

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

MOTION RECORD
(Extension of Stay Period)
(returnable December 13, 2022)

DATE: December 7, 2022

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 1600, 480 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-3370

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: 416-597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining
Ltd.

INDEX

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

INDEX

Tab No.	Description	Page
1.	Notice of Motion (returnable December 13, 2022)	1
2.	Affidavit of Atef Salama (sworn December 6, 2022)	9
	Exhibit " A " – Second Amended and Restated Initial Order (dated October 27, 2021)	17
	Exhibit " B " – Directive of Russel J, Tax Court, re August 16, 2022, Case Management Conference	33
	Exhibit " C " – Email exchange between CRA Tax Counsel and EGR Tax Counsel, dated November 18, 2022	36
3.	Draft Order	44

TAB 1

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

NOTICE OF MOTION
(extension of stay period; approval of activities and fees)
(returnable December 13, 2022)

The Applicant will make a motion to Mr. Justice McEwen of the Commercial List at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, on Tuesday, December 13, 2022, at 10:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the motion can be heard, via Zoom teleconference the details for which will be made available by the courthouse prior to the hearing on the Caselines portal set up for this matter.

PROPOSED METHOD OF HEARING: orally.

THE MOTION IS FOR: an order, substantially in the form of the suggested draft in the motion record:

- a. extending the "Stay Period" as defined in the second amended and restated initial order made on October 27, 2020 to and including March 13, 2023 (3 months).
- b. approving the twelfth report (the "**Twelfth Report**") of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. in its capacity as monitor in the present proceeding (in such capacity, the "**Monitor**"), to be served and filed separately, as well as the fees and activities described therein.

THE GROUND FOR THE MOTION ARE:

2. Capitalized terms are defined in the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn December 6, 2022 (the “**Salama December 2022 Affidavit**”).
3. Since the last extension made on September 15, 2022, EGR has notably:
 - a. Supported and worked toward maintaining the timetable established by order from the Tax Court setting out a timetable for the Tax Litigation.
 - b. continued operating its business in accordance with the court’s orders and the Protocol.
 - c. continued to prosecute the Tax Litigation with a view to timeliness and cost-efficiency.
4. EGR will be able to support its operations, the Tax Litigation, the herein proceeding and the Protocol for the duration of the extension sought.
5. The Applicant has acted, is acting and will continue to act in good faith and with due diligence, and the sought extension is appropriate, as more fully appears from the Salama December 2022 Affidavit.
6. The activities of the Monitor were reported to the court and stakeholders in the Twelfth Report and the fees as reported in the affidavits of representatives of the monitor and its counsel and are appropriate, commercially reasonable, and conducted in the best interest of stakeholders.
7. CCAA s. 11, 11.02, 11.03, 11.09, and 18.6.
8. Ontario *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, rules 2.03 and 3.02.
9. Such other and further grounds as counsel may advise and the court permit.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at the hearing of the application:

- a. the Salama December 2022 Affidavit,
- b. the Twelfth Report,
- c. the fee affidavits of representatives of the monitor and its counsel appended to the Twelfth Report, and
- d. such further and other evidence as counsel may advise and the court may permit.

December 7, 2022

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP

480 University Avenue, Suite 1600

Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2

Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)

Tel: 416-597-6477

Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

TO: THE SERVICE LIST

Court File No.: CV-20-00649558-00CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
 (COMMERCIAL LIST)

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS
 ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
 ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

SERVICE LIST

<p>GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP 480 University Avenue, Suite 1600 Toronto, ON M5G 1V2 Fax: 416.597.3370</p> <p>Mario Forte Tel: 416.597.6477 Email: forte@gsnh.com</p> <p>Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.</p>	<p>DENTONS CANADA LLP 77 King Street West, Suite 400 Toronto-Dominion Centre Toronto, ON M5K 0A1</p> <p>Robert Kennedy Tel: 416.367.6756 Email: robert.kennedy@dentons.com</p> <p>Mark Freake Email: mark.freake@dentons.com</p> <p>Lawyers for the Monitor, Deloitte Restructuring Inc.</p>
<p>DELOITTE RESTRUCTURING LLP Bay Adelaide East 8 Adelaide St. W., Suite 200 Toronto, ON M5H 0A9</p> <p>Phil Reynolds Tel: 647.620.2996 Email: philreynolds@deloitte.ca</p> <p>Warren Leung Tel: 416.874.4461 Email: waleung@deloitte.ca</p> <p>Monitor</p>	<p>BAKER & MACKENZIE LLP 181 Bay Street, Suite 2100 Toronto, ON M5J 2T3</p> <p>Bryan Horrigan Tel: 416.865.3905 Email: bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com</p> <p>Tax Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.</p>

<p>DELOITTE LEGAL CANADA LLP Bay Adelaide East 8 Adelaide St. W., Suite 200 Toronto, ON M5H 0A9</p> <p>Mike Collinge Tel: 416.775.8645 Email: mcollinge@deloittelegal.ca</p> <p>Advisors for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.</p>	<p>MANFRA TORDELLA & BROOKES, INC. Compliance Department 50 W 47th Street, #310 New York, NY 10036, United States</p> <p>Email: compliance@mtbmetals.com</p>
<p>ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA Department of Justice Canada Ontario Regional Office, Tax Law Section 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 Toronto, ON M5H 1T1</p> <p>Diane Winters Tel: 647.256.7459 Email: diane.winters@justice.gc.ca</p> <p>Fozia Chaudary Email: fozia.chaudary@justice.gc.ca</p>	<p>MINISTRY OF FINANCE (ONTARIO) Legal Services Branch 33 King Street West, 6th Floor Oshawa, Ontario, L1H 8H5</p> <p>Steven Groeneveld, Counsel Tel: 905-431-8380 Email: steven.groeneveld@ontario.ca</p> <p>Leslie Crawford, Law Clerk Cell: 365-688-7756 Email: Leslie.crawford@ontario.ca</p>

