# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (IN BANKRUPTCY)

Estate Number: 33-2618511 Court File No.: 33-2618511

IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A PROPOSAL OF EUREKA 93 INC. OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

AND IN THE MATTER OF THREE RELATED INTENDED PROPOSALS (LIVEWELL FOODS CANADA INC., ARTIVA INC., AND VITALITY CBD NATURAL HEALTH PRODUCTS INC.)

SUPPLEMENT TO THE NINTH REPORT OF THE PROPOSAL TRUSTEE DELOITTE RESTRUCTURING INC.

Dated September 13, 2020

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE OF THE	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT 2	
WRITTEN INTERF	ROGATORIES2	,
PROPOSAL TRUST	TEE'S COMMENTS ON THE RESPONDING BRIEF 3	
ADMINISTRATION	N CHARGE UPDATE5	
	APPENDICES	
APPENDIX "A"	Written Questions of Mikhail Gurevich dated September 10, 2020	
APPENDIX "B"	Letter from Chitiz Pathak LLP dated September 12, 2020 with responses to the Written Ouestions of Mikhail Gurevich	

## PURPOSE OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

- 1. This supplement to the Ninth Report (the "Supplemental Report") is prepared by Deloitte Restructuring Inc. ("Deloitte") in its capacity as proposal trustee (the "Proposal Trustee") in response to the "Responding Brief of Dominion Capital" dated September 11, 2020 (the "Responding Brief"), to the Proposal Trustee's motion for security for costs scheduled to be heard by Justice MacLeod on September 14, 2020, and to draw the Court's attention to certain responses made by Mikhail Gurevich/DC to the questions proposed by the Companies.
- 2. Terms not otherwise defined herein are as defined in the Ninth Report.

#### WRITTEN INTERROGATORIES

- 3. The Written Questions of Mikhail Gurevich (the "Companies' Interrogatories") delivered by the Companies' counsel in respect of the Appeal are attached hereto as Appendix "A". The response of Mikhail Gurevich/DC to the Companies' Interrogatories were provided by DC's counsel in a letter dated September 12, 2020 and attached hereto as Appendix "B". The Proposal Trustee notes that on September 10, 2020, DC's counsel advised that, despite his previous positions and motions to conduction examinations of Mr. Poli, DC had decided not to conduct written examinations in respect of the Appeal.
- 4. The Proposal Trustee draws the Court's attention to the Companies' question #37 (p. 10, Appendix "A") and DC's response (p. 5, Appendix "B") regarding the purpose of the Appeal:

Question #37. Aside from bankrupting Artiva, LiveWell and Vitality based on the votes that DC cast at the meetings of creditors held on 28 August 2020, what, if any, objective(s) does DC seek to achieve by establishing claims against Artiva, LiveWell and Vitality?

Answer # 37. This is not a proper question. The Noteholders wish to have their rights qua creditors recognized.

## PROPOSAL TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS ON THE RESPONDING BRIEF

- 5. Further allegations of misconduct and impropriety are made against the Proposal Trustee and its counsel in paragraphs 6 (which was subsequently withdrawn by DC in an email to the Court dated September 11, 2020), 7, 8, and 10 to 15 of the Responding Brief. The Proposal Trustee categorically denies the allegations of misconduct and impropriety.
- 6. The Proposal Trustee notes that the emails incorporated into paragraphs 13 and 14 of the Responding Brief are not in evidence (including an attempt by DC to place an inadmissible settlement offer before the Court simply by using the words "with prejudice"). The Proposal Trustee's position is that these communications are taken out of context and are inadmissible.
- 7. Furthermore, DC has not included nor referred to an email from the Proposal Trustee on August 26, 2020, delivered in response to, and shortly after, DC served a draft unsworn affidavit for the Appeal that was over 1,300 pages.
- 8. The Proposal Trustee advised that it would proceed directly to the Appeal without a motion for security for costs if DC simply dealt with the merits of its Appeal, and removed from its draft affidavit the allegations of misconduct and impropriety against the Proposal Trustee. That exchange of emails between counsel on August 26, 2020 is set out below:

From: Michael Crampton [mailto:MCrampton@chitizpathak.com]

Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 6:12 PM

**To:** 'Shea, Patrick' < <a href="mailto:Patrick.Shea@gowlingwlg.com">Patrick: Shea@gowlingwlg.com</a>; Eric Golden < <a href="mailto:egolden@blaney.com">egolden@blaney.com</a>; 'Bricks, Hartley' < <a href="mailto:hbricks@deloitte.ca">hbricks@deloitte.ca</a>; <a href="mailto:barbara.vanbunderen@siskinds.com">barbara.vanbunderen@siskinds.com</a>; Benjamin G. Blay < <a href="mailto:blay@cohenhighley.com">blay@cohenhighley.com</a>; 'Chad Kopach < <a href="mailto:ckopach@blaney.com">ckopach@blaney.com</a>; 'Andrew J. Lenz' < <a href="mailto:alenz@perlaw.ca">alenz@perlaw.ca</a>

Cc: Elliot Birnboim < <u>EBirnboim@ChitizPathak.com</u>> Subject: In re Eureka 93 Inc. - Motion materials

Counsel, Mr. Bricks,

Please find attached the Notice of Motion for our client's disallowances appeal. The affiant for the supporting affidavit is in transit so to provide you with our client's materials ahead of Friday's meetings as Justice MacLeod intended we are serving an unsworn copy at this time. It is too large to email but may be downloaded at the following temporary link: <a href="https://we.tl/t-4zj97MEDaK">https://we.tl/t-4zj97MEDaK</a>.

A motion record compiling the Notice of Motion and sworn affidavit will be served upon the full service list and filed ahead of the case conference scheduled for September 2, 2020.

Best, Michael

From: Eric Golden

Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 7:16 PM

To: 'Elliot Birnboim' <EBirnboim@ChitizPathak.com>

Cc: 'Shea, Patrick' <Patrick.Shea@gowlingwlg.com>; 'Bricks, Hartley'

<a href="mailto:</a>, barbara.vanbunderen@siskinds.com; Benjamin G. Blay

<blay@cohenhighley.com>; Chad Kopach <ckopach@blaney.com>; 'Andrew J. Lenz'

<alenz@perlaw.ca>; Michael Crampton < MCrampton@chitizpathak.com>

Subject: RE: In re Eureka 93 Inc. - Motion materials

The intention of RSJ Macleod seems straightforward as per below from the attached Memorandum issued August 20, 2020.

1. The noteholders shall serve their appeals and supporting materials by August 26th, 2020.

The "appeal" materials are 1304 pages long, because they are not simply appeal materials.

A quick review of the proposed record reveals that you are also using the "appeal" process as a cloak to personally attack the Proposal Trustee and its counsel, with frivolous, scandalous and vexatious allegations, and attempting to litigate your motion to remove the Proposal Trustee. Besides the fact that the removal motion without merit, it was ordered bumped down the line by RSJ MacLeod. As a result of submissions on the last Case Conference, you are also clearly aware of what the test is to remove a Trustee, and what you are therefore alleging.

Your conduct in this proceeding (and others, such as *Dunford v. Birnboim*, which will be brought to the Court's attention if required) reveals a pattern of behavior that involves attacking opposing counsel, at almost every turn.

However, since you have not delivered your client's sworn affidavit yet, you still have an opportunity to pull back and simply focus on the merits of the appeal. If you do so, the parties can simply proceed to argue the appeal.

If you do not, and instead serve the draft affidavit in a sworn form, your client will be met with a motion for it to post security for costs for the "appeal", and you will be met with a motion seeking costs against you personally, both on a full indemnity basis.

