7.6M by BLA and will be presented later in this presentation						
	- Assumes amount of approximately \$600K repaid to an unsecured creditor of Xebec UK, subject to final resolution and as such amounts could vary						
	- End result of allocation method: allocated net proceeds prior to distribution to creditors (based on estimates at this stage)						
1.21	Intervention by JFN:						
	- This Allocation Method what is sought for Court approval						
	- The roadmap seems complicated, but it is easier to understand with illustration of numbers contained in the report						
	- Any questions before we go to numbers? [No questions asked]						

1.22	Presentation by JM (cont'd):						
	<ul> <li>Slide 8: we start with Proceeds. \$29M went to the Monitor trust account and \$7.6M relating to Xebec UK transaction which went to NBC as reimbursement of secured debt</li> </ul>						
1.23	Intervention by JFN:						
	- Calculation of Proceeds is presented at slide 8. Provides the example of CDA and shows how pro rata of total proceeds is calculated						
1.24	Presentation of JM (cont'd):						
	- Slide 8: excludes future transactions such as Biostreams and Western Midstream						
	- Slide 9: a bit more detailed and easier to visualize. We see each subset of intercompany transactions						
	<ul> <li>On a net basis, for monetary transfers, BLA and XSU have received funds to cover Restructuring Costs and corporate expenses, which are re-allocated between Petitioners.</li> </ul>						
	- Discusses Restructuring Costs, paid and future						
	- Discusses DIP financing receipts and allocation of same between Petitioners						
	- Any questions? [No questions asked]						
1.31	Intervention by JP:						
	<ul> <li>Pro rata result based approach: it is the approach established as being the most fair and equitable in the circumstances of the file and the one used in several other CCAA files where there is a need to perform an allocation.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Not the only method that exists, but the Monitor has looked at an Alternate Scenario which is also presented in the report. No material differences, which is additional evidence that it is an equitable approach in these CCAA proceedings</li> </ul>						
1.32	Presentation by JM (cont'd):						
	Presentation of slide 8:						
	- Illustrates the items discussed at slide 9 and how they interact in the summary (slide 8)						

1.34	Intervention by JP:				
	<ul> <li>Xebec UK shares: this transaction was concluded as part of the SISP even if not a Petitioner. It has resulted in a repayment to NBC as a secured creditor, as UK was a guarantor of the debt owed by BLA</li> </ul>				
1.35	Presentation by JM (cont'd):				
	Presentation of slide 8:				
	- Summarizes the previous section in the chart				
	- Not enough funds at BLA to pay DIP financing. The shortfall is reallocated between Petitioners with sufficient funds				
	- The last allocation are the amounts for the outstanding LCs (approx. \$500K) which is re-allocated like the NBC secured debt reimbursements				
	<ul> <li>This results in the allocated net proceeds prior to distribution between creditors. BLA has no allocated net proceeds, and there are nominal net proceeds in GNR, XHU and XSU</li> </ul>				
	- No questions from public				
1.37	Intervention by JFN:				
	- A lot of other slides in the report provide detail of what was already presented				
	- No intention of going through the granular. What was presented illustrates well the allocation to be approved				
	<ul> <li>Alternate scenario: it became evident that the differences are marginal. The Main Assumption is robust and the Alternate Scenario amounts to the same result and provides a lot of comfort. The Monitor performed this Alternate Scenario to verify that it did not choose a method that impacts certain creditors unfairly</li> </ul>				
	- If no questions, we will conclude on this and have it approved by the Court. [No questions asked]				
	- Note again that the numbers may change, but in general it demonstrates that there will be some amounts available as part of plans of arrangement				
	- Need to complete claims process to know that				
1.40	Intervention of JP:				
	<ul> <li>Reminds attendees that in 10<sup>th</sup> Monitor's Report there is a detailed timetable of next steps, including the milestone to obtain approval from the CCAA Court next week, and recognition in Chapter 15 proceedings thereafter (mid-July)</li> </ul>				

	<ul> <li>July 24 is a key date also, being the claims bar date for all claims agains each of the Petitioners. After the claims bar date, review of claims b Monitor and the company, and presentation of plan(s) of arrangement expected before Sept. 29 in entities where there will be a distribution available</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>For the Petitioners where EDC has no security: definitely a distribution to unsecured creditors (if the final amounts allocated are sufficient); for others it remains to be determined</li> </ul>					
1.43	Closing remarks and conclusion from JFN. Invites attendees to ask final questions. [No questions asked]					
1.43	End of Information Session					

# Appendix A: List of attendees on Teams Virtual Meeting

## Virtual Information Session Request List List updated as at June 20, 2023 1PM

Name	Cie	Represented Party	Entity	Requested Invitation	Present
Éric Savard	Langlois	Haffner Energy	BLA	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
William Bourgault	Langlois	Haffner Energy	BLA	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Sandra Abitan	Osler	Xebec		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Julien Morissette	Osler	Xebec		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Samuel Perron	NRF	EDC		✓	✓
Karen Kimmel	Richter	EDC		✓	✓
Aaron Welch	BC Ministry of Attorney General	BC Ministry of Attorney General	ACS	✓	✓
Maxime Champagne	Gowling	The Wisconsin Compressed Air Corporation (XBC)	XBC	✓	✓
James Cooke	Miller Canfield	Brightmark	UEC	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Marcello Calcagno	Shareholder	Shareholder		✓	
Diane La Barre	Shareholder	Shareholder		✓	
Karl Vetter	L&L Fabrication	Creditor	UEC	✓	✓
Scott Dubov	BOGE America Inc.	Creditor	Flow	✓	✓
Adam Spiro	KRB Avocats	Shanghai Energy Innovation & Development Co.	BLA	✓	✓
Sophie Latendresse	Fonds de Solidarité FTQ	Unsecured Lender	BLA	✓	✓
Caroline Dion	Fonds de Solidarité FTQ	Unsecured Lender	BLA	✓	
Jake Harris	Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP	Ekona Power Inc.	BLA	✓	<b>√</b>
Claudia Giroux-Gamache	Kugler Kandestin LLP	Wagner Equipment Co. & Cadet Sheet Metal Inc.	UEC	✓	✓
Keith Kern	Creditor	Creditor	UEC	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Simon Arnsby	Shareholder	Shareholder		✓	✓