EMAIL ADDRESS LIST

forte@gsnh.com; philreynolds@deloitte.ca; waleung@deloitte.ca; robert.kennedy@dentons.com;
mark.freake@dentons.com; bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com; mcollinge@deloittelegal.ca;
diane.winters@justice.gc.ca; Fozia.Chaudary@justice.gc.ca; compliance@mtbmetals.com;
steven.groeneveld@ontario.ca; Leslie.crawford@ontario.ca

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT
OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST
Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

NOTICE OF MOTION
(extension of stay period)
(returnable December 13, 2022)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: (416) 597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

TAB 2

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
(the "CCAA")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
("EGR")

**AFFIDAVIT OF ATEF SALAMA
(sworn December 6, 2022)**

I, Atef Salama, of the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, **MAKE OATH
AND SAY:**

1. I am EGR's Vice-President and have been since 2001. As such I have personal knowledge of the facts and matters deposed in this affidavit save where the same are stated to be based upon information or belief, and where so stated I verily believe the same to be true.
2. I make this affidavit in support of EGR's motion for an extension of these CCAA proceedings and the October 27, 2020 second amended and restated initial order (the "SARIO"), of which I attach a copy as **Exhibit "A"**, to March 16, 2023 (3 months).
3. The current extension expires on December 16, 2022.

I. INITIAL AND CONTINUED NEED FOR CCAA PROTECTION

4. EGR is in the precious metal (predominantly, gold) refining and trading business and has been so engaged since 1994.
5. EGR's resort to relief under the CCAA was necessary due to **(i)** the Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA")'s refusal to pay EGR's net tax refunds, including input tax credits under the *Excise Tax Act*, since August 2018, and **(ii)** reassessments in excess of \$189,000,000 issued to EGR on July 28, 2020 for the period from June 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 (the "**2020 Reassessments**").
6. The 2020 Reassessments are being challenged by EGR (the "**Tax Litigation**") in the Tax Court of Canada ("**Tax Court**"). However, they are enforceable notwithstanding contestation,¹ and on or around October 8, 2020, CRA announced it would commence enforcement measures on October 15, 2020.
7. This is not an operational restructuring. But for CRA's refusal to pay EGR's net tax refunds and the 2020 Reassessments, EGR would be solvent and its business would be profitable. An application under the CCAA was necessary to create a *statu quo* and allow EGR to obtain, as a first milestone of a restructuring, a decision on the merits in the Tax Litigation.
8. The SARIO provides that a stay of proceedings applies but the Tax Litigation may continue.²

¹ I am referred to the [Excise Tax Act](#), s. 315.

² I am referred to paragraph 10 of the SARIO.

II. STATUS OF TAX LITIGATION

A. Timetable Order

9. For context I am once again including the current timetable as ordered by the Tax Court :

Step	Deadline
Completion of examinations for discovery	October 31, 2022
Serve answers to all undertakings given at the examinations for discovery	November 30, 2022
Serve all follow-up questions arising from answers to undertakings	December 19, 2022
Serve all answers to follow-up questions	January 27, 2023
Advise the Hearings Coordinator, whether the appeal will settle, whether a settlement conference would be beneficial or whether a hearing date should be set and in the latter event, filing a joint application to fix a time and place for the hearing.	February 28, 2023

B. Examinations for Discovery

10. I can confirm that oral examinations for discovery were completed by October 31, 2022, subject to any additional questions arising from further document disclosure and answers to undertakings.
11. Pursuant to the consent of CRA counsel as communicated at the beginning of my oral examinations, EGR counsel was periodically providing the Monitor with rough draft transcripts from the oral examinations as they were released.
12. On or about October 6, 2022, I understand that CRA's and my counsel received the attached Directive emanating from an August 16, 2022 case management conference before Justice Russell of the Tax Court addressing the Monitor's request to participate as an observer in the discovery process. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"** is a copy of Justice Russell's Directive. I am advised that the substance of the Directive is to exclude the Monitor from attending, observing or listening to the oral examination for discoveries in the Tax Court Litigation.

13. On October 11, 2022, CRA counsel emailed EGR's counsel asking whether, in light of the Directive, EGR intends to continue providing copies of the discovery transcripts to the Monitor and whether it would ask for transcripts already provided back. EGR's counsel responded on October 13, 2022, noting the EGR counsel had not forwarded any additional transcripts to the Monitor since receiving the Directive. Given the queries by CRA counsel, EGR's counsel ceased to provide on-going transcripts of the discoveries to the Monitor (which it had been doing pursuant to CRA counsel's consent unless and until the CRA and the Monitor reached an agreement on such disclosure or pending a further order of the Court.
14. On November 16, 2022, CRA counsel wrote to EGR's tax counsel enquiring as to EGR's position on past and continuing disclosure of the discovery transcripts. Counsel replied on November 18, 2022 with an explanation of the current status of the disclosure and EGR's response to certain factual issues referred to by CRA counsel. Attached as **Exhibit "C"** is the e-mail correspondence sent by CRA's counsel and EGR's counsel's response thereto.
15. I understand that at the time of the swearing of this affidavit, the Monitor and CRA are in discussions regarding whether CRA will permit the Monitor to receive the transcripts of the oral examinations. As financial responsibility falls to the Applicant to fund the Monitor's participation, it is in the Applicant's interest to facilitate a timely and cost-efficient process. I expect that the Monitor will update the Court on this ongoing issue.

III. THIRD PARTY BREACH OF CCAA STAY

16. On Monday, November 7, at 5 pm I received notification from my banker that EGR's accounts had been frozen up to an amount of \$860,000 with the explanation that my bank

had been served with a Mareva injunction order of the Ontario Superior Court and to contact the plaintiff's lawyer for further information.