Eric Golden

Partner - Co-chair, Business Reorganization & Insolvency Group <a href="mailto:egolden@blaney.com">egolden@blaney.com</a>

① 416-593-3927 | ② 416-596-2049

9. There was no further communication from DC to the Proposal Trustee or its counsel on this issue until the following email from counsel for DC to the Service List on August 31, 2020, serving a sworn version of the entire DC draft Appeal affidavit:

From: Juliet Vergara [mailto:JVergara@ChitizPathak.com]

Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 3:31 PM

To: Service List

Cc: Elliot Birnboim <EBirnboim@ChitizPathak.com>; Michael Crampton

<MCrampton@chitizpathak.com>

Subject: In the matter of the Notice of Intention to Make a Proposal of Eureka 93 Inc. et al.,

CFN - 33-2618511 et al.

To the Service List:

Served upon you pursuant to the *Rules*, please find hereafter a temporary download link for the Motion Record of Dominion Capital for the Disallowances Appeal to be scheduled at the case conference before Justice MacLeod on September 2, 2020: <a href="https://we.tl/t-tcfEo3oqLp">https://we.tl/t-tcfEo3oqLp</a>

The link will remain live for seven days.

Best regards,

Regards, Juliet Vergara Law Clerk

### ADMINISTRATION CHARGE UPDATE

In paragraph 18 of the Eighth Report, the Proposal Trustee advised that as of August 21, 2020, the Companies had accrued and outstanding professional fees of approximately \$254,000. They have since incurred further professional fees as a result of the Appeal, which fees have the benefit of the security of the Administration Charge provided for under the March 9, 2020 Order of Justice MacLeod. As a result, the cost of an Appeal, whose purpose DC has admitted is simply to exercise its right to bankrupt Artiva, Livewell and Vitality (should the Appeal be successful), would be borne by the Companies' creditors (to whom the Appeal has no ostensible benefit) if the Appeal is dismissed, and with no possibility of recovery of costs from DC if security for costs is not posted.

All of which is respectfully submitted this 13th day of September, 2020.

DELOITTE RESTRUCTURING INC, solely in its capacity as the Proposal Trustee of the Companies and not in its personal or corporate capacity

Per:

Hartley Bricks, MBA, CPA, CA, CIRP, LIT

Senior Vice-President

# APPENDIX A

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (IN BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY)

Estate Number: 33-2618511 Court File No.: 33-2618511

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A PROPOSAL OF EUREKA 93 INC. OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

AND IN THE MATTER OF THREE RELATED INTENDED PROPOSALS (LIVEWELL FOODS CANADA INC., ARTIVA INC., AND VITALITY CBD NATURAL HEALTH PRODUCTS INC.)

AND IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 192 OF THE CANADA BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-44, AS AMENDED, AND IN THE MATTER OF A PROPOSED ARRANGEMENT OF 12112744 CANADA LIMITED AND INVOLVING LIVEWELL FOODS CANADA INC. AND ARTIVA INC.

## WRITTEN QUESTIONS OF MIKHAIL GUREVICH

Date: 10 September 2020

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
1 First Canadian Place, Suite 1600
100 King Street West
Toronto, ON M5X 1G5

E. PATRICK SHEA (LSO No. 39655K)

Tel: (416) 369-7399 / Fax: (416) 862-7661 Email: patrick.shea@gowlingwlg.com

BENOIT M. DUCHESNE (LSO No. 44922I)

Tel: (613) 786-0142 / Fax: (613) 788-3637 Email: benoit.duchesne@gowlingwlg.com

Lawyers for the Debtors

## Written Questions of Mikhail Gurevich

### I. Introduction

- 1. This are the written questions to Mikhail Gurevich in connection with the appeal by Dominion Capital LLC ("DC") of the disallowance of its claims against Artiva Inc. ("Artiva"), LiveWell Foods Canada ("LiveWell") and Vitality CBD Natural Health Products Inc. ("Vitality" and together with Artiva and LiveWell, the "Debtors").
- 2. In the interests of focusing on the substantive merits of the appeal, not all of the assertions or arguments made by Mr. Gurevich in his Affidavit sworn 31 August 2020 (the "Affidavit") will be addressed or challenged. That should not be taken as the Debtors agreeing with the assertions or arguments made by Mr Gurevich.

## II. Questions of Mr Gurevich

## A. Claims against Directors

In paragraph 47 of the Affidavit, you make the assertion that, in your view, the claims made by DC against the Debtors' directors and disallowed by the Trustee are not "properly dealt with as part of this Appeal".

1. Has DC now abandoned its appeal of the disallowance of the claims asserted against the Debtors' directors?

#### B. Counsel to the Debtors

In paragraph 4(a) of the Affidavit, you assert that Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP ("Gowling") was counsel for the Debtors in connection with the sale of the New Mexico Facility to the Noteholders and the Partial Payment Agreement. In paragraphs 27 and 42(b)(ii), you assert that Gowling was "involved in [the Montana Transaction]".

2. On what documentation/information did or do you rely in asserting that Gowling was counsel to the Debtors in connection with the New Mexico Transaction and the Partial Payment Agreement or "involved in" the Montana Transaction?

Attached as Exhibit [A] are e-mails relating to the New Mexico Transaction/Partial Payment Agreement that include e-mails from Thierry Valat De Cordova, who identifies himself as General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer at DC, and Philip Gross to Seann Poli with respect to the Partial Payment Agreement and the closing of the New Mexico Transactions.

- 3. If DC believed that Gowling represented the Debtors in connection with the New Mexico Transaction and the Partial Payment Agreement why were Mr De Cordova and Mr Gross dealing directly with the Debtors' management instead of with Gowling?
- 4. Is it not the case that Mr. De Cordova, or other lawyers acting for DC, prepared all of the documentation with respect to the New Mexico Transaction, ie the Partial Payment Agreement, the Bill of Sale and all of the closing document? If not, who prepared the Partial Payment Agreement, the Bill of Sale and the closing documents?

### C. Montana Transaction

Attached as Exhibit [B] is an e-mail exchanged between Mr Gross and Mr Poli in December of 2019 with respect to DC's security over Vitality LLC's property in Montana.

- 5. Please provide copies of all e-mail or other correspondence between Mr Gross and DC or any of the Noteholders or among the Noteholders arising as a result of or relating to the attached e-mail exchanges?
- 6. What, if any, steps did DC take to perfect, register or assert its security interest over Vitality LLC's property in Montana as a result of the steps taken by Surety or the attached e-mail exchange?
- 7. Has DC taken any proceedings in Montana or elsewhere to assert its security interest in Vitality LLC's property in Montana? If not, why?

DC has asserted that the Mutual Release signed by Eureka 93 impacted DC's "first ranking" security over Vitality LLC in Montana.

- 8. Please provide evidence that DC had registered its security interest over Vitality LLC's property in Montana?
- 9. Explain on what basis DC asserts that the release by Eureka 93 of its rights in the Montana Facility impacted DC's rights as a secured creditor of Vitality LLC or DC's security over Vitality LLC's property in Montana?

At paragraph 29 of the Affidavit, you quote from a single e-mail from Mr Poli to, among others, Mr Gross.

10. Can you please provide copies of all of the e-mails to and from Mr. Gross with respect to the Montana Facility and DC's security over Vitality LLC's property in Montana?

## D. Assertion re Single Transaction

In paragraph 14 of the Affidavit, you assert that each of the February and March Notes were part of a one single financing transaction

- 11. Is it not correct that: (a) there are separate Term Sheets and Securities Purchase Agreements for the February Notes and the March Notes; and (b) the Securities Purchase Agreements each contemplated separate security packages—see Article 2.4 for example—and include "entire agreement" provisions—see Article 5.3?
- 12. How do you reconcile this with your assertion that the February and March Notes were part of a one single financing transaction?

## E. Scope of February Guarantee

In paragraph 36 of the Affidavit, you quote from various documents in an effort to establish the scope of the February Guarantee includes the obligations owing under the March Notes.

