

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

MOTION RECORD
(Extension of Stay Period)
(returnable December 9, 2024)

DATE: December 4, 2024

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 1600, 480 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-3370

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: 416-597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining
Ltd.

INDEX

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

INDEX

Tab No.	Description	Page
1.	Notice of Motion (returnable December 9, 2024)	1
2.	Affidavit of Atef Salama (sworn December 4, 2024)	10
	Exhibit " A " – Second Amended and Restated Initial Order (dated October 27, 2021)	19
	Exhibit " B " – Case Report of the Monitor dated December 18, 2023	35
	Exhibit " C " – Timeline Order (issued February 29, 2024)	38
3.	Draft Order	43

TAB 1

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

**NOTICE OF MOTION
(extension of stay period and approvals)
(returnable December 9, 2024)**

The Applicant will make a motion to Mr. Justice Cavanagh of the Commercial List at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, on Monday, December 9, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the motion can be heard, via Zoom teleconference the details for which will be made available by the courthouse prior to the hearing on the Caselines portal set up for this matter.

PROPOSED METHOD OF HEARING: orally.

THE MOTION IS FOR: an order, substantially in the form of the suggested draft in the motion record:

- a. To the extent necessary, abridging the time for the service on this motion and validating the return of this motion for December 9, 2024 and dispensing with further service thereof;
- b. extending the "Stay Period" as defined in the second amended and restated initial order made on October 27, 2020 to and including June 30, 2025;

- c. approving the twenty-first report to be filed (the “**Twenty-First Report**”); and
- d. approving the fees and disbursements of the Monitor and its counsel as set out in the Twenty-First Report and the Fee Affidavit (as defined in the Twenty-First Report).

THE GROUND FOR THE MOTION ARE:

- 2. Capitalized terms are defined in the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn December 4, 2024 (the “**Salama December 2024 Affidavit**”).

Stay Extension

- 3. Since the last extension made on September 12, 2024, EGR has notably:
 - a. Has participated in and actively worked on keeping to and following the trial schedule for the Tax Litigation;
 - b. continued operating its business in accordance with the court’s orders and the current Protocol; and
 - c. continued to prosecute the Tax Litigation with a view to timeliness and cost-efficiency.
- 4. EGR will be able to support its operations, the Tax Litigation, the herein proceeding and the Protocol for the duration of the extension sought.

5. The Applicant has acted, is acting and will continue to act in good faith and with due diligence, and the sought extension is appropriate, as more fully appears from the Salama December 2024 Affidavit.

Approvals

6. The Monitor seeks approval of its activities, as detailed in the Twenty-First Report, together with approval of the fees and disbursements of the Monitor and its counsel, as detailed in the Twenty-First Report and the Fee Affidavit. The Applicant has reviewed these fees and disbursements and supports the approval of same.

Other Grounds

7. CCAA s. 11, 11.02, 11.03, 11.09, and 18.6.
8. Ontario *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, rules 2.03 and 3.02.
9. Such other and further grounds as counsel may advise and the court permit.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at the hearing of the application:

- a. the Salama December 2024 Affidavit,
- b. the Twenty-First Report of the Monitor, Deloitte Restructuring Inc. to be filed separately, and Fee Affidavit and
- c. such further and other evidence as counsel may advise and the court may permit.

December 4, 2024

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: 416-597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

TO: THE SERVICE LIST

Court File No.: CV-20-00649558-00CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
 (COMMERCIAL LIST)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
***ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.

SERVICE LIST

<p>GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP 480 University Avenue, Suite 1600 Toronto, ON M5G 1V2 Fax: 416.597.3370</p> <p>Mario Forte Tel: 416.597.6477 Email: forte@gsnh.com</p> <p>Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.</p>	<p>DENTONS CANADA LLP 77 King Street West, Suite 400 Toronto-Dominion Centre Toronto, ON M5K 0A1</p> <p>Robert Kennedy Tel: 416.367.6756 Email: robert.kennedy@dentons.com</p> <p>Mark Freake Email: mark.freake@dentons.com</p> <p>Schafner, Michael Email: michael.schafner@dentons.com</p> <p>Lawyers for the Monitor, Deloitte Restructuring Inc.</p>
--	---

<p>DELOITTE RESTRUCTURING LLP Bay Adelaide East 8 Adelaide St. W., Suite 200 Toronto, ON M5H 0A9</p> <p>Phil Reynolds Tel: 647.620.2996 Email: philreynolds@deloitte.ca</p> <p>Warren Leung Tel: 416.874.4461 Email: waleung@deloitte.ca</p> <p>Monitor</p>	<p>BAKER & MACKENZIE LLP 181 Bay Street, Suite 2100 Toronto, ON M5J 2T3</p> <p>Bryan Horrigan Tel: 416.865.3905 Email: bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com</p> <p>Tax Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.</p>
<p>DELOITTE LEGAL CANADA LLP Bay Adelaide East 8 Adelaide St. W., Suite 200 Toronto, ON M5H 0A9</p> <p>Mike Collinge Tel: 416.775.8645 Email: mcollinge@deloittelegal.ca</p> <p>Advisors for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.</p>	<p>MANFRA TORDELLA & BROOKES, INC. Compliance Department 50 W 47th Street, #310 New York, NY 10036, United States</p> <p>Email: compliance@mtbmetals.com</p>
<p>ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA Department of Justice Canada Ontario Regional Office, Tax Law Section 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 Toronto, ON M5H 1T1</p> <p>Sarah Mackenzie Email: sarah.mackenzie@justice.gc.ca</p> <p>Kevin Dias Email: kevin.dias@justice.gc.ca</p> <p>Edward Park Email: edward.park@justice.gc.ca</p>	<p>MINISTRY OF FINANCE (ONTARIO) Legal Services Branch 33 King Street West, 6th Floor Oshawa, Ontario, L1H 8H5</p> <p>Steven Groeneveld, Counsel Tel: 905-431-8380 Email: steven.groeneveld@ontario.ca</p> <p>insolvency.unit@ontario.ca</p>