17. EGR litigation counsel, Mr. Paul Stern, contacted plaintiff's counsel at 6:02 pm leaving a voicemail message to call counsel. At 6:07 pm Mr. Stern wrote to counsel identifying the problem and the prejudice that it was causing to EGR's business. This message was followed up at 6:37 pm pointing out that the Mareva order was obtained in breach of the CCAA stay of proceedings under which EGR currently operates. Unknown to me and my counsel at that time, the plaintiff had also obtained an order entitling them to name EGR in an existing proceeding connected with the impugned transactions.
18. Both counsel were able to speak shortly thereafter and plaintiff's counsel explained that their client was the victim of a mortgage fraud perpetrated between October, 2019 and March, 2020 wherein the alleged perpetrators effected a scheme to defraud the plaintiff, among others, of the proceeds of the impugned mortgage transactions. EGR was added to plaintiff's proceedings because it is alleged that gold purchases were made at EGR on July 20, 28, 30 and August 4 and 24, all in 2020 using the mortgage proceeds at issue.
19. With plaintiff's counsel's assistance, the hold on EGR's bank accounts was lifted on the morning of November 8, 2022, and EGR's accounts continue to be utilized in the ordinary course of business.
20. There is no substance (and none has been offered by the plaintiff) to any allegation that EGR was connected or conspired with the perpetrators of the mortgage fraud, nor was any benefit received by EGR except its remuneration it would ordinarily receive in the usual course of business for gold purchases.

21. Notwithstanding the lifting of the hold on its accounts, EGR is concerned that this issue be resolved by the removal of EGR from any statement of claim alleging wrongdoing on its part. Understanding that the plaintiff wishes information concerning the transactions referred to above, EGR has offered to provide all the information it possesses in respect of transactions with corporations known as “KTS” and “KTI” (1969297 Ontario) and related individuals between July 20 and August 24, 2020, which information was compiled in accordance with its responsibilities in the ordinary course of trading, including the filing of a “FINTRAC” large transaction report when required. All that EGR requested was (i) an order protecting EGR from any liability for disclosure in breach of its privacy policy, adopted as part of its customer contracts, and (ii) an understanding that the costs of EGR having to deal with this matter in these circumstances was for the account of plaintiff.
22. EGR is a long-established company, conducting a reputable business dating back to 1994. Moreover, a Google search of “EXPRESS GOLD REFINING” would have immediately revealed the existence of the CCAA Proceeding. EGR’s counsel had hoped that such order (or the terms thereof) would have been settled long before the swearing of this affidavit, however plaintiff’s counsel has not attended to this until December 5, 2022, where he sought an expanded list of material. The state of affairs is prejudicial to EGR in the circumstances.
23. EGR has informed the Monitor of the breach and the current state of affairs and has requested the assistance of the Monitor in addressing the resolution of this state of affairs.

IV. OPERATIONS

24. Throughout these CCAA proceedings and as mentioned at every extension hearing, EGR has continued to operate its business in accordance with the Protocol as currently drafted. As noted above, this is not an operational restructuring. There are no material changes or developments. EGR's day-to-day, and it is operating in the normal course. There is a financial reality that the Tax Litigation has entered an expensive phase and such expenses will need to be addressed by EGR. EGR and its counsel are working together to achieve such efficiencies and accommodations as may be required to ensure that EGR is able to meet its obligations in the ordinary course over the currency of the litigation process.
25. I understand that the details and figures regarding EGR's business since the latest Monitor's report will be set out in the Monitor's twelfth report (the "**Twelfth Report**"), to be filed and served separately.
26. With accommodations, I believe EGR will be able to support its operations, the Tax Litigation, the herein proceeding and the Protocol for the duration of the extension sought, as I understand will more fully appear from the Twelfth Report.
27. From a restructuring perspective, the above sets out that EGR and its counsel has been focussed on working through the discovery process since the last extension hearing and, to an extent, since the beginning of this proceeding. EGR will continue to work on those matters alongside the Monitor and all stakeholders with due diligence and in good faith.
28. The above sets out the notable developments in the Tax Litigation since the last extension.

V. NEED FOR CONTINUED CCAA RELIEF

29. The extension of the stay provisions is necessary considering that the \$189 million 2020 Reassessments are otherwise enforceable notwithstanding contestation. The continuation of the stay is intended to maintain the *statu quo* so that EGR may obtain, as a first milestone of its restructuring, a decision on the merits of its case in the Tax Litigation.
30. The SARIO provides that the Protocol terminates automatically upon termination of these CCAA proceedings, and so EGR requests the continuation of these proceedings to allow the Protocol to remain within this court's jurisdiction to enforce, as the case may be.
31. With the above in place, EGR has and will continue to act with due diligence and in good faith with respect to the Tax Litigation, its business and operations, and its relationship with CRA more generally.

SWORN BEFORE ME via video conference at the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, this 6th day of December 2022 in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering Oath or Declaration Remotely*



Commissioner for taking affidavits
(present at Toronto at the time of swearing)



Atef Salama
(present at Toronto at the time of
swearing)

This is **Exhibit “A”** to the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn before me via Zoom this 6th day of December, 2022 in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering Oath or Declaration Remotely*

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'A' followed by several wavy lines.

A Commissioner, etc.

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST



THE HONOURABLE MR.)

TUESDAY, THE 27TH

JUSTICE McEWEN)

DAY OF OCTOBER, 2020)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "Applicant")

SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED INITIAL ORDER

THE INITIAL APPLICATION, made by the Applicant, pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA"), was heard on October 15, 2020 at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, by videoconference due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

THE APPLICANT'S MOTION for the first Amended and Restated Initial Order was heard on October 19, 2020, and THE APPLICANT'S MOTION for the herein Second Amended and Restated Initial Order was heard this day on October 19, 2020 at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, also by videoconference due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

ON READING the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn October 14, 2020 and the exhibits thereto (collectively, the "**Salama Affidavit**"), and on reading the pre-filing report of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. ("**Deloitte**") as proposed monitor, and on reading the consent of Deloitte to act as the appointed monitor (in such capacity, the "**Monitor**"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, Deloitte, and such other counsel as were present as indicated on the counsel slip, no one else appearing despite being served as evidenced in the affidavit of service, filed:

SERVICE

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Application and the Application Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

APPLICATION

2. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES that the Applicant is a company to which the CCAA applies.

3. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES that the herein Order continues the Initial Order made on October 15, 2020 by Hainey J. and effective as of 12:01 a.m. Eastern Standard/Daylight Time on such date, together with any amendment or restatement of the same.

POSSESSION OF PROPERTY AND OPERATIONS

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall remain in possession and control of its current and future assets, undertakings and properties of every nature and kind whatsoever, and wherever situate including all proceeds thereof (the “**Property**”). Subject to further Order of this Court, the Applicant shall continue to carry on business in a manner consistent with the preservation of its business (the “**Business**”) and Property. The Applicant is authorized and empowered to continue to retain and employ the employees, consultants, agents, experts, accountants, counsel and such other persons (collectively “**Assistants**”) currently retained or employed by it, with liberty to retain such further Assistants as it deems reasonably necessary or desirable in the ordinary course of business or for the carrying out of the terms of this Order.

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall be entitled but not required to pay the following expenses whether incurred prior to or after this Order:

- (a) all outstanding and future wages, salaries, employee and pension benefits, vacation pay and expenses payable on or after the date of this Order, in each case incurred in the ordinary course of business and consistent with existing compensation policies and arrangements; and

- (b) the fees and disbursements of any Assistants retained or employed by the Applicant in respect of these proceedings, at their standard rates and charges.

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as otherwise provided to the contrary herein, the Applicant shall be entitled but not required to pay or otherwise deal with its creditors' claims, whether arising before or after the making of this Order, in accordance with the contracts and agreements in place as of the date of this Order, or that may be mutually agreed upon thereafter.

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that, except as otherwise provided to the contrary herein, the Applicant shall be entitled but not required to pay all reasonable expenses incurred by the Applicant in carrying on the Business in the ordinary course after this Order, and in carrying out the provisions of this Order, which expenses shall include, without limitation:

- (a) all expenses and capital expenditures reasonably necessary for the preservation of the Property or the Business including, without limitation, payments on account of insurance (including directors and officers insurance), maintenance and security services;
- (b) payment for goods or services actually supplied to the Applicant following the date of this Order; and
- (c) payments of principal, interest thereon or otherwise on account of amounts owing by the Applicant to any of its creditors as of this date or thereafter.

8. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall remit, in accordance with legal requirements, or pay:

- (a) any statutory deemed trust amounts in favour of the Crown in right of Canada or of any Province thereof or any other taxation authority which are required to be deducted from employees' wages, including, without limitation, amounts in respect of (i) employment insurance, (ii) Canada Pension Plan, (iii) Quebec Pension Plan, and (iv) income taxes;
- (b) all goods and services or other applicable sales taxes (collectively, "**Sales Taxes**") required to be remitted by the Applicant in connection with the sale of goods and

services by the Applicant, but only where such Sales Taxes are accrued or collected after the date of this Order, or where such Sales Taxes were accrued or collected prior to the date of this Order but not required to be remitted until on or after the date of this Order, and

- (c) any amount payable to the Crown in right of Canada or of any Province thereof or any political subdivision thereof or any other taxation authority in respect of municipal realty, municipal business or other taxes, assessments or levies of any nature or kind which are entitled at law to be paid in priority to claims of secured creditors and which are attributable to or in respect of the carrying on of the Business by the Applicant.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant is hereby directed, until further Order of this Court:

- (a) to grant no security interests, trust, liens, charges or encumbrances upon or in respect of any of its Property; and
- (b) to not grant credit or incur liabilities except in the ordinary course of the Business.

NO PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE APPLICANT OR THE PROPERTY

10. THIS COURT ORDERS that from the date of the present Order and until and including **[December 15, 2020]**, or such later date as this Court may order (the “**Stay Period**” or the “**Stay**”), no proceeding or enforcement process in any court or tribunal (each, a “**Proceeding**”) shall be commenced or continued against or in respect of the Applicant or the Monitor, or affecting the Business or the Property, and any and all Proceedings currently underway against or in respect of the Applicant or affecting the Business or the Property are hereby stayed and suspended, but the Stay shall not apply:

- (a) to the proceeding in Tax Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G, which for avoidance of doubt shall remain procedurally unaffected by the Stay, but the Stay is applicable to the enforcement of any order made in such proceeding affecting the Monitor, the Business or the Property; and

- (b) to any Proceeding the continuation or commencement of which is consented to in writing by the Applicant and the Monitor or allowed with leave of this Court.

NO EXERCISE OF RIGHTS OR REMEDIES

11. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, all rights and remedies of any individual, firm, corporation, governmental body or agency, or any other entities, as those terms may be understood in their broadest sense (all of the foregoing, collectively being “Persons” and each being a “Person”) against or in respect of the Applicant or the Monitor, or affecting the Business or the Property, are hereby stayed and suspended except with the written consent of the Applicant and the Monitor, or leave of this Court, provided that nothing in this Order shall (i) empower the Applicant to carry on any business which the Applicant is not lawfully entitled to carry on, (ii) affect such investigations, actions, suits or proceedings by a regulatory body as are permitted by Section 11.1 of the CCAA, (iii) prevent the filing of any registration to preserve or perfect a security interest, or (iv) prevent the registration of a claim for lien.

NO INTERFERENCE WITH RIGHTS

12. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, no Person shall discontinue, fail to honour, alter, interfere with, repudiate, terminate or cease to perform any right, renewal right, contract, agreement, licence or permit in favour of or held by the Applicant, except with the written consent of the Applicant and the Monitor, or leave of this Court.