13. Please provide the specific page reference in DC's Motion Record for the provision quoted in paragraph 36(b)?

### F. Assertions re Intention

In paragraph 40 of the Affidavit, you rely on statements made by Mr. Poli in three Affidavits sworn by him in these proceedings to support your position with respect to the interpretation of the.

14. Do you assert that the statement in paragraph 41 of the Affidavit of Seann Poli sworn 18 February 2020 and reproduced at Exhibit M to the Affidavit is accurate in terms of DC's position *vis-à-vis* Eureka 93 and the Debtors?

## G. Partial Payment Agreement/New Mexico Transaction

In DC's Proofs of Claim and Amended Proofs of Claim DC assert that the credit that Eureka 93 is to be given based on the flow of funds resulting from the sale by Vitality LLC of the New Mexico Facility to the Noteholders is USD\$300K and not the USD\$3MM provided for by the Partial Payment Agreement.

- 15. Can you confirm whether DC has abandoned the assertion that USD\$300K, as opposed to USD\$3MM, is to be applied to reduce the amount owing to the Noteholders?
- 16. If DC is still asserting that USD\$300K as opposed to USD\$3MM is to be applied to reduce the amount owing to the Noteholders then:
  - (a) Please provide copies of the appraisal(s) or other documentary evidence that DC relies upon to assert that the value of the New Mexico Facility is no more than USD\$300K?

(b) Please explain the basis upon which DC now asserts the right unilaterally amend the Partial Payment Agreement in light of the fact that the Partial Payment Agreement contemplates that the Noteholders would only close after being satisfied with their own due diligence and in light of the fact that the Bill of Sale provides for the transfer of the New Mexico Facility on an "as is, where is" basis without any representations or warranties?

DC asserts that the Partial Payment Agreement permitted the Noteholders to allocate the USD\$3MM (or USD\$300K) payable to Vitality LLC against the obligations owing on the Notes in their sole and absolute discretion.

17. Can you refer to the specific provisions in the Transaction Documents that you assert permit the Noteholders to apply the USD\$3MM (or USD\$300K) to the February Notes or March Notes at their sole discretion?

Attached as Exhibit [C] are e-mails from Mr. Gross in November of 2019 referencing a transaction with a Wes Richins with respect to the sale of the New Mexico Facility.

- 18. Can you please provide a copy of any and, all agreements with Wes Richins with respect to the New Mexico Facility?
- 19. Can you please provide copies of all correspondence and documents in your possession or under your control relating to the transaction with Wes Richins?

## H. Subordination and Postponement Agreement

Attached as Exhibit [D] is a Subordination and Postponement Agreement dated 14 February 2019 (the "February Postponement"). This document was taken from an e-mail link provided by Bennett Jones LLP in response to a request by the Debtors for copies of DC's security.

- 20. Do you dispute that the attached Subordination and Postponement was delivered in connection with the February Note Transaction?
- 21. Why did you not include the February Postponement in the Documents delivered to the Trustee?

## I. Interest Calculation

In paragraphs 45 and 46 of the Affidavit, you include interest calculations. Those interest calculations are based on the base amount owing under the February and March Notes being USD\$11.4MM.

- 22. Can you confirm that the USD\$11.4MM is an error and that the actual base amount owing is USD\$8.4MM?
- 23. Can you provide a detailed calculation of the claimed Mandatory Default Amount?

## J. USD\$3.6MM Repayment

Eureka 93 sold USD\$15MM in Notes to the Noteholders in March of 2019. A 10% fee was deducted from the funds provided to Eureka 93 and certain funds were placed into escrow. In or about August of 2019, USD\$3.6MM was paid out of escrow back to the Noteholders rather than to Eureka 93.

Why has DC not given a "credit" to Eureka 93 for the USD\$360K in fees Eureka 93 paid in respect of the USD\$3.6MM?

## K. Other Security

Article 2.4 of both the February and March Securities Purchase Agreements provides for the delivery of various security to DC to secure the performance by Eureka 93 of its obligations under the February and March Notes.

25. Is it correct that DC did not take security over the property of Acenzia as provided for in Article 2.4(e)?

## L. Valuation of Ottawa Property

In paragraphs 83 through 86 of the Affidavit, you make various assertions with respect to what you refer to in paragraph 83 as the reliance letter dated 29 October 2019 (the "Reliance Letter"). In response to DC's request for information, Rock Kouwenberg provided DC with a package of documents that related to, among other things, the Reliance Letter. That package included a PDF document titled "2018 Reliance Letter" (the "Reliance Letter Document"). A copy of the Reliance Letter Document is attached as Exhibit [E].

Were you aware of and provided a complete copy of the Reliance Letter Document when you swore the Affidavit?

In paragraphs 83 and 84 of the Affidavit, you take excerpts from the Reliance Letter Document.

27. Why did you not refer to or include the full Reliance Letter Document in the Affidavit or attach a copy of the Reliance Letter Document to the Affidavit?

In paragraph 84 of the Affidavit, you take an excerpt from the Reliance Letter Document that you refer to as the "back up analysis of sales".

28. Is it not the case that the Reliance Letter Document also includes notes from inquiries that Mr Kouwenberg made with respect to the market? Why did you not refer to or reference these notes?

In paragraph 85 of the Affidavit, you assert that the information you quote in paragraph 84 shows cannabis facilities listed in 2019.

29. On what basis do you assert that some or all of the properties listed in paragraph 84 were cannabis facilities? Did you confirm this fact with Mr Kouwenberg before swearing the Affidavit? If yes, please provide copies of the correspondence with Mr Kouwenberg or his counsel?

In paragraph 85 of the Affidavit, you assert that the listing and sale prices in paragraph 84 support the conclusion that \$15MM valuation of the Ottawa Facility did not represent market value and is higher than market value.

- 30. Without knowing the acreage of the properties listed in paragraph 84, how can you make the assertion that the \$15MM valuation of the Ottawa facility did not represent market value and is high?
- Is it not the case that Mr Kouwenberg provided a listing for a 22.542 acre greenhouse property in Manotick, Ontario at \$5.3MM? Is it also not the case that the Ottawa Facility is 100 acres? On what basis do you assert that a \$5.3MM listing for a 22.542 acre property indicates that a \$15MM valuation for a 100 acre property is high?
- 32. Do you know the size of any of the other properties identified in paragraph 84? Did you request that information from Mr Kouwenberg before swearing the Affidavit? If yes, please provide copies of the correspondence with Mr Kouwenberg or his counsel?

## M. Reference re Timing of Proposal Proceedings

In paragraph 86 of the Affidavit, you imply that you were surprised that the Proposal Proceedings were being contemplated in the Fall/Winter of 2019.

33. Is it not the case that in in paragraph 18 his Affidavit sworn 28 February 2020, Mr Gross confirmed that the Noteholders have "been actively engaged in discussions with the Eureka Group since October, 2019" and "[a]s part of those discussions, we have had a number of e-mail exchanges and telephone conversations to discuss, among other things, the financial issues facing the Eureka Group"?

34. Do you deny that at least by early December of 2019, Mr. Gross was expressly aware that the Proposal Proceedings were being contemplated? To refresh your memory you may wish to refer to the summaries of the recorded telephone conversations between Mr. Gross and Mr Poli referenced in paragraphs 11 through 19 of Mr. Poli's Affidavit sworn 25 February 2020?

## N. Revenue Projections

In paragraph 87 of the Affidavit, you assert that: (a) the Trustee has "endorsed" revenue projections that you assert are those of Mr Poli; and (b) Artiva has, as at 1 June 2020, failed to generate any revenue.

- 35. Please identify and provide a copy of the revenue projections that you assert are those of Mr Poli?
- 36. Please identify the evidence that you rely on to assert that the revenue projection you assert are those of Mr Poli were "endorsed" by the Trustee?