EMAIL ADDRESS LIST

forte@gsnh.com; philreynolds@deloitte.ca; waleung@deloitte.ca;
bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com; mcollinge@deloittelegal.ca; compliance@mtbmetals.com;
steven.groeneveld@ontario.ca; insolvency.unit@ontario.ca; sarah.mackenzie@justice.gc.ca;
kevin.dias@justice.gc.ca; edward.park@justice.gc.ca
robert.kennedy@dentons.com; mark.freake@dentons.com; michael.schafler@dentons.com

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST
Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

NOTICE OF MOTION
(extension of stay period)
(returnable December 9, 2024)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: (416) 597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

TAB 2

On Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
(the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(**"EGR"**)

**AFFIDAVIT OF ATEF SALAMA
(sworn December 4, 2024)**

I, Atef Salama, of the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, **MAKE OATH**
AND SAY:

1. I am EGR's Vice-President and have been since 2001. As such I have personal knowledge of the facts and matters deposed in this affidavit save where the same are stated to be based upon information or belief, and where so stated I verily believe the same to be true.
2. I make this affidavit in support of EGR's motion for an extension of these CCAA proceedings and the October 27, 2020 second amended and restated initial order (the "**SARIO**"), of which I attach a copy as **Exhibit "A"**, to June 30, 2025.
3. The current extension expires on December 12, 2024.

I. INITIAL AND CONTINUED NEED FOR CCAA PROTECTION

4. EGR is in the precious metal (predominantly, gold) refining and trading business and has been so engaged since 1994.

5. EGR's resort to relief under the CCAA was necessary due to **(i)** the Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA")'s refusal to pay EGR's net tax refunds, including input tax credits under the *Excise Tax Act*, since August 2018, and **(ii)** reassessments in excess of \$189,000,000 issued to EGR on July 28, 2020 for the period from June 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 (the "**2020 Reassessments**").

6. The 2020 Reassessments are being challenged by EGR (the "**Tax Litigation**") in the Tax Court of Canada ("**Tax Court**"). However, they are enforceable notwithstanding contestation,¹ and on or around October 8, 2020, CRA announced it would commence enforcement measures on October 15, 2020.

7. This is not an operational restructuring. But for CRA's refusal to pay EGR's net tax refunds and the 2020 Reassessments, EGR would be solvent and its business would be profitable. An application under the CCAA was necessary to create a *status quo* and allow EGR to obtain, as a first milestone of a restructuring, a decision on the merits in the Tax Litigation.

8. The SARIO provides that a stay of proceedings applies but the Tax Litigation may continue.²

¹ I am referred to the *Excise Tax Act*, s. 315.

² I am referred to paragraph 10 of the SARIO.

II. TAX LITIGATION STATUS

9. Tax counsel for EGR attended a teleconference with Tax Court on December 18, 2023. Monitor's counsel was in attendance at such case conference and in accordance with the endorsement of Justice Cavanagh filed a report (the "**Case Report**") with this Court a copy of which report is attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"**.

10. The Case Report is very brief and contains the following sentence: "The Tax Court has scheduled a trial of the matter to commence February 2025, with evidence to be completed in June 2025 and closing arguments to take place in September 2025. A further case conference has been scheduled for January 29, 2024 to further refine trial logistics."

11. I understand that

- a. On December 22, 2023, EGR's tax counsel served three requests to admit in an attempt to advance the matter.
- b. On January 26, 2024, the Court informed EGR's tax counsel that the case management conference scheduled for January 29, 2024 would need to be rescheduled (no new date or reasons for rescheduling were provided to counsel).
- c. On the same day (January 26, 2024), the Department of Justice served its responses to EGR's requests to admit.
- d. On February 22, 2024, EGR's tax counsel and lawyers from the Department of Justice met to discuss potential admissions that may streamline the trial of this matter.

- e. On February 26, 2024, the parties attended a further teleconference with the Tax court, and on February 28, 2024 a timeline order (attached hereto as **Exhibit “C”**) was issued by the Court setting out dates for various tasks to be completed prior to trial. The Order specifies – amongst other timelines – that the trial commence in February of 2025, with closing arguments to be scheduled for September 2025.
- f. On March 27, and May 1, 2024 EGR's tax counsel and lawyers from the Department of Justice met to discuss potential admissions that may streamline the trial of this matter. A trial management conference was held with the assigned trial judge on June 12, 2024, wherein the parties proposed minor changes to the timeline order mentioned in paragraph e, above. The trial judge informed the parties that instead of closing arguments being held in September of 2025, the closing arguments would be heard in October of 2025 (or, should the evidence portion of the trial run into October, the closing arguments would be heard in November of 2025).
- g. Further meetings were held between EGR's tax counsel and lawyers from the Department of Justice on June 5, 2024, July 4, 2024, July 25, 2024, September 4, 2024, and September 18, 2024 to continue these discussions.
- h. On November 1, 2024, and November 29, 2024 the parties exchanged proposed expert reports and rebuttal reports (and filed such reports with the Tax Court in a sealed envelope). Surrebuttal reports, if any, are due to be filed by December 20, 2024.

12. A further trial management conference is scheduled to be held on December 11, 2024, via teleconference.

III. OTHER MATTERS

13. As outlined in my previous twelve affidavits sworn between December 6, 2022, and June 2024 respectively, I described a situation where proceedings were commenced against EGR in violation of the stay of proceedings connected to EGR through several transactions conducted by persons who are alleged to have committed mortgage fraud against the party and had obtained various relief against EGR. This relief was expunged against EGR, and EGR has engaged in discussions alongside the Monitor to settle the terms of an order providing disclosure of all information EGR has with respect to those impugned transactions which occurred between July 20 and August 24, 2020. While I understand that discussions have taken place between litigation counsel for each party, the counter party has refused all settlement proposals and further action will be necessary to resolve this matter. EGR has decided that it will be necessary to commence proceedings to seek damages from Chicago Title for its actions which have caused harm to the interests of EGR. This will be done in consultation with the Monitor.