CONTINUATION OF SERVICES

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, all Persons having oral or written agreements with the Applicant or statutory or regulatory mandates for the supply of goods and/or services, including without limitation all computer software, communication and other data services, centralized banking services, payroll services, insurance, transportation services, utility or other services to the Business or the Applicant, are hereby restrained until further Order of this Court from discontinuing, altering, interfering with or terminating the supply of such goods or services as may be required by the Applicant, and that the Applicant shall be entitled to the continued use of its current premises, telephone numbers, facsimile numbers, internet addresses and domain names, provided in each case that the normal prices or charges for all such goods or services received after the date of this Order are paid by the Applicant in accordance with normal

payment practices of the Applicant or such other practices as may be agreed upon by the supplier or service provider and each of the Applicant and the Monitor, or as may be ordered by this Court.

NON-DEROGATION OF RIGHTS

14. THIS COURT ORDERS that, notwithstanding anything else in this Order, no Person shall be prohibited from requiring immediate payment for goods, services, use of lease or licensed property or other valuable consideration provided on or after the date of this Order, nor shall any Person be under any obligation on or after the date of this Order to advance or re-advance any monies or otherwise extend any credit to the Applicant. Nothing in this Order shall derogate from the rights conferred and obligations imposed by the CCAA.

PROTOCOL

15. THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES that the protocol agreed to on October 27, 2020 among the Applicant, the Canada Revenue Agency (the “CRA”) and the Monitor and appended as a confidential appendix to the Supplement to the Monitor’s First Report dated October 27, 2020 (the “Protocol”) is hereby approved.

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that (i) the Protocol is hereby sealed from the public record until further order of this Court, and (ii) no party to the Protocol shall disclose to any Person all or any portion of the Protocol which shall be confidential information among the Applicant, the CRA and the Monitor, unless (a) the parties thereto agree to such disclosure in advance and in writing, (b) subject to prior notice to the other parties which notice shall provide an opportunity to seek protective relief, disclosure is required by a party in order to satisfy any legal or regulatory requirement, or (c) upon further Order of this Court.

17. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Protocol shall not be amended, restated or supplemented, except with the written consent of the Monitor, the Applicant and the CRA, or further Order of this Court.

18. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Protocol and all monitoring and control measures described therein shall automatically terminate on the earlier of: (i) the mutual agreement of the Monitor, the Applicant and the CRA to terminate the Protocol; (ii) the termination of the CCAA

Proceedings and Deloitte's discharge as Monitor; or (iii) further Order of this Court providing for the termination of the Protocol.

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

19. THIS COURT ORDERS that during the Stay Period, and except as permitted by subsection 11.03(2) of the CCAA, no Proceeding may be commenced or continued against any of the former, current or future directors or officers of the Applicant with respect to any claim against the directors or officers that arose before the date hereof and that relates to any obligations of the Applicant whereby the directors or officers are alleged under any law to be liable in their capacity as directors or officers for the payment or performance of such obligations (including, but not limited to Proceedings arising from section 323 of the ETA), until a compromise or arrangement in respect of the Applicant, if one is filed, is sanctioned by this Court or is refused by the creditors of the Applicant or this Court.

DIRECTORS' AND OFFICERS' INDEMNIFICATION AND CHARGE

20. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant shall indemnify its directors and officers against obligations and liabilities that they may incur as directors or officers of the Applicant after the commencement of the within proceedings, except to the extent that, with respect to any officer or director, the obligation or liability was incurred as a result of the director's or officer's gross negligence or wilful misconduct.

21. THIS COURT ORDERS that the directors and officers of the Applicant shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "**Directors' Charge**") on the Property, which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$100,000, as security for the indemnity provided in paragraph 16 of this Order. The Directors' Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 27 herein.

22. THIS COURT ORDERS that, notwithstanding any language in any applicable insurance policy to the contrary, (a) no insurer shall be entitled to be subrogated to or claim the benefit of the Directors' Charge, and (b) the Applicant's directors and officers shall only be entitled to the benefit of the Directors' Charge to the extent that they do not have coverage under any directors' and officers' insurance policy, or to the extent that such coverage is insufficient to pay amounts indemnified in accordance with paragraph 16 of this Order.

APPOINTMENT OF MONITOR

23. THIS COURT ORDERS that Deloitte Restructuring Inc. is hereby appointed pursuant to the CCAA as the Monitor, an officer of this Court, to monitor the business and financial affairs of the Applicant with the powers and obligations set out in the CCAA or set forth herein and that the Applicant and its shareholders, officers, directors, and Assistants shall advise the Monitor of all material steps taken by the Applicant pursuant to this Order, and shall co-operate fully with the Monitor in the exercise of its powers and discharge of its obligations and provide the Monitor with the assistance that is necessary to enable the Monitor to adequately carry out the Monitor's functions.

24. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in addition to its prescribed rights and obligations under the CCAA, is hereby directed and empowered to:

- (a) monitor the Applicant's receipts and disbursements;
- (b) report to this Court at such times and intervals as the Monitor may deem appropriate with respect to matters relating to the Property, the Business, and such other matters as may be relevant to the proceedings herein;
- (c) advise the Applicant in its preparation of the Applicant's cash flow statements;
- (d) advise the Applicant as to the herein proceedings, including the eventual formulation of a plan of arrangement or compromise;
- (e) have full and complete access to the Property, including the premises, books, records, data, including data in electronic form, and other financial documents of the Applicant, to the extent that is necessary to adequately assess the Applicant's business and financial affairs or to perform its duties arising under this Order;
- (f) be at liberty to engage independent legal counsel or such other persons as the Monitor deems necessary or advisable respecting the exercise of its powers and performance of its obligations under this Order; and
- (g) perform such other duties as are required by this Order or by this Court from time to time.

25. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall not take possession of the Property and shall take no part whatsoever in the management or supervision of the management of the Business and shall not, by fulfilling its obligations hereunder, be deemed to have taken or maintained possession or control of the Business or Property, or any part thereof.

26. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing herein contained shall require the Monitor to occupy or to take control, care, charge, possession or management (separately and/or collectively, "**Possession**") of any of the Property that might be environmentally contaminated, might be a pollutant or a contaminant, or might cause or contribute to a spill, discharge, release or deposit of a substance contrary to any federal, provincial or other law respecting the protection, conservation, enhancement, remediation or rehabilitation of the environment or relating to the disposal of waste or other contamination including, without limitation, the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*, the *Ontario Environmental Protection Act*, the *Ontario Water Resources Act*, or the *Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act* and regulations thereunder (the "**Environmental Legislation**"), provided however that nothing herein shall exempt the Monitor from any duty to report or make disclosure imposed by applicable Environmental Legislation. The Monitor shall not, as a result of this Order or anything done in pursuance of the Monitor's duties and powers under this Order, be deemed to be in Possession of any of the Property within the meaning of any Environmental Legislation, unless it is actually in possession.

27. THIS COURT ORDERS that that the Monitor shall provide any creditor of the Applicant with information provided by the Applicant in response to reasonable requests for information made in writing by such creditor addressed to the Monitor. The Monitor shall not have any responsibility or liability with respect to the information disseminated by it pursuant to this paragraph. In the case of information that the Monitor has been advised by the Applicant is confidential, the Monitor shall not provide such information to creditors unless otherwise directed by this Court or on such terms as the Monitor and the Applicant may agree.

28. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in addition to the rights and protections afforded the Monitor under the CCAA or as an officer of this Court, the Monitor shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of its appointment or the carrying out of the provisions of this Order or the Protocol, save and except for any gross negligence or wilful misconduct on its part. Nothing in

this Order shall derogate from the protections afforded the Monitor by the CCAA or any applicable legislation.

29. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor and counsel to the Applicant shall be paid their reasonable fees and disbursements, in each case at their standard rates and charges, by the Applicant as part of the costs of these proceedings. The Applicant is hereby authorized and directed to pay the accounts of the Monitor, counsel for the Monitor and counsel for the Applicant incurred in respect of these proceedings or attendant matters both before and during the period for which this Order is effective, and the Applicant is further hereby authorized to pay to the Monitor and counsel to the Applicant, retainers in the amount of \$50,000 for the former and \$40,000 for the latter, to be held by them as security for payment of their respective fees and disbursements outstanding from time to time.

30. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, counsel to the Monitor, if any, and the Applicant's counsel, which for clarity includes all Applicant's counsel such as restructuring counsel and tax counsel, shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "**Administration Charge**") on the Property, which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$300,000, as security for their professional fees and disbursements incurred at the standard rates and charges of the Monitor and such counsel, both before and after the making of this Order, and both in respect of these proceedings and proceedings in respect of any tax assessment or reassessment or similar proceedings. The Administration Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 27 hereof.

VALIDITY AND PRIORITY OF CHARGES CREATED BY THIS ORDER

31. THIS COURT ORDERS that the priorities of the Directors' Charge and the Administration Charge (collectively, the "**Charges**"), as among them, shall be as follows:

First – Administration Charge (to the maximum amount of \$300,000); and

Second – Directors' Charge (to the maximum amount of \$100,000).

32. THIS COURT ORDERS that the filing, registration or perfection of the Charges shall not be required, and that the Charges shall be valid and enforceable for all purposes, including as against any right, title or interest filed, registered, recorded or perfected subsequent to the

Charges coming into existence, notwithstanding any such failure to file, register, record or perfect.

33. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Charges shall constitute a charge on the Property and such Charges shall rank in priority to all other security interests, trusts, liens, charges and encumbrances, claims of secured creditors, statutory or otherwise (collectively, “**Encumbrances**”) in favour of any Person.

34. THIS COURT ORDERS that except as otherwise expressly provided for herein, or as may be approved by this Court, the Applicant shall not grant any Encumbrances over any Property that rank in priority to, or *pari passu* with, the Charges unless the Applicant also obtains the prior written consent of the beneficiaries of the Charges, or further Order of this Court.

35. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Charges shall not be rendered invalid or unenforceable and the rights and remedies of the chargees entitled to the benefit of the Charges (collectively, the “**Chargees**”) shall not otherwise be limited or impaired in any way by (a) the pendency of these proceedings and the declarations of insolvency made herein; (b) any application(s) for bankruptcy order(s) issued pursuant to BIA, or any bankruptcy order made pursuant to such applications; (c) the filing of any assignments for the general benefit of creditors made pursuant to the BIA; (d) the provisions of any federal or provincial statutes; or (e) any negative covenants, prohibitions or other similar provisions with respect to borrowings, incurring debt or the creation of Encumbrances, contained in any existing loan documents, lease, sublease, offer to lease or other agreement (collectively, an “**Agreement**”) which binds the Applicant, and notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in any Agreement:

- (a) the creation of the Charges shall not create or be deemed to constitute a breach by the Applicant of any Agreement to which it is a party;
- (b) none of the Chargees shall have any liability to any Person whatsoever as a result of any breach of any Agreement caused by or resulting from the Applicant entering into the Commitment Letter, the creation of the Charges, or the execution, delivery or performance of the Definitive Documents; and
- (c) the payments made by the Applicant pursuant to this Order and the granting of the Charges do not and will not constitute preferences, fraudulent conveyances,

transfers at undervalue, oppressive conduct, or other challengeable or voidable transactions under any applicable law.

36. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Charge created by this Order over leases of real property in Canada shall only be a Charge in the Applicant's interest in such real property leases.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

37. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall (i) without delay, publish in the Globe and Mail (national edition) a notice containing the information prescribed under the CCAA, (ii) within five days after the date of this Order, (A) make this Order publicly available in the manner prescribed under the CCAA, (B) send, in the prescribed manner, a notice to every known creditor who has a claim against the Applicant of more than \$1000, and (C) prepare a list showing the names and addresses of those creditors and the estimated amounts of those claims, and make it publicly available in the prescribed manner, all in accordance with Section 23(1)(a) of the CCAA and the regulations made thereunder.