### M. DC's Objective

DC cast its votes against each of the Proposals filed by the Debtors. As a result, if DC's Appeals are allowed, the Debtor's will be deemed to have made assignments and will be bankrupt. DC has conceded that the realizable value of the Debtors' property is such that DC's security is of no value and it will receive no distribution in the bankruptcies of the Debtors.

37. Aside from bankrupting Artiva, LiveWell and Vitality based on the votes that DC cast at the meetings of creditors held on 28 August 2020, what, if any, objective(s) does DC seek to achieve by establishing claims against Artiva. LiveWell and Vitality?

**EXHIBIT A** 

From: Thierry Valat De Córdova < thierry@domcapllc.com>

Sent: January 31, 2020 11:28 AM
To: Seann Poli <<u>SPoli@eureka93.com</u>>

Cc: Philip Gross cc: Philip Gross com; Mikhail Gurevich <mikhail@domcaplic.com</pre>

Subject: Explanation of Closing Documents to Sign

Hello:

Nice to meet you, even if only by email. I understand from Phil it would be helpful for me to describe the closing documents. I am happy to do that. Let me know if you have a preferred time, otherwise I will try you shortly.

In case it is helpful, I have pulled out the docs that need signature and attached them, together with the bill of sale for the equipment which I believe to be the last document needed (basically a one sentence document that refers to the letter and says the equipment is transferred). So please ignore the other docs in the other email - only the ones attached need to be signed.

Best, Thierry

Thierry Valat De Córdova
General Counsel & Chief Compliance Officer\*
Dominion Capital Holdings LLC
256 West 38th Street • 15th Floor • New York NY 10018
Office: (212) 785-1682

Office: (212) 785-4682 Mobile: (978) 460-7395 www.domcapllc.com

www.pickwickcapitalpartners.com

From:

Seann Poli

To:

Thierry Valat De Córdova; Mikhail Gurevich

Cc; Subject: Philip Gross

Date:

February 6, 2020 12:50:59 PM

RE: BILL OF SALE.docx

Attachments:

New Mexico BILL OF SALE.pdf

image002.png

Here you go Gents.

## PRIVILEDGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Have a great day and live well,

#### Seann Poli

CEO

Eureka 93 Inc.

Ingenuity. From the ground up.

Ottawa, Ontario

www.eureka93.com



## artiva\*

### **Confidentiality Note:**

This email may contain confidential and/or private information. If you received this email in error please delete and notify sender.

From: Thierry Valat De Córdova <thierry@domcapllc.com>

Sent: February 6, 2020 11:09 AM

To: Seann Poli <SPoli@eureka93.com>; Mikhail Gurevich <mikhail@domcapllc.com>

Cc: Philip Gross <pg@templeasset.com>

Subject: Re: BILL OF SALE.docx

We'll try to make it work without a notary. Thanks Sean.

Best,

Thierry.

Thierry Valat De Córdova

General Counsel & Chief Compliance Officer\*

**Dominion Capital Holdings LLC** 

256 West 38th Street • 15th Floor • New York NY 10018

Office: (212) 785-4680 Mobile: (978) 460-7395

### www.domcapllc.com www.pickwickcapitalpartners.com

\*Registered Representative - Broker-Dealer Services provided through Pickwick Capital Partners, LLC - Member FINRA and SIPC - 445 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 1102, White Plains, NY 10601 - 914.358.3269.

DISCLAIMER: This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s)named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail and any attachments hereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please immediately notify the sender and permanently delete the original and any printout thereof. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

On Thu, Feb 6, 2020 at 11:03 AM Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com > wrote:

Hi Phil.

I'll sign that document today. I'm not inclined to get it notarized. I've put it way too much of my own cash into the company over the last few months already.

Have a great day and live well

Co-CEO, Director Seann Poli

From: Philip Gross <pg@templeasset.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:58:20 PM

To: Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com>

Cc: Thierry Valat De Córdova < thierry@domcapllc.com>

**Subject:** Re: BILL OF SALE.docx

Sean

Have you managed to sign? It's pretty routine for the transaction and shouldn't be complicated. When do you want to discuss future prospects?

Regards

Phil

- > On Feb 5, 2020, at 11:34 AM, Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com > wrote:
- >
- > Morning gents,
- > I'm getting to your document right now.

> Phil, we definitely have to chat about the Artiva plans. I have a couple of bizdev items I want to solidify over the next few days and then will be ready to discuss further.  > Just had a call from another processor who is trying to sell me Canadian made cbd isolate for \$25k/kiloI think there is some margin there to compete with  >
> PRIVILEDGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
>
> Have a great day and live well, >
> Seann Poli
> CEO
> Funda 02 to
> Eureka 93 Inc.
> Ingenuity. From the ground up. > Ottawa, Ontario
> www.eureka93.com
>
>
>
> Confidentiality Note:
> This email may contain confidential and/or private information. If you received this email in
error please delete and notify sender.
>Original Message
> From: Philip Gross <pg@templeasset.com> &gt; Sent: February 4, 2020 9:15 PM</pg@templeasset.com>
> To: Seann Poli < <u>SPoli@eureka93.com</u> >
> Cc: Thierry Valat De Córdova < thierry@domcapllc.com>
> Subject: BILL OF SALE.docx
>
> Sean,
>
> We have managed to eliminate the requirement for all the other documents so all that is
needed now is the attached document signed and notarized. It's very straight forward and should
be self explanatory. Please sign and return.
<b>&gt;</b>
> On a separate note, we would really like to analyze with you the plans and forecast for artiva and how we can work together to achieve this. Absent any information we are not in a position to evaluate your proposition for moving forward. Let me know when you can discuss and what information you can provide in this regards.
> > Regards
> regards
> Phil

\*\*\*

| >

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:
This email is confidential, intended for only the recipients named above and may contain information that is private, confidential, privileged, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this email in error or are not a named recipient, please note that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email or any attachment is strictly prohibited. Therefore, please notify immediately the sender or legal@domaplic.com, delete this email and its attachments from your computer and do not disclose, retain, copy or use this email or its attachments for any purpose. Thank you.

From: Philip Gross <pg@templeasset.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 1:13:51 PM

To: Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com >

Cc: Owen Kenney < okenney@eureka93.com >

Subject: Re: Agreement for Transfer of NM Property 07.docx

Hi Sean

We are working on the final documents now but there has been some confusion on the exact pinpoint details of the plot which is currently being resolved. Might have all documents later today or tomorrow

### Regards

#### Phil

- > On Dec 18, 2019, at 5:15 PM, Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com wrote:
- > Hi Phil.
- > How is this doc with attachments coming along?
- >
- > PRIVILEDGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
- > Have a great day and live well,
- > Seann Poli
- > Co-CEO
- \_ (
- > Eureka 93 Inc.
- > Ingenuity. From the ground up.
- > Ottawa, Ontario
- > www.eureka93.com

## **EXHIBIT B**

From: Philip Gross <pg@templeasset.com>

Sent: December 12, 2019 11:48 AM
To: Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com >

Subject: Re: Montana security

This ucc looks like it was filed last month?

On Dec 12, 2019, at 4:44 PM, Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com > wrote:

Hi Phil,

Do you have any UCC reports showing the security that the hedge funds have security at the Montana facility? I am getting a report that shows only Surety on the UCC and I would be shocked if dominion et al was not registered. Also, can you confirm that there were no documents in the dataroom at the time when the funds were conducting due diligence before lending funds. They should have a link to the folder.

The reason why I ask this is because it just seems strange with this Surety claim and I want to make sure the funds maintain any claim that's fair to them.

I would like to also have a discussion about how to split the GSA between Artiva, Montana, and New Mexico. I don't think its right that Montana skirts any obligations.

## F PRIVILEDGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Have a great day and live well,

### Seann Poli

Co-CEO

Eureka 93 Inc.