IV. DISCUSSIONS WITH CRA

14. In concert with the ongoing discussions among counsel to address the exigencies of the tax litigation, parallel discussions were held among CCAA counsel for CRA and EGR to explore the possibility of a settlement of the tax litigation and the implementation of such settlement using the CCAA proceeding. While productive, with both parties engaged with diligence and in good faith, the parties were unable to reach terms which were acceptable to EGR under the

circumstances. As a consequence, those discussions are at an end, and the focus will be exclusively on the efficient prosecution of the Tax Litigation.

V. OPERATIONS AND EXPENSES

15. Throughout these CCAA proceedings and as mentioned at every extension hearing, EGR has continued to operate its business in accordance with the Protocol as currently drafted. As noted above, this is not an operational restructuring. There are no material changes or developments in EGR's day-to-day operations, and it is operating in the normal course. There is a financial reality that the Tax Litigation is expensive and such expenses will need to be addressed by EGR. The Tax Court has scheduled that the trial commence in February 2025, with evidence completed in June 2025 and closing arguments to take place in October or November of 2025.

16. EGR and its counsel have been working together to achieve such efficiencies and accommodations as may be required to ensure that EGR is able to meet its obligations in the ordinary course over the currency of the litigation process.

17. I understand that the details and figures regarding EGR's business since the latest Monitor's report will be set out in the Monitor's twenty-first report (the "**Twenty-First Report**"), to be filed and served separately.

18. In all previous extension motions, EGR has sought to extend the proceedings for three months. This interval was chosen to reflect the evolution of the Tax Litigation and potential alternative avenues which might present themselves to expedite the end of these proceedings by means other than a trial in the Tax Litigation. As this proceeding has now evolved into a status

where the only potential path forward, barring an unforeseen change, will be to have the trial of this matter, it is EGR's position that it makes most sense to extend the proceeding to reflect a natural break in the anticipated trial schedule, which would be June 30, 2025.

19. EGR understands that the Monitor has considered this approach and is supportive of it in the circumstances.

20. With accommodations, I believe EGR will be able to support its operations, the Tax Litigation, the herein proceeding for the duration of the extension sought, as I understand will more fully appear from the Twenty-First Report.

III. NEED FOR CONTINUED CCAA RELIEF

21. The extension of the stay provisions is necessary considering that the \$189 million 2020 Reassessments are otherwise enforceable notwithstanding contestation. The continuation of the stay is intended to maintain the *status quo* so that EGR may obtain, as a first milestone of its restructuring, a decision on the merits of its case in the Tax Litigation.


22. The SARIO provides that the Protocol terminates automatically upon termination of these CCAA proceedings, and so EGR requests the continuation of these proceedings to allow the Protocol to remain within this court's jurisdiction to enforce, as the case may be.

23. With the above in place, EGR has and will continue to act with due diligence and in good faith with respect to the Tax Litigation, its business and operations, and its relationship with CRA more generally.

SWORN BEFORE ME via video conference at
the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario,
this 4th day of December, 2024 in accordance
with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering Oath or
Declaration Remotely*



Commissioner for taking affidavits
(present at Toronto at the time of swearing)



ATEF SALAMA
(present at Toronto at the time of
swearing)

This is **Exhibit “A”** to the affidavit of
Atef Salama sworn before me via Zoom
this 4th day of December, 2024,
in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering
Oath or Declaration Remotely*



A Commissioner, etc.

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**



THE HONOURABLE MR.

JUSTICE McEWEN

)
)
)

TUESDAY, THE 27TH

DAY OF OCTOBER, 2020

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "Applicant")**

SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED INITIAL ORDER

THE INITIAL APPLICATION, made by the Applicant, pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA"), was heard on October 15, 2020 at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, by videoconference due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

THE APPLICANT'S MOTION for the first Amended and Restated Initial Order was heard on October 19, 2020, and THE APPLICANT'S MOTION for the herein Second Amended and Restated Initial Order was heard this day on October 19, 2020 at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, also by videoconference due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

ON READING the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn October 14, 2020 and the exhibits thereto (collectively, the "**Salama Affidavit**"), and on reading the pre-filing report of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. ("**Deloitte**") as proposed monitor, and on reading the consent of Deloitte to act as the appointed monitor (in such capacity, the "**Monitor**"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, Deloitte, and such other counsel as were present as indicated on the counsel slip, no one else appearing despite being served as evidenced in the affidavit of service, filed:

SERVICE

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Application and the Application Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

APPLICATION

2. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES that the Applicant is a company to which the CCAA applies.

3. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES that the herein Order continues the Initial Order made on October 15, 2020 by Hainey J. and effective as of 12:01 a.m. Eastern Standard/Daylight Time on such date, together with any amendment or restatement of the same.

POSSESSION OF PROPERTY AND OPERATIONS

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall remain in possession and control of its current and future assets, undertakings and properties of every nature and kind whatsoever, and wherever situate including all proceeds thereof (the “**Property**”). Subject to further Order of this Court, the Applicant shall continue to carry on business in a manner consistent with the preservation of its business (the “**Business**”) and Property. The Applicant is authorized and empowered to continue to retain and employ the employees, consultants, agents, experts, accountants, counsel and such other persons (collectively “**Assistants**”) currently retained or employed by it, with liberty to retain such further Assistants as it deems reasonably necessary or desirable in the ordinary course of business or for the carrying out of the terms of this Order.

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall be entitled but not required to pay the following expenses whether incurred prior to or after this Order:

- (a) all outstanding and future wages, salaries, employee and pension benefits, vacation pay and expenses payable on or after the date of this Order, in each case incurred in the ordinary course of business and consistent with existing compensation policies and arrangements; and

- (b) the fees and disbursements of any Assistants retained or employed by the Applicant in respect of these proceedings, at their standard rates and charges.

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as otherwise provided to the contrary herein, the Applicant shall be entitled but not required to pay or otherwise deal with its creditors' claims, whether arising before or after the making of this Order, in accordance with the contracts and agreements in place as of the date of this Order, or that may be mutually agreed upon thereafter.