38. THIS COURT ORDERS that the E-Service Protocol of the Commercial List (the "**E-Service Protocol**") is approved and adopted by reference herein and, in this proceeding, the service of documents made in accordance with the E-Service Protocol (which can be found on the Commercial List website at <http://www.ontariocourts.ca/scj/practice/practice-directions/toronto/e-service-protocol/>) shall be valid and effective service. Subject to Rule 17.05 this Order shall constitute an order for substituted service pursuant to Rule 16.04 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*. Subject to Rule 3.01(d) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* and paragraph 21 of the E-Service Protocol, service of documents in accordance with the E-Service Protocol will be effective on transmission. This Court further orders that a Case Website shall be established in accordance with the E-Service Protocol with the following URL: [insolvencies.deloitte.ca/en-ca/ExpressGoldRefiningLtd].

39. THIS COURT ORDERS that if the service or distribution of documents in accordance with the E-Service Protocol is not practicable, the Applicant and the Monitor are at liberty to serve or distribute this Order, any other materials and orders in these proceedings, any notices or other correspondence, by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier,

personal delivery or facsimile transmission to the Applicant's creditors or other interested parties at their respective addresses as last shown on the records of the Applicant and that any such service or distribution by courier, personal delivery or facsimile transmission shall be deemed to be received on the next business day following the date of forwarding thereof, or if sent by ordinary mail, on the third business day after mailing.

40. THIS COURT ORDERS that except to the extent incompatible with paragraphs 33 to 35 hereof, due to the COVID-19 pandemic and save Court instructions, the *Consolidated Notice to the Profession, Litigants, Accused Persons, Public and the Media* dated May 13, 2020, as amended (the "**Consolidated Notice**"), the text of which is available at [ontariocourts.ca/scj/notices-and-orders-covid-19/consolidated-notice], and the guidelines set out on the *Changes to Commercial List Operations in light of COVID-19* available at [ontariocourts.ca/scj/changes-to-commercial-list-operations-in-light-of-covid-19], as both may be amended or supplemented from time to time, shall apply to the herein proceeding.

GENERAL

41. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicant or the Monitor may apply to this Court for advice and directions in the discharge of their powers and duties hereunder.

42. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Monitor from acting as an interim receiver, a receiver, a receiver and manager, or a trustee in bankruptcy of the Applicant, the Business or the Property.

43. THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicant, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicant and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicant and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.

44. THIS COURT ORDERS that each of the Applicant and the Monitor be at liberty and is hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this Order and for assistance in carrying out the terms of this Order, and that the Monitor is authorized and empowered to act as a representative in respect of the within proceedings for the purpose of having these proceedings recognized in a jurisdiction outside Canada.

45. THIS COURT ORDERS that any interested party (including the Applicant and the Monitor) may apply to this Court to vary or amend this Order on not less than seven (7) days notice to any other party or parties likely to be affected by the order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.



ENTERED AT / INSCRIT À TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO:

OCT 28 2020

PER / PAR:



**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

27 Oct 20

The Order shall go on an unopposed basis as per the draft filed and signed.
It has the Monitor's support.

I have reviewed the draft with counsel. The provisions in the draft are fair and
reasonable. The confidentiality terms meet the Sierra Club criteria.
The stay extension meets the required legal test.



**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

**SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED INITIAL
ORDER**

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: 416-597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Joël Turgeon (Member of the Bar of Quebec,
Ontario Student-at-Law)

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

This is **Exhibit “B”** to the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn before me via Zoom this 6th day of December, 2022 in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering Oath or Declaration Remotely*

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'A' followed by several loops and a horizontal line.

A Commissioner, etc.

Docket: 2020-1214(GST)G

BETWEEN:

EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.,

Appellant,

and

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN,

Respondent.

Case Management Conference held on August 16, 2022,
at Ottawa, Canada

Before: The Honourable Justice Bruce Russell

Appearances:

Counsel for the Appellant:	Bryan Horrigan
Counsel for the Respondent:	Marilyn Vardy Jasmine Mann

DIRECTIVE

FURTHER TO the above case management conference held by telephone in which counsel and the undersigned discussed the request of the Appellant's assigned CCAA Monitor to attend at the Respondent's discovery examination of the Appellant, which request was supported by the Appellant and opposed by the Respondent;

AND UPON the undersigned expressing the view that this Court does not wish its discovery examinations, which are not public proceedings, attended by persons other than the parties and their respective counsel;

Page: 2

AND FURTHER UPON my recent review of correspondence on this topic sent to my attention dated August 19, 2022 from Respondent's counsel and from Appellant's counsel dated August 22, 2022;

I HEREBY DIRECT that each party's discovery examination in this matter is to be conducted without the CCAA Monitor and or its counsel present or in any other way observing or listening, particularly given that, unlike typical hearings and trials, discovery examinations including in this Court are not public proceedings.

Signed at Ottawa, Canada, this 8th day of September 2022.

"B. Russell"

Russell J.

This is **Exhibit “C”** to the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn before me via Zoom this 6th day of December, 2022 in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, *Administering Oath or Declaration Remotely*

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'A' followed by several loops and a horizontal line.

A Commissioner, etc.

Devka Sakhrani

From: Horrigan, Bryan <Bryan.Horrigan@bakermckenzie.com>
Sent: November 22, 2022 8:44 AM
To: Mario Forte
Subject: FW: EGR v HMK, Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G

Hi Mario,

Hopefully, you had a great vacation!

I neglected to copy you on the email below. We are probably due for a quick chat. Please let me know when you have 15 mins.