Ingenuity. From the ground up.

Ottawa, Ontario

www.eureka93.com

<image001.jpg>

<image004.png>

**Confidentiality Note:** 

This email may contain confidential and/or private information. If you received this email in error please delete and notify sender.

<Surety Land Development.pdf>

From: Philip Gross <pg@templeasset.com>

Sent: December 12, 2019 11:47 AM
To: Seann Poli <<u>SPoli@eureka93.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Montana security

I will check with the funds on this as I was not involved in any of this. Will you be signing the latest draft now?

On Dec 12, 2019, at 4:44 PM, Seann Poli < <a href="mailto:SPoli@eureka93.com">SPoli@eureka93.com</a>> wrote:

#### Hi Phil,

Do you have any UCC reports showing the security that the hedge funds have security at the Montana facility? I am getting a report that shows only Surety on the UCC and I would be shocked if dominion et al was not registered. Also, can you confirm that there were no documents in the dataroom at the time when the funds were conducting due diligence before lending funds. They should have a link to the folder.

The reason why I ask this is because it just seems strange with this Surety claim and I want to make sure the funds maintain any claim that's fair to them.

I would like to also have a discussion about how to split the GSA between Artiva, Montana, and New Mexico. I don't think its right that Montana skirts any obligations.

#### PRIVILEDGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Have a great day and live well,

### Seann Poli

Co-CEO

Eureka 93 Inc.

Ingenuity. From the ground up.

Ottawa, Ontario

www.eureka93.com

<image001.jpg>

<image004.png>

. .

#### **Confidentiality Note:**

This email may contain confidential and/or private information. If you received this email in error please delete and notify sender.

<Surety Land Development.pdf>

## **EXHIBIT C**

From: Philip Gross cpg@templeasset.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 5, 2019 2:23:48 PM
To: Owen Kenney <okenney@eureka93.com>

Cc: Dave lawyer < dave.dwslaw@me.com >; Kent Hoggan (Yahoo) < kenthoggan@yahoo.com >; Seann Poli

<<u>SPoli@eureka93.com</u>>; Willie Blocker <<u>willie@canopy.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Los Cruces sale to Wes Richins

Do we have any movement on this? Need to respond to Wes and his counsel to get this done.

On Nov 4, 2019, at 5:16 PM, Owen Kenney < okenney@eureka93.com > wrote:

Dave can you handle this or do we need a New Mexico Lawyer?

Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 at 4:10 PM From: "Philip Gross" <pg@templeasset.com>
To: "Owen Kenney" <okenney@eureka93.com>
Subject: Re: Los Cruces

Do you have a lawyer on this? It might be better if we get lawyer to lawyers talking?

On Nov 4, 2019, at 5:00 PM, Owen Kenney < okenney@eureka93.com > wrote;

There is not any loans or lien registered to the property. The lien that showed up is Wes's loan on the rest of the property. Should the merger agreement not suffice for proof that Eureka 93 is the successor to Vitality CBD

**Sent:** Monday, November 04, 2019 at 2:16 PM **From:** "Philip Gross" cpg@templeasset.com>

To: "Seann Poli" < SPoli@eureka93.com >, "Owen Kenney" < okenney@eureka93.com >

Subject: Los Cruces

Sean / Owen,

Hope all is well. Just trying to facilitate the closing of the above transaction and the lawyers for Wes have indicated that they are still missing the below documents. Would it be possible to provide today? Is there a lawyer on your side dealing with this? Please call me to discuss

Thanks Phil

- Certified copies of documentation from the appropriate agency of the Government of Canada that Eureka 93, Inc. is the successor entity to Vitality CBD Natural Health Products, Inc. I'm attaching what I found last week online. The Title Company won't rely on on-line information, but this information suggests to me that a merger resulting in Eureka 93 having title to the Advancement property hasn't been filed with the appropriate Canadian entity.
- LCAT may also want a Release of Lien from a mortgage or other lien holder, but as I've mentioned, I don't have a copy of a mortgage or other form of lien, and when I spoke to Belinda a couple of months ago about it, she said she had not recorded a mortgage for the transaction. The Word document provided to me last week also doesn't mention Eureka.

## **EXHIBIT D**

## SUBORDINATION AND POSTPONEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS Vitality CBD Natural Health Products Inc. (the "Debtor") is indebted and may become further indebted to Hindsdale I, LP ("Hindsdale") and other Purchasers (as such term is defined in the Guarantee Agreement) pursuant to a guarantee agreement (as amended, restated, extended, replaced or otherwise modified from time to time the "Guarantee Agreement") entered into on or about the date hereof by, among others, the Debtor, in favour of Dominion Capital LLC ("Dominion"), as collateral agent for the Purchasers (including Hindsdale) and with respect to other indebtedness, liabilities and obligations of the Debtor to the Purchasers (including Hindsdale) under a securities purchase agreement dated on or about the date hereof (as amended, restated, extended, replaced or otherwise modified from time to time the "Securities Purchase Agreement") and the other Transaction Documents (as such term is defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement) (such past, present and future indebtedness, liabilities and obligations are collectively called the "Senior Obligations");

AND WHEREAS the Senior Obligations are and may in the future be secured by certain security documents and related documents executed or to be executed in favour of the Purchasers by the Debtor (such past, present and future security documents and related documents are collectively called the "Senior Security");

AND WHEREAS the Debtor is liable and obligated or will become liable and obligated to LiveWell Canada Inc. ("LiveWell") with respect to certain monies advanced or to be advanced by LiveWell (the "Subordinated Loan"), and with respect to indebtedness, liabilities and obligations of the Debtor to LiveWell (such past, present and future indebtedness, liabilities and obligations are collectively called the "Subordinated Obligations");

AND WHEREAS the Subordinated Obligations are secured by certain security documents and related documents executed in favour of LiveWell by the Debtor, including, without limitation, a general security agreement registered in the Personal Property Security Registry of Ontario under registration number 748220841 and may be further secured by certain security documents and related documents to be executed in favour of LiveWell by the Debtor (such past, present and future security documents and related documents are collectively called the "Subordinated Security");

AND WHEREAS LiveWell has agreed to postpone and subordinate the Subordinated Loan and the Subordinated Security in favour of the Senior Obligations and the Senior Security;

NOW THEREFORE for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged by the parties hereto, the parties hereto hereby acknowledge, covenant and agree as follows:

1. LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that, so long as any portion of the Senior Obligations are outstanding and until all of the Senior Obligations have been paid in full, the payments of all or any portion of the Subordinated Loan, unless otherwise permitted under the Securities Purchase Agreement or other Transaction Documents, shall be postponed and subordinated to the payment in full of all of the Senior Obligations. LiveWell hereby further acknowledges and agrees that, unless otherwise permitted under the Securities Purchase Agreement or other Transaction Documents, if LiveWell receives any payments of all or any portion of the Subordinated Loan before all of the Senior Obligations have been indefeasibly paid in full, then such payments shall be received and held by LiveWell in trust for the Purchasers and LiveWell shall promptly pay such payments to Dominion for application against the Senior Obligations until all of the Senior Obligations have been indefeasibly paid in full.