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as otherwise provided to the contrary herein, the Applicant shall be entitled but not required to pay all reasonable expenses incurred by the Applicant in carrying on the Business in the ordinary course after this Order, and in carrying out the provisions of this Order, which expenses shall include, without limitation:

- (a) all expenses and capital expenditures reasonably necessary for the preservation of the Property or the Business including, without limitation, payments on account of insurance (including directors and officers insurance), maintenance and security services;
- (b) payment for goods or services actually supplied to the Applicant following the date of this Order; and
- (c) payments of principal, interest thereon or otherwise on account of amounts owing by the Applicant to any of its creditors as of this date or thereafter.

8. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall remit, in accordance with legal requirements, or pay:

- (a) any statutory deemed trust amounts in favour of the Crown in right of Canada or of any Province thereof or any other taxation authority which are required to be deducted from employees' wages, including, without limitation, amounts in respect of (i) employment insurance, (ii) Canada Pension Plan, (iii) Quebec Pension Plan, and (iv) income taxes;
- (b) all goods and services or other applicable sales taxes (collectively, "**Sales Taxes**") required to be remitted by the Applicant in connection with the sale of goods and

services by the Applicant, but only where such Sales Taxes are accrued or collected after the date of this Order, or where such Sales Taxes were accrued or collected prior to the date of this Order but not required to be remitted until on or after the date of this Order, and

- (c) any amount payable to the Crown in right of Canada or of any Province thereof or any political subdivision thereof or any other taxation authority in respect of municipal realty, municipal business or other taxes, assessments or levies of any nature or kind which are entitled at law to be paid in priority to claims of secured creditors and which are attributable to or in respect of the carrying on of the Business by the Applicant.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant is hereby directed, until further Order of this Court:

- (a) to grant no security interests, trust, liens, charges or encumbrances upon or in respect of any of its Property; and
- (b) to not grant credit or incur liabilities except in the ordinary course of the Business.

NO PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE APPLICANT OR THE PROPERTY

10. THIS COURT ORDERS that from the date of the present Order and until and including **[December 15, 2020]**, or such later date as this Court may order (the “**Stay Period**” or the “**Stay**”), no proceeding or enforcement process in any court or tribunal (each, a “**Proceeding**”) shall be commenced or continued against or in respect of the Applicant or the Monitor, or affecting the Business or the Property, and any and all Proceedings currently underway against or in respect of the Applicant or affecting the Business or the Property are hereby stayed and suspended, but the Stay shall not apply:

- (a) to the proceeding in Tax Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G, which for avoidance of doubt shall remain procedurally unaffected by the Stay, but the Stay is applicable to the enforcement of any order made in such proceeding affecting the Monitor, the Business or the Property; and

- (b) to any Proceeding the continuation or commencement of which is consented to in writing by the Applicant and the Monitor or allowed with leave of this Court.

NO EXERCISE OF RIGHTS OR REMEDIES

11. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, all rights and remedies of any individual, firm, corporation, governmental body or agency, or any other entities, as those terms may be understood in their broadest sense (all of the foregoing, collectively being “Persons” and each being a “Person”) against or in respect of the Applicant or the Monitor, or affecting the Business or the Property, are hereby stayed and suspended except with the written consent of the Applicant and the Monitor, or leave of this Court, provided that nothing in this Order shall (i) empower the Applicant to carry on any business which the Applicant is not lawfully entitled to carry on, (ii) affect such investigations, actions, suits or proceedings by a regulatory body as are permitted by Section 11.1 of the CCAA, (iii) prevent the filing of any registration to preserve or perfect a security interest, or (iv) prevent the registration of a claim for lien.

NO INTERFERENCE WITH RIGHTS

12. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, no Person shall discontinue, fail to honour, alter, interfere with, repudiate, terminate or cease to perform any right, renewal right, contract, agreement, licence or permit in favour of or held by the Applicant, except with the written consent of the Applicant and the Monitor, or leave of this Court.

CONTINUATION OF SERVICES

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, all Persons having oral or written agreements with the Applicant or statutory or regulatory mandates for the supply of goods and/or services, including without limitation all computer software, communication and other data services, centralized banking services, payroll services, insurance, transportation services, utility or other services to the Business or the Applicant, are hereby restrained until further Order of this Court from discontinuing, altering, interfering with or terminating the supply of such goods or services as may be required by the Applicant, and that the Applicant shall be entitled to the continued use of its current premises, telephone numbers, facsimile numbers, internet addresses and domain names, provided in each case that the normal prices or charges for all such goods or services received after the date of this Order are paid by the Applicant in accordance with normal

payment practices of the Applicant or such other practices as may be agreed upon by the supplier or service provider and each of the Applicant and the Monitor, or as may be ordered by this Court.

NON-DEROGATION OF RIGHTS

14. THIS COURT ORDERS that, notwithstanding anything else in this Order, no Person shall be prohibited from requiring immediate payment for goods, services, use of lease or licensed property or other valuable consideration provided on or after the date of this Order, nor shall any Person be under any obligation on or after the date of this Order to advance or re-advance any monies or otherwise extend any credit to the Applicant. Nothing in this Order shall derogate from the rights conferred and obligations imposed by the CCAA.

PROTOCOL

15. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES that the protocol agreed to on October 27, 2020 among the Applicant, the Canada Revenue Agency (the “CRA”) and the Monitor and appended as a confidential appendix to the Supplement to the Monitor’s First Report dated October 27, 2020 (the “Protocol”) is hereby approved.

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that (i) the Protocol is hereby sealed from the public record until further order of this Court, and (ii) no party to the Protocol shall disclose to any Person all or any portion of the Protocol which shall be confidential information among the Applicant, the CRA and the Monitor, unless (a) the parties thereto agree to such disclosure in advance and in writing, (b) subject to prior notice to the other parties which notice shall provide an opportunity to seek protective relief, disclosure is required by a party in order to satisfy any legal or regulatory requirement, or (c) upon further Order of this Court.

17. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Protocol shall not be amended, restated or supplemented, except with the written consent of the Monitor, the Applicant and the CRA, or further Order of this Court.

18. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Protocol and all monitoring and control measures described therein shall automatically terminate on the earlier of: (i) the mutual agreement of the Monitor, the Applicant and the CRA to terminate the Protocol; (ii) the termination of the CCAA

Proceedings and Deloitte's discharge as Monitor; or (iii) further Order of this Court providing for the termination of the Protocol.

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

19. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, and except as permitted by subsection 11.03(2) of the CCAA, no Proceeding may be commenced or continued against any of the former, current or future directors or officers of the Applicant with respect to any claim against the directors or officers that arose before the date hereof and that relates to any obligations of the Applicant whereby the directors or officers are alleged under any law to be liable in their capacity as directors or officers for the payment or performance of such obligations (including, but not limited to Proceedings arising from section 323 of the ETA), until a compromise or arrangement in respect of the Applicant, if one is filed, is sanctioned by this Court or is refused by the creditors of the Applicant or this Court.

DIRECTORS' AND OFFICERS' INDEMNIFICATION AND CHARGE

20. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall indemnify its directors and officers against obligations and liabilities that they may incur as directors or officers of the Applicant after the commencement of the within proceedings, except to the extent that, with respect to any officer or director, the obligation or liability was incurred as a result of the director's or officer's gross negligence or wilful misconduct.

21. THIS COURT ORDERS that the directors and officers of the Applicant shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "**Directors' Charge**") on the Property, which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$100,000, as security for the indemnity provided in paragraph 16 of this Order. The Directors' Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 27 herein.

22. THIS COURT ORDERS that, notwithstanding any language in any applicable insurance policy to the contrary, (a) no insurer shall be entitled to be subrogated to or claim the benefit of the Directors' Charge, and (b) the Applicant's directors and officers shall only be entitled to the benefit of the Directors' Charge to the extent that they do not have coverage under any directors' and officers' insurance policy, or to the extent that such coverage is insufficient to pay amounts indemnified in accordance with paragraph 16 of this Order.

APPOINTMENT OF MONITOR

23. THIS COURT ORDERS that Deloitte Restructuring Inc. is hereby appointed pursuant to the CCAA as the Monitor, an officer of this Court, to monitor the business and financial affairs of the Applicant with the powers and obligations set out in the CCAA or set forth herein and that the Applicant and its shareholders, officers, directors, and Assistants shall advise the Monitor of all material steps taken by the Applicant pursuant to this Order, and shall co-operate fully with the Monitor in the exercise of its powers and discharge of its obligations and provide the Monitor with the assistance that is necessary to enable the Monitor to adequately carry out the Monitor's functions.

24. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in addition to its prescribed rights and obligations under the CCAA, is hereby directed and empowered to:

- (a) monitor the Applicant's receipts and disbursements;
- (b) report to this Court at such times and intervals as the Monitor may deem appropriate with respect to matters relating to the Property, the Business, and such other matters as may be relevant to the proceedings herein;
- (c) advise the Applicant in its preparation of the Applicant's cash flow statements;
- (d) advise the Applicant as to the herein proceedings, including the eventual formulation of a plan of arrangement or compromise;
- (e) have full and complete access to the Property, including the premises, books, records, data, including data in electronic form, and other financial documents of the Applicant, to the extent that is necessary to adequately assess the Applicant's business and financial affairs or to perform its duties arising under this Order;
- (f) be at liberty to engage independent legal counsel or such other persons as the Monitor deems necessary or advisable respecting the exercise of its powers and performance of its obligations under this Order; and
- (g) perform such other duties as are required by this Order or by this Court from time to time.

25. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall not take possession of the Property and shall take no part whatsoever in the management or supervision of the management of the Business and shall not, by fulfilling its obligations hereunder, be deemed to have taken or maintained possession or control of the Business or Property, or any part thereof.

26. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing herein contained shall require the Monitor to occupy or to take control, care, charge, possession or management (separately and/or collectively, "**Possession**") of any of the Property that might be environmentally contaminated, might be a pollutant or a contaminant, or might cause or contribute to a spill, discharge, release or deposit of a substance contrary to any federal, provincial or other law respecting the protection, conservation, enhancement, remediation or rehabilitation of the environment or relating to the disposal of waste or other contamination including, without limitation, the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*, the *Ontario Environmental Protection Act*, the *Ontario Water Resources Act*, or the *Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act* and regulations thereunder (the "**Environmental Legislation**"), provided however that nothing herein shall exempt the Monitor from any duty to report or make disclosure imposed by applicable Environmental Legislation. The Monitor shall not, as a result of this Order or anything done in pursuance of the Monitor's duties and powers under this Order, be deemed to be in Possession of any of the Property within the meaning of any Environmental Legislation, unless it is actually in possession.

27. THIS COURT ORDERS that that the Monitor shall provide any creditor of the Applicant with information provided by the Applicant in response to reasonable requests for information made in writing by such creditor addressed to the Monitor. The Monitor shall not have any responsibility or liability with respect to the information disseminated by it pursuant to this paragraph. In the case of information that the Monitor has been advised by the Applicant is confidential, the Monitor shall not provide such information to creditors unless otherwise directed by this Court or on such terms as the Monitor and the Applicant may agree.

28. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in addition to the rights and protections afforded the Monitor under the CCAA or as an officer of this Court, the Monitor shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of its appointment or the carrying out of the provisions of this Order or the Protocol, save and except for any gross negligence or wilful misconduct on its part. Nothing in

this Order shall derogate from the protections afforded the Monitor by the CCAA or any applicable legislation.

29. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor and counsel to the Applicant shall be paid their reasonable fees and disbursements, in each case at their standard rates and charges, by the Applicant as part of the costs of these proceedings. The Applicant is hereby authorized and directed to pay the accounts of the Monitor, counsel for the Monitor and counsel for the Applicant incurred in respect of these proceedings or attendant matters both before and during the period for which this Order is effective, and the Applicant is further hereby authorized to pay to the Monitor and counsel to the Applicant, retainers in the amount of \$50,000 for the former and \$40,000 for the latter, to be held by them as security for payment of their respective fees and disbursements outstanding from time to time.

30. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor, if any, and the Applicant's counsel, which for clarity includes all Applicant's counsel such as restructuring counsel and tax counsel, shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "**Administration Charge**") on the Property, which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$300,000, as security for their professional fees and disbursements incurred at the standard rates and charges of the Monitor and such counsel, both before and after the making of this Order, and both in respect of these proceedings and proceedings in respect of any tax assessment or reassessment or similar proceedings. The Administration Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 27 hereof.

VALIDITY AND PRIORITY OF CHARGES CREATED BY THIS ORDER

31. THIS COURT ORDERS that the priorities of the Directors' Charge and the Administration Charge (collectively, the "**Charges**"), as among them, shall be as follows:

First – Administration Charge (to the maximum amount of \$300,000); and

Second – Directors' Charge (to the maximum amount of \$100,000).

32. THIS COURT ORDERS that the filing, registration or perfection of the Charges shall not be required, and that the Charges shall be valid and enforceable for all purposes, including as against any right, title or interest filed, registered, recorded or perfected subsequent to the

Charges coming into existence, notwithstanding any such failure to file, register, record or perfect.

33. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Charges shall constitute a charge on the Property and such Charges shall rank in priority to all other security interests, trusts, liens, charges and encumbrances, claims of secured creditors, statutory or otherwise (collectively, “**Encumbrances**”) in favour of any Person.

34. THIS COURT ORDERS that except as otherwise expressly provided for herein, or as may be approved by this Court, the Applicant shall not grant any Encumbrances over any Property that rank in priority to, or *pari passu* with, the Charges unless the Applicant also obtains the prior written consent of the beneficiaries of the Charges, or further Order of this Court.

35. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Charges shall not be rendered invalid or unenforceable and the rights and remedies of the chargees entitled to the benefit of the Charges (collectively, the “**Chargees**”) shall not otherwise be limited or impaired in any way by (a) the pendency of these proceedings and the declarations of insolvency made herein; (b) any application(s) for bankruptcy order(s) issued pursuant to BIA, or any bankruptcy order made pursuant to such applications; (c) the filing of any assignments for the general benefit of creditors made pursuant to the BIA; (d) the provisions of any federal or provincial statutes; or (e) any negative covenants, prohibitions or other similar provisions with respect to borrowings, incurring debt or the creation of Encumbrances, contained in any existing loan documents, lease, sublease, offer to lease or other agreement (collectively, an “**Agreement**”) which binds the Applicant, and notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in any Agreement:

- (a) the creation of the Charges shall not create or be deemed to constitute a breach by the Applicant of any Agreement to which it is a party;
- (b) none of the Chargees shall have any liability to any Person whatsoever as a result of any breach of any Agreement caused by or resulting from the Applicant entering into the Commitment Letter, the creation of the Charges, or the execution, delivery or performance of the Definitive Documents; and
- (c) the payments made by the Applicant pursuant to this Order and the granting of the Charges do not and will not constitute preferences, fraudulent conveyances,

transfers at undervalue, oppressive conduct, or other challengeable or voidable transactions under any applicable law.

36. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Charge created by this Order over leases of real property in Canada shall only be a Charge in the Applicant's interest in such real property leases.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

37. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall (i) without delay, publish in the Globe and Mail (national edition) a notice containing the information prescribed under the CCAA, (ii) within five days after the date of this Order, (A) make this Order publicly available in the manner prescribed under the CCAA, (B) send, in the prescribed manner, a notice to every known creditor who has a claim against the Applicant of more than \$1000, and (C) prepare a list showing the names and addresses of those creditors and the estimated amounts of those claims, and make it publicly available in the prescribed manner, all in accordance with Section 23(1)(a) of the CCAA and the regulations made thereunder.

38. THIS COURT ORDERS that the E-Service Protocol of the Commercial List (the "**E-Service Protocol**") is approved and adopted by reference herein and, in this proceeding, the service of documents made in accordance with the E-Service Protocol (which can be found on the Commercial List website at <http://www.ontariocourts.ca/scj/practice/practice-directions/toronto/e-service-protocol/>) shall be valid and effective service. Subject to Rule 17.05 this Order shall constitute an order for substituted service pursuant to Rule 16.04 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*. Subject to Rule 3.01(d) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* and paragraph 21 of the E-Service Protocol, service of documents in accordance with the E-Service Protocol will be effective on transmission. This Court further orders that a Case Website shall be established in accordance with the E-Service Protocol with the following URL: [insolvencies.deloitte.ca/en-ca/ExpressGoldRefiningLtd].

39. THIS COURT ORDERS that if the service or distribution of documents in accordance with the E-Service Protocol is not practicable, the Applicant and the Monitor are at liberty to serve or distribute this Order, any other materials and orders in these proceedings, any notices or other correspondence, by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier,

personal delivery or facsimile transmission to the Applicant's creditors or other interested parties at their respective addresses as last shown on the records of the Applicant and that any such service or distribution by courier, personal delivery or facsimile transmission shall be deemed to be received on the next business day following the date of forwarding thereof, or if sent by ordinary mail, on the third business day after mailing.

40. THIS COURT ORDERS that except to the extent incompatible with paragraphs 33 to 35 hereof, due to the COVID-19 pandemic and save Court instructions, the *Consolidated Notice to the Profession, Litigants, Accused Persons, Public and the Media* dated May 13, 2020, as amended (the "**Consolidated Notice**"), the text of which is available at [ontariocourts.ca/scj/notices-and-orders-covid-19/consolidated-notice], and the guidelines set out on the *Changes to Commercial List Operations in light of COVID-19* available at [ontariocourts.ca/scj/changes-to-commercial-list-operations-in-light-of-covid-19], as both may be amended or supplemented from time to time, shall apply to the herein proceeding.