Best regards,

Bryan Horrigan ([Bio](#))
 Partner, Indirect Tax
 Baker & McKenzie LLP
 181 Bay Street, Suite 2100
 Toronto, ON M5J 2T3
 Canada
 Tel: +1 416 863 1221
 Direct: +1 416 865 3905
 Fax: +1 416 863 6275
bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com

**Baker
 McKenzie.**

bakermckenzie.com | [Facebook](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Horrigan, Bryan
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2022 12:16 PM
To: 'Vardy, Marilyn' <Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca>
Cc: Gadsden, David <David.Gadsden@bakermckenzie.com>; O'Grady, Brendan <Brendan.O'Grady@bakermckenzie.com>; Mann, Jasmineen <Jasmineen.Mann@justice.gc.ca>; Gotla, Pallavi <Pallavi.Gotla@justice.gc.ca>; Coward, Kaitlin <Kaitlin.Coward@justice.gc.ca>; Chaudary, Fozia <Fozia.Chaudary@justice.gc.ca>; Winters, Diane <Diane.Winters@justice.gc.ca>; Lawrence, Tessania <Tessania.Lawrence@justice.gc.ca>; Bernier, Jacques <Jacques.Bernier@bakermckenzie.com>; Mackenzie, Sarah <Sarah.Mackenzie@justice.gc.ca>; Kennedy, Robert <robert.kennedy@dentons.com>
Subject: RE: EGR v HMK, Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G

Hi Marilyn,

Thanks for your email. I have copied counsel for the Monitor (Mr. Kennedy) on this email for visibility. We have reproduced your queries and comments "*italicized in red text*" here and provided our responses/comments to each.

Could you kindly advise whether you have communicated with the Monitor or the Monitor's counsel regarding the discovery transcripts since sending us the October 13, 2022 email on this topic (see below)?

Response: We have had subsequent communication with the Monitor (and its counsel) regarding disclosure of transcripts since our October 13 email, which consisted of us informing the Monitor and its counsel that we would not be providing additional transcripts or otherwise discussing their content, given your email correspondence dated October 13, 2022. All transcripts disclosed to the Monitor on or before October 6, 2022, were disclosed in accordance with the clear and unequivocal agreement of the Respondent, as communicated to us by you at the beginning of the Examination for Discovery of Mr. Salama.

Has the Appellant asked the Monitor to return any discovery transcripts that the Appellant had previously provided to the Monitor?

Response: No. The Appellant has not asked the Monitor to return any discovery transcripts.

Has the Appellant taken any position on the Monitor's right to obtain copies of the discovery transcripts and if so, what is that position?

Response: The Appellant does not oppose the transcripts being disclosed to the Monitor, consistent with its position regarding disclosure of the parties' documentary productions in these proceedings and the Appellant's obligations under the CCAA.

Given the Case Management Judge's Direction received by the parties on October 6, 2022 and the Case Management Judge's subsequent comments to the parties on October 19, 2022 regarding the Monitor not being permitted to listen in on the case management call that took place on October 19, 2022, the Respondent is of the view that it is inappropriate for the Monitor to be provided with copies of, or access to, the discovery transcripts. If there is any dispute between the Appellant and the Respondent on this issue, then the Respondent believes it appropriate to seek further direction forthwith from the Case Management Judge.

Response: Again, the Appellant does not oppose disclosure of the transcripts to the Monitor. The Appellant is concerned that opposition may be inconsistent with its obligations under the CCAA. We understand that the Monitor and the Respondent (through their respective counsels, or otherwise) are in relatively frequent contact, so we would expect this issue to be resolved between the Monitor and the Respondent. The Appellant will not be taking any steps to seek authorization from any Court to disclose the transcripts. For completeness, we do not recall any comments made by Justice Russell during the October 19, 2022 Case Management Conference that were relevant to the issue of transcript disclosure or the Respondent raising this subject matter at that time.

Partner, Indirect Tax
Baker & McKenzie LLP
181 Bay Street, Suite 2100
Toronto, ON M5J 2T3
Canada
Tel: +1 416 863 1221
Direct: +1 416 865 3905
Fax: +1 416 863 6275
bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com

**Baker
McKenzie.**

bakermckenzie.com | [Facebook](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Vardy, Marilyn <Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca>

Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 12:18 PM

To: Horrigan, Bryan <Bryan.Horrigan@bakermckenzie.com>
Cc: Gadsden, David <David.Gadsden@bakermckenzie.com>; O'Grady, Brendan <Brendan.O'Grady@bakermckenzie.com>; Mann, Jasmeen <Jasmeen.Mann@justice.gc.ca>; Gotla, Pallavi <Pallavi.Gotla@justice.gc.ca>; Coward, Kaitlin <Kaitlin.Coward@justice.gc.ca>; Chaudary, Fozia <Fozia.Chaudary@justice.gc.ca>; Winters, Diane <Diane.Winters@justice.gc.ca>; Lawrence, Tessania <Tessania.Lawrence@justice.gc.ca>; Bernier, Jacques <Jacques.Bernier@bakermckenzie.com>; Mackenzie, Sarah <Sarah.Mackenzie@justice.gc.ca>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: EGR v HMK, Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G

Hi Bryan,

I hope all is well.

We understand that the Monitor is currently seeking to obtain access to the discovery transcripts.

Could you kindly advise whether you have communicated with the Monitor or the Monitor's counsel regarding the discovery transcripts since sending us the October 13, 2022 email on this topic (see below)? Has the Appellant asked the Monitor to return any discovery transcripts that the Appellant had previously provided to the Monitor? Has the Appellant taken any position on the Monitor's right to obtain copies of the discovery transcripts and if so, what is that position?

Given the Case Management Judge's Direction received by the parties on October 6, 2022 and the Case Management Judge's subsequent comments to the parties on October 19, 2022 regarding the Monitor not being permitted to listen in on the case management call that took place on October 19, 2022, the Respondent is of the view that it is inappropriate for the Monitor