- 2. LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that, so long as any portion of the Senior Obligations are outstanding and until all of the Senior Obligations have been paid in full, the rights, interests and entitlements that LiveWell has or may have as a holder of the Subordinated Security shall be postponed and subordinated to the rights, interests and entitlements that the Purchasers have or may have as the holder of the Senior Security. For greater certainty, notwithstanding anything contained herein or in any other agreement to the contrary, LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that the Senior Security shall rank and be enforceable in priority to the Subordinated Security.
- 3. LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that, upon any distribution of any of the assets of the Debtor to any of its creditors upon any dissolution, winding-up, total or partial liquidation, readjustment of debt, reorganization, compromise, arrangement with creditors or similar proceedings of the Debtor or any of its assets, or in any bankruptcy, insolvency or receivership, assignment for the benefit of creditors, marshalling of assets and liabilities or similar proceedings, or in the event of any bulk sale of any of the assets of the Debtor within the bulk transfer provisions of any applicable laws or similar proceedings in relation thereto, whether any of the foregoing is voluntary or involuntary, partial or complete, all of the Senior Obligations shall be paid in full before LiveWell shall be entitled to retain or receive any payment or distribution from the Debtor in respect of the Subordinated Loan.
- 4. LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that, upon any dissolution, winding-up, liquidation, readjustment, reorganization, compromise, adjustment of debt, arrangement with creditors or similar proceedings involving the Debtor, any payment or distribution of assets or securities of the Debtor of any kind or character, whether in cash, property or securities, received by LiveWell before all of the Senior Obligations have been indefeasibly paid in full, shall be received and held in trust by LiveWell for the benefit of, and shall promptly be paid over, in the form received (duly endorsed, if necessary) to Dominion for application against the Senior Obligations until all of the Senior Obligations have been indefeasibly paid in full.
- LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that, unless such payment is otherwise permitted 5. under the Securities Purchase Agreement or other Transaction Documents, it shall not make demand for payment of the Subordinated Loan without providing prior written notice of such demand to Dominion. LiveWell hereby further acknowledges and agrees that it shall not accelerate, nor take any actions, steps or proceedings to otherwise enforce or realize upon or in respect of the Subordinated Loan or the Subordinated Security without the prior written consent of Dominion. LiveWell hereby further acknowledges and agrees that any and all rights which LiveWell may have to appoint a receiver or receiver and manager or other agent or to seek the appointment by any court of a receiver or receiver and manager or other agent to enforce all or any part of the Subordinated Security shall be postponed and subordinated to any and all rights of Dominion to appoint a receiver or receiver and manager or other agent or to seek the appointment by any court of a receiver or receiver and manager or other agent to enforce all or any part of the Senior Security. If Dominion decides to make any demand for all or any portion of the Senior Obligations or to enforce the Senior Security, then LiveWell shall, in good faith and as may be reasonably required, cooperate with Dominion in order to implement such decisions in an efficient and business-like manner. LiveWell hereby agrees that, in the event of a private appointment of a receiver or receiver and manager or agent in respect of the Debtor, such person shall, unless otherwise agreed in writing by Dominion, act only in respect of the enforcement of the Senior Security.
- 6. LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that, so long as any portion of the Senior Obligations are outstanding and until all of the Senior Obligations have been paid in full, LiveWell shall not assign or transfer all or any part of the Subordinated Loan or the Subordinated Security or any interest therein without obtaining the prior written consent of Dominion thereto unless the transferee or assignee thereof shall have assumed, by instrument in form and substance acceptable to Dominion, all of the obligations and covenants of LiveWell hereunder.

- 7. LiveWell hereby acknowledges and agrees that the postponements and subordinations contained in this subordination agreement shall apply in all events and circumstances regardless of:
- (a) the date of execution, attachment, registration, perfection or re-perfection of any security interest held by the Purchasers or LiveWell or either of them;
- (b) the date of any advance or advances made by the Purchasers or LiveWell or either of them to the Debtor;
- (c) the date of default by the Debtor under the Senior Obligations , the Senior Security , the Subordinated Obligations or the Subordinated Security;
- (d) the timing of crystallization of any floating charges granted under the Senior Security or the Subordinated Security or any other action or proceedings taken to enforce the Senior Security or the Subordinated Security; or
- (e) any priority granted by any principle of law or any statute, regulation or bylaw including, without limitation, any personal property statute, regulation or bylaw.
- 8. LiveWell hereby covenants and agrees that it shall not at any time challenge, dispute or contest the validity or enforceability of the Senior Obligations or the Senior Security nor the priorities applicable to the Senior Obligations or the Senior Security, as provided herein.
- 9. LiveWell hereby authorizes Dominion to register one or more financing change statements or similar statements at the appropriate registries in connection with any registrations applicable to the Subordinated Security in order to state that the Subordinated Loan and the Subordinated Security have been postponed and subordinated in favour of the Senior Obligations and the Senior Security.
- 10. This subordination and postponement agreement may not be amended except in writing with the prior written consent of Dominion and LiveWell.
- 11. This subordination and postponement agreement shall be governed by the laws of the Province of Ontario.
- 12. This subordination and postponement agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto and their successors and permitted assigns.
- 13. This subordination and postponement agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which counterparts when executed shall constitute an original and all of which counterparts when so executed shall constitute one and the same subordination and postponement agreement.
- 14. A fax copy or an electronic copy of an executed copy of this subordination and postponement agreement shall have the same force and effect as an originally executed copy of this subordination and postponement agreement.

[Signature page follows]

This subordination agreement has been executed by Dominion and LiveWell as of the 14th day of February 2019.

Dominion Capital LLC, as collateral agent for the

Purchasers

By: Dominion Capital Holdings, LLC, its manager

Docusigned by:
Mikhail Gurevich

Name: Whatail Gurevich Title: Managing Member

LiveWell CANADA INC.

By:

Name: Steven Archambault

Title: CFO

Acknowledged and agreed by the Debtor.

VITALITY CBD NATURAL HEALTH PRODUCTS INC.

By:

Name: Steven Archambault

Title: CFO

This subordination agreement has been executed by Dominion and LiveWell as of the  $\frac{14\text{th}}{2000}$  day of February 2019.

	Dominion Capital LLC, as collateral agent for the Purchasers
	By; Name: Title:
	LiveWell CANADA INC.
	By:  Name: Steven Archambault Title: CFO
Acknowledged and agreed by the Debtor.	
	VITALITY CBD NATURAL HEALTH PRODUCTS INC.
	By:  Name: Steven Archambault Title: CFO

### **EXHIBIT E**

#### Rock Kouwenberg

From:

Rock Kouwenberg

Sent:

October 29, 2019 11:22 AM

To:

Robb - FamilyLending.ca; Seann Poli

Subject:

RE: reminder

Attachments:

35500 - Reliance Letter.pdf; 35500.pdf

Hi Seann and Robb,

Please see the attached documents for a copy of the report and reliance letter.

Regards,

Rock Kouwenberg, Appraiser B.Comm, AACI, P.App



251-759 Hyde Park Road London, ON N6H 3S2 T: 519-667-9050 Ext. 231

F: 519-667-9087

Toll Free:1-800-305-2650 Ext. 231

E: rkouwenberg@valcoconsultants.com

W: www.valcoconsultants.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email

This email and any attachment(s) are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby requested not to distribute or copy this communication, if you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email and delete the original message and any attachments from your system.

From: Robb - FamilyLending.ca < robb@familylending.ca>

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2019 11:10 AM To: Seann Poli <SPoli@eureka93.com>

Cc: Rock Kouwenberg < rkouwenberg@valcoconsultants.com>

Subject: Re: reminder

Can you send us the updated appraisal. To AgriRoots Capital Management Inc.

136 St Clair St Chatham ON N7M 3J3

Robb Nelson , Broker, CEO 519-351-7283 ext 201 519-436-3353 cell FamilyLending.ca Inc. AgriRoots.ca Lic. 12114

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and

confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender at the e-mail address above and destroy the material in its entirety,

whether in electronic or hard copy format. Thank you.

On Oct 22, 2019, at 2:32 PM, Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com > wrote:

Thanks Rock.

Have a great day and live well,

Seann Poli

Co-CEO

Eureka 93 Inc.
Ingenuity. From the ground up.
Ottawa, Ontario
www.eureka93.com

<image001.jpg>

Confidentiality Note: This email may contain confidential and/or private information. If you received this email in error please delete and notify sender.