GENERAL

41. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant or the Monitor may apply to this Court for advice and directions in the discharge of their powers and duties hereunder.

42. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Monitor from acting as an interim receiver, a receiver, a receiver and manager, or a trustee in bankruptcy of the Applicant, the Business or the Property.

43. THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicant, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicant and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicant and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.

44. THIS COURT ORDERS that each of the Applicant and the Monitor be at liberty and is hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this Order and for assistance in carrying out the terms of this Order, and that the Monitor is authorized and empowered to act as a representative in respect of the within proceedings for the purpose of having these proceedings recognized in a jurisdiction outside Canada.

45. THIS COURT ORDERS that any interested party (including the Applicant and the Monitor) may apply to this Court to vary or amend this Order on not less than seven (7) days notice to any other party or parties likely to be affected by the order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.



ENTERED AT / INSCRIT À TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO:

OCT 28 2020

PER / PAR:



IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36

AND IN THE MATTER OF A COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.

27 Oct 20

The Order shall go on an unopposed basis as per the draft filed and signed.
It has the Monitor's support.

I have reviewed the draft with counsel. The provisions in the draft are fair and
reasonable. The confidentiality terms meet the Sierra Club criteria.
The stay extension meets the required legal test.

McE...

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

**SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED INITIAL
ORDER**

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: 416-597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Joël Turgeon (Member of the Bar of Quebec,
Ontario Student-at-Law)

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

This is **Exhibit “B”** to the affidavit of
Atef Salama sworn before me via Zoom
this 4th day of December, 2024
in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering
Oath or Declaration Remotely*



A Commissioner, etc.

Devka Sakhrani

From: Kennedy, Robert <robert.kennedy@dentons.com>
Sent: December 19, 2023 3:46 PM
To: Williams-Robinson, Roxanne (MAG)
Cc: Schafler, Michael; Mario Forte (forte@gsnh.com); kevin.dias@justice.gc.ca
Subject: RE: Re: Express Gold Refining Ltd v The AG of Canada CV-20-649558-CL

Importance: High

Good afternoon Roxanne:

In accordance with the endorsement of Justice Cavanagh, the Monitor was to provide the Court with a report on the outcome of the EGR/CRA case conference held at the Tax Court on December 18, 2023.

Can you please forward Justice Cavanagh a copy of this email/report below for his review and consideration:

The case conference proceeded on December 18, 2023 before the Tax Court as scheduled (the “**CMC**”). Both parties wrote to the Tax Court on or about December 15th in advance of the CMC.

Most if not all of the CMC dealt with trial related matters. CRA indicated that it did not intend to settle the tax appeal. While EGR had raised the prospect of settlement discussions in its correspondence filed prior to the CMC, the topic was not pursued any further. Counsel for both parties expressed a willingness to shorten the length of the trial by mutually agreeable means.

The Tax Court has scheduled a trial of the matter to commence February 2025, with evidence to be completed in June 2025 and closing arguments to take place in September 2025. A further case conference has been scheduled for January 29, 2024 to further refine trial logistics.

As always, the Monitor remains prepared to assist the Court in any way.

Regards,

Robert J. Kennedy

Partner

National Practice Group Co-Leader, Restructuring, Insolvency and Bankruptcy

 +1 416 367 6756

Dentons Canada LLP | Toronto

This lawyer practices through a professional corporation.

Dentons is a global legal practice providing client services worldwide through its member firms and affiliates. Email you receive from Dentons may be confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, disclosure, copying, distribution and use are prohibited; please notify us immediately and delete the email from your systems. To update your commercial electronic message preferences email dentonsinsightsca@dentons.com. Please see [dentons.com](https://www.dentons.com) for Legal Notices.

From: Williams-Robinson, Roxanne (MAG) <Roxanne.Williams-Robinson@ontario.ca>
Sent: Monday, December 4, 2023 3:45 PM
To: Kennedy, Robert <robert.kennedy@dentons.com>
Subject: FW: Re: Express Gold Refining Ltd v The AG of Canada CV-20-649558-CL

[WARNING: EXTERNAL SENDER]

See below correspondence and attachments.

Kindly confirm receipt.

Regards,
Roxanne Williams-Robinson
 Court & Client Representative
 Ministry of the Attorney General | Superior Court of Justice
 330 University Ave | Toronto, ON M5G 1R8
 E: roxanne.williams-robinson@ontario.ca

From: Williams-Robinson, Roxanne (MAG)
Sent: December 4, 2023 3:42 PM
To: robert.kennedy@dentons.com; michael.schafler@dentons.com; mark.freake@dentons.com; forte@gsnh.com; Kevin.Dias@justice.gc.ca; fozia.chaudary@justice.gc.ca; edward.park@justice.gc.ca; waleung@deloitte.ca; philreynolds@deloitte.ca
Cc: Rana, Tahir (MAG) <Tahir.Rana@ontario.ca>; JUS-G-MAG-CSD-Toronto-SCJ Commercial List <MAG.CSD.To.SCJCom@ontario.ca>; Fraser, June (MAG) <June.Fraser@ontario.ca>; Clarke, Sancha (MAG) <Sancha.Clarke@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Express Gold Refining Ltd v The AG of Canada CV-20-649558-CL

Good afternoon all,

See attached Endorsement and Order for Stay of Extension of Justice Cavanagh in subject matter heard today, December 4, 2023.

Kindly circulate to any relevant party not contained in this email.

Kindly confirm receipt of this email and its attachments.

Please Note: All future correspondence should be directed to the appropriate Trial Office, Intake Office, and/or judicial assistant.

Regards,
Roxanne Williams-Robinson
 Court & Client Representative
 Ministry of the Attorney General | Superior Court of Justice
 330 University Ave | Toronto, ON M5G 1R8
 E: roxanne.williams-robinson@ontario.ca

This is **Exhibit “C”** to the affidavit of
Atef Salama sworn before me via Zoom
this 4th day of December, 2024
in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering
Oath or Declaration Remotely*



A Commissioner, etc.

Tax Court of Canada



Cour canadienne de l'impôt

February 29, 2024

Jacques Bernier
 Baker & McKenzie LLP
 181 Bay Street, Suite 2100
 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T3

Dear Sir/Madam:

RE: Express Gold Refining Ltd.
 v. His Majesty the King
 2020-1214(GST)G

We enclose herewith a certified true copy of the Judgment/Order in the above-noted matter.

Yours truly,

For the Registrar

Encl.

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS
 TO THE REGISTRAR

ADRESSER TOUTE DEMANDE AU
 GREFFIER
 TEL./TÉL. : 1-800-927-5499

PRINCIPAL OFFICE/BUREAU PRINCIPAL
 200 KENT STREET
 200, RUE KENT
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1A 0M1
 TEL./TÉL. : (613) 992-0901
 FAX : (613) 957-9034

REGIONAL OFFICE/BUREAU REGIONAL
 30 MCGILL STREET
 30, RUE MCGILL
 MONTREAL, QUEBEC
 MONTREAL (QUEBEC) H2Y 3Z7
 TEL./TÉL. : (514) 293-9912
 FAX : (514) 496-1996

REGIONAL OFFICE/BUREAU REGIONAL
 SUITE 200 / BUREAU 200
 180 QUEEN STREET WEST
 180, RUE QUEEN OUEST
 TORONTO, ONTARIO
 TORONTO (ONTARIO) M5V 3L6
 TEL./TÉL. : (416) 973-9181
 FAX : (416) 973-5944

REGIONAL OFFICE/BUREAU REGIONAL
 IBM TOWER / TOUR IBM
 SUITE 300 / BUREAU 300
 701 WEST GEORGIA STREET
 701, RUE WEST GEORGIA
 VANCOUVER, B.C.
 VANCOUVER (C.-B.) V7Y 1K1
 TEL./TÉL. : (604) 666-7987
 FAX : (604) 666-7967

Tax Court of Canada



Cour canadienne de l'impôt

Docket: 2020-1214(GST)G



EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.,

Appellant,

and

HIS MAJESTY THE KING,

Respondent.

Case management conference held on February 26, 2024
at Ottawa, Ontario

Before: The Honourable Eugene P. Rossiter, Chief Justice

Participants:

Counsel for the Appellant:

Jacques Bernier
Bryan Horrigan
David Gadsden
Andrew Boyd
Juliana Orlando Rohr
(Student-at-Law)

Counsel for the Respondent:

Arnold H. Bornstein
Jasmeen Mann
Pallavi Gotla
Kaitlin Coward
Haleigh Johns

ORDER

WHEREAS a case management conference was held February 26, 2024;

AND UPON hearing from the parties;

THIS COURT ORDERS THAT:

1. Justice Ouimet will be the trial Judge for the hearing of this appeal.
2. The trial will be set for a period of 16 weeks in 2025 and will be held in Toronto, Ontario commencing in February 2025 to June 2025. In September 2025, 6 days will be scheduled for the closing arguments.
3. The parties shall file with the Court a list of documents that will go into evidence by consent by September 30, 2024.
4. The parties shall file with the Court a list of documents intended to be introduced as evidence at trial but for which there is no consent and will be subject to proof by September 30, 2024.
5. The parties shall exchange a list of potential witnesses including the name, address, telephone number and email address for each witness and a brief will-say statement of each potential witness by October 15, 2024.
6. The parties shall exchange and file with the Court, in a sealed envelope, any expert reports they intend to produce at trial by October 30, 2024.
7. All rebuttal expert reports shall be exchanged by the parties and filed with the Court, in a sealed envelope, by November 15, 2024.
8. All surrebuttal expert reports shall be exchanged by the parties and filed with the Court, in a sealed envelope, by December 2, 2024.

Signed at Ottawa, Canada, this 28th day of February 2024.


“E.P. Rossiter”

 Rossiter C.J.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above document is a true copy of the original filed of record in the registry of the Tax Court of Canada.
 Je CERTIFIE que le document ci-dessus est une copie conforme à l'original déposé au greffe de la Cour canadienne de l'impôt.

Dated
 Fait le

29 FEB. 2024



 For the Registrar / Pour le Greffier

Appeals Processing Clerk/Commis, Traitement des appels

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST
Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

AFFIDAVIT OF ATEF SALAMA
(sworn December 4, 2024)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: (416) 597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

TAB 3

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	MONDAY, THE 9 th
)	
JUSTICE CAVANAGH)	DAY OF DECEMBER, 2024

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
(the “**CCAA**”)

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the “**Applicant**”)

ORDER
(extension of stay period)

THIS MOTION by the Applicant pursuant to the CCAA was heard before me on December 9, 2024 at 330 University Avenue, Toronto.

ON READING the materials filed including the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn December 4, 2024, and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the twenty-first report (the “**Twenty-First Report**”) of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. in its capacity as court-appointed monitor (in such capacity, the “**Monitor**”) filed, and the Fee Affidavit as defined therein, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, the Monitor, Canada Revenue Agency and such other counsel as were present as may be indicated on the counsel slip, no one else appearing despite being served as appears from the affidavit of service, filed:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the motion record in respect of this motion and the Twenty-First Report is hereby abridged and validated so that the motion is properly returnable today, and that further service thereof is hereby dispensed with.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the activities described in the Monitor's Twenty-First Report and the fees described in the Fee Affidavit are approved.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the "Stay Period" as defined in the second amended and restated initial order made by this court on October 27, 2020 in this proceeding is hereby extended to and including June 30, 2025.
4. This order is effective as of its date at 12:01 am.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT
OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

ORDER
(extension of stay period and approvals)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: (416) 597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceeding commenced TORONTO

MOTION RECORD
(Extension of Stay Period)
(returnable December 9, 2024)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP

480 University Avenue, Suite 1600

Toronto ON M5G 1V2

Fax: 416-597-3370

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)

Tel: 416-597-6477

Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining
Ltd.