From: Rock Kouwenberg < rkouwenberg@valcoconsultants.com >

Sent: October 22, 2019 2:11 PM

To: Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com>

Subject: RE: reminder

Hi Seann,

Sorry for the delay. I had a quick review of the old report and several new sales and don't see any significant change value from the previous report. The greenhouse market on the whole has remained fairly level.

Regards,

#### Rock Kouwenberg, Appraiser

B.Comm, AACI, P.App

<image002.jpg>

251-759 Hyde Park Road London, ON N6H 3S2 T: 519-667-9050 Ext. 231 F: 519-667-9087

Toll Free:1-800-305-2650 Ext. 231

E: rkouwenberg@valcoconsultants.com

W: www.valcoconsultants.com

<lmage003.jpg>

Please consider the environment before printing this email

This email and any attachment(s) are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby requested not to distribute or copy this communication. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message and any attachments from your system.

From: Seann Poli < SPoli@eureka93.com>

Sent: October 10, 2019 12:39 PM

To: Rock Kouwenberg < rkouwenberg@valcoconsultants.com >

Subject: reminder

Hey Rock,

Just a reminder that you were going to get back to me on the valuation on our property and the land value.

I don't need a full valuation, just an update saying the value is either the same (considering nothing has changed since a year ago) or higher because of the land value.

Even just a new cover page would suffice.

Have a great day and live well,

Seann Poli

Co-CEO

Eureka 93 Inc.
Ingenuity. From the ground up.
Ottawa, Ontario
<u>www.eureka93.com</u>

<image001.jpg>

Confidentiality Note:
This email may contain confidential and/or private information. If you received this email in error please delete and notify sender.

Searn Poli

- verbal confination from Sound to Sont tetter

- relance letter request for Agri-rocks

- Fine 35500 Rej Nelson &

- Financing purposes

- most of proposed work was is essentially
complete

-no changes to build reflighted or other
impronoments

Pan Lanen

+ hasn't noticed any changes in the

market for greenlaws s

- appears to stable

- not aware of any neems bodes I dut
already have

Sales Review
- several sales give delight report was sent
appear to be consistant with the market
in 2018 one notable change

Ran Dierkson- Box lots of interest for greenhares from vegetable and marijuana Byoners

Don

4,57 date	Price (15+)	Suhe priko	HINE Courte	is Startus
Nov 26,2018	200 pt	7,3m	a	5
Aug 18,2019	56m	5,B15,00	2	5
July 9, 2018	7.5m	,	6	6
50p+ 28,200	4.95 km		1/	Ë
Aug 10, 2018	9,332,000	8.6	3	9
Per 7,2013	5.3		フ	Ë
Na 23,2018	7.1		8	5
April 18,2017	6.5		6	E



Remarks: Brautiful Modern 22 acre greenhouse farm, consisting of 4.1 acres (176,704 bg") modern 14" & 15" oddble poly greenhouses 4-17,856 bg"? Facking/Office/Utility area for total 4.5 acre structure: this farm comes equipped with ratised trough/hot water hear/800 ame lelectric service/grow lights/600h crope gas-powered boilers, back-up generators/workers accompositions for (12) + All equippent and pracking equippent unique to the greenhouse opm/ (2) High quality prilled well capacity 60 ga/min/yater recurrence of the service structure structure structures the capacity 60 ga/min/yater record by 15" and 15" of the service structure structure structure structures structure structures acres 150 ga/min/yater 4666.00/2010 Approx Taxes/Text \$4666.00/2018

Approx Areses Cleared: 22 Approx. Actago Worksbir: Tiled: Vox
Lond Remarks: CLAY LOAN
Eastments: Nane
Usaga Restrictions: Fronts On Paved Read, Hydra, Naturel East, Telephone, Water Rights
farm Type 1: Greenhouse
(Sam Type 2: GAS Phase;
Lind Chattels Induded: No
Farm Structures: Barn
Storage: Chattels Induded: No
Farm Structures: Barn
Storage: Chattels Induded: No
Farm Structures: Barn Type. ! Drelling: Residence Features Parking Type (Garage): 2.5 Garage Drivenay: 1 1/2 Starey Double Width Or More Drive, Gravel Orive Extense "mish: Attactioned Styles Foundarisms and Bedispornas Basements Heetings Copplings Aluminum/Viryl, Stone
Attached
Concrete
4+ Total Bathrusma: 2.1 Bathrusma:
Central Air Conditioning, Force Air,
Furnaco
Furnaco
Contral Air Conditioning, Force Air,
Furnaco
Contral Air Conditioning
Furnace
Contral Conditioning
Furnace
Contral Cont A R-in Bothrooms: Batement Development: Historia Puelt | Natural Ges Ensuin: Unfinished Yes Flaennos foreplace Types Hist Water Tanks Rental Equipments In Spor Feeturess Larel Beam.Bre FOYER Larri Room Size KLTCHEN EATING AREA DINING ROOM LIVING ROOM / FIREPLACE MASTER REDROOM BEDROOM BEDROOM BEDROOM OFFICE ATTIC S PC. ENSUITE BATHROOM 2 PC. BATHROOM 4 PC. BATHROOM Site Influences: Patio(s), Sundeck, Treed Let Unity Water/Avail: Onited Well/Installed Itally Sewer/Aved: Septic System/Connected Garbage Peckup Provided! Yes UTF1: SP
Survey Available! Work Order: Legal Impreventable

GEAL TORA: Information

GEAL TORA: Information

Yes Cabag Expect Permit: No FA
SUNTECH GREENHOUSES LTD Handicap: Retrolit Cerblicates: Hangage: SF15: Data Modifications Seller/s: Tibe to Land: Freehold LAS FRANCE:

LOS F

Court/Estate File No.: 33-2618511

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A PROPOSAL OF EUREKA 93 INC. OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

AND IN THE MATTER OF THREE RELATED INTENDED PROPOSALS (LIVEWELL FOODS CANADAINC., ARTIVA INC., AND VITALITY CBD NATURAL HEALTH PRODUCTS INC.) AND IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 192 OF THE *CANADA BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT*; R.S.C. 1985, c. C-44, AS AMENDED, AND IN THE MATTER OF A PROPOSED ARRANGEMENT OF 12112744 CANADA LIMITED AND INVOLVING LIVEWELL FOODS CANADA INC. AND ARTIVA INC.

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (IN BANKRUPTCY & INSOLVENCY)

# (PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT OTTAWA)

# WRITTEN QUESTIONS OF MIKHAIL GUREVICH

# GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP Barristers and Solicitors 1 First Canadian Place 100 King Street West, Suite 1600

Toronto, ON M5X 1G5

# E. Patrick Shea (LSO No. 39655K) Tel: (416) 369-7399 / Fax: (416) 862-7661 Email: patrick.shea@gowlingwlg.com

# Benoit M. Duchesne (LSO No. 449221) Tel: (613) 786-0142 / Fax: (613) 788-3637 Email: benoit.duchesne@gowlingwlg.com

# Lawyers for the Debtors

## APPENDIX B

#### Barristers and Solicitors

ChitizPathak<sup>w</sup>

Reply To: Michael Crampton Phone extension: (416) 644-9972 mcrampton@chitizpathak.com

September 12, 2020

77 King Street West, Suite 700 TD North Tower, P.O. Box 118 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8 phone 416.368.6200 fax 416.368.0300

Partners: Daniel Chitiz Elliot Birnboim Navin Khanna Paul Pathak Josh Arbuckle

BY EMAIL: Patrick.Shea@gowlingwlg.com

#### E. PATRICK SHEA

Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP Barristers & Solicitors 1 First Canadian Place, Suite 1600 100 King Street West Toronto, ON M5X 1G5

Dear Mr. Shea:

Re: In the matter of the Proposal of Eureka 93 Inc. et al - Our file no: 004184

We have your questions and the answers are as below.

We will not have an opportunity to swear the affidavit prior to the deadline set by Justice McLeod but we are providing you the answers in advance. You may rely on them without the affidavit, should you wish to.

However, by way of comment, neither your introductory comments to the questions, the questions themselves, nor the appended documents are evidence. Generally speaking, we have disregarded the ongoing mischaracterizations of Mr. Gurevich's evidence and editorializing and simply answered the questions.

Following your questions numbers:

- 1. No.
- 2. On the basis of the email exchange between Mr. Poli and Mr. Gross which copied Mr. Shea and the admission in Mr. Poli's affidavit which acknowledges that the Debtors hired insolvency counsel, as well as the fact that there has been no denial.
- 3. The premise of the questions has not been established. It is Mr. Gurevich's belief, based upon the facts at #2, which have not been denied, that Mr. Poli was negotiating the matter directly himself with the advice of Gowlings who were copied on previous communications with Mr. Gross.

00417461-2

- 4. Dominion prepared the documents internally, including the Exhibit "B" which dictates how the proceeds should be applied, which Mr. Poli and Mr. Kenny agreed to.
- 5. Not surprisingly, given the nature of the correspondence referenced at Exhibit "B" (which is not an exhibit and which is not in evidence), all the correspondence identified to date included Thierry Valat De Córdova and is subject to solicitor-client privilege. We are working to identify emails between December 12 and December 20 that do not include counsel, deal with Montana and will therefore be produced. This date range has been selected as the question is otherwise entirely ambiguous and correspondence "arising as a result of or relating to the attached e-mail exchanges" is too broad.
- 6. After being advised of Surety's intention to enforce its purported security, Dominion promptly put the Debtors and Surety on notice of Dominion's first-priority security in a letter dated December 6, 2019, continued to work with Debtors to resolve their financing problems and asserted Dominion's rights in this insolvency proceeding.
- 7. Outside this proceeding, no. Without waiving litigation or solicitor-client privilege (and without conceding that legal strategy is relevant) the outcome of this proceeding may materially impact the tack ultimately taken.
- 8. Refused, registrations are publicly available documents. In any event, registration is merely evidence of security, and in this case security on the assets of Vitality LLC, a subsidiary of the Debtor company, Vitality CBD Natural Health Products Inc., and therefore does not impact the questions on the appeal, i.e. the liability and security of the Proposal Debtor.
- 9. This is a misstated premise. See paragraph 28 of Mr. Gurevich's affidavit and Exhibit "J" thereto. However, the impact is a legal question. On a factual basis, Mr. Poli agreed to (and in fact) released Vitality LLC's rights in the Montana Facility in full knowledge of the Noteholders' security.
- 10. Given the question, it appears that the question is directed to communications with Mr. Poli. These would be in Mr. Poli's possession. If otherwise, given the amorphous time frame this will attract both litigation privilege, common interest privilege and solicitor-client privilege. As this is under the heading "Montana transaction", we are working to identify any non-privileged emails per answer #5.
- 11. (a) As part of the entire contemplated transaction, yes. (b) The documents speak for themselves.

- 12. As set out in the Terms Sheets, the February Bridge Loan was the initial part of the larger single transaction as fully set out in the affidavit of Mr. Gurevich.
- 13. Page 412-413.
- 14. The phrase "accurate in terms of DC's position vis-a-vis Eureka93 and the Debtors" is entirely ambiguous. However, to be clear, the affidavit of Mr. Poli is not adduced for the truth of its contents other than to demonstrate the existence of several formal and informal admissions by Mr. Poli that liability for the Noteholders' claims for the entirety of the debt extends to all the Debtors.
- 15. No. However, this is not a matter which is properly dealt with in this forum.
- 16. Refused, see 15.
- 17. "....which may be allocated to all amounts due under the Notes as the Holders may each decide in accordance with and as provided in the Transaction Documents."

  This is followed by "....This payment will be applied to obligations outstanding under the Notes and other Transaction Documents in accordance with Exhibit "B"". Exhibit "B" (also referenced as Schedule "B") was prepared by the Noteholders without input from the Debtors, in the exercise of the Noteholders' discretion, without objection by the Debtors. Motion Record, pages 699-700 and 707.
- 18. There is no relevance nor has a foundation been laid.
- 19. There is no relevance nor has a foundation been laid.
- 20. Yes, it is disputed. But see the first paragraph which is clearly referencing the entirety of the debts, present and future, not merely the February Notes, consistent with the Guarantee.
- 21. Not a proper question. However, as noted at question 20, it is particularly supportive of the single transaction position of the Noteholders, referencing present and future debts.
- 22. It is not an error. USD\$11.4mil is correct up to the date of the New Mexico transaction and is used for interest calculation purposes to that date, the principal is then reduced to USD\$8.4mil all subject to the ultimate treatment of the New Mexico Transaction as may be otherwise determined (although not in this proceeding).
- 23. The definition is in section 1 (page 3) of the Notes: "...the sum of (a) the greater of (i) ... or (ii) 130% of the outstanding principal amount of this Note, plus 100% of accrued and unpaid interest hereon and the Make Whole, and (b) all other amounts,



costs, expenses and liquidated damages due in respect of this Note." The formula applied in the charts in paragraphs 45 and 46 is Principle Amount [i.e. \$11,400,000] \* 0.3.

- 24. They are not entitled to such a credit nor is the predicate of the question accurate.
- 25. Despite paragraph 2.4(e), Eureka failed to cause Acenzia to execute a joinder agreement.
- 26. Mr. Gurevich does not know the answer to the question. Mr. Kouwenberg provided some documentation through counsel. Mr. Gurevich believes that the file provided was incomplete but is not the author of the file or the documents at "Exhibit E". The documents attached (which are not admitted) are not a "Reliance Letter".
- 27. The question is not proper nor has the predicate been established. See 26.
- 28. The question is not proper nor has the predicate been established. See 26.
- 29. It was an assumption based on the fact that it would not make sense for Mr. Kouwenberg to value the Ottawa Facility without using cannabis facilities as comparators. The Kouwenberg information is not being put forward for the truth of its contents but merely to illustrate what Mr. Kouwenberg delivered as part of his file, apparently supporting his opinion of value.
- 30. The question misstates the evidence of Mr. Gurevich in his affidavit.
- 31. Mr. Kouwenberg is the correct person to explain what he relied on or didn't rely on. This witness cannot do other than to observe that this is a list of comparators in the file, as delivered by Mr. Kouwenberg, without knowledge of the truth of its contents.
- 32. No, the witness does not know the size of the other properties or precisely what calculations were done by Mr. Kouwenberg only that the Ottawa Facility appears to be worth considerably more than any other property which Mr. Kouwenberg appears to have looked at, if the handwritten chart is correctly understood. There has been no follow up with Mr. Kouwenberg other than a call to his counsel noting that the file is missing information.
- 33. The paragraph 18 says what it says.
- 34. Mr. Gurevich is not aware of what Mr. Gross knew. However, Mr. Gurevich is aware that Patrick Shea, the Debtors' insolvency counsel, was involved with the Debtors at the time of the New Mexico and Montana transactions, per Mr. Shea being copied on Mr. Poli's email to Mr Gross.

00417461-2 4

### Barristers and Solicitors ChitizPathak

- 35. Every revenue projection presented by Mr. Poli in support of the various motions and the Proposal. There may be others we are unaware of.
- 36. The Trustee supported the DIP motion, which was predicated on a business model and has supported Proposal as advantageous to the unsecured creditors, without any adverse comment on the financial information provided by Mr. Poli (or by Eureka).
- 37. This is not a proper question. The Noteholders wish to have their rights *qua* creditors recognized.

Yours very truly, Chitiz Pathak LLP

Elliot S. Birnboim

Elliot Birnboim EB:al

00417461-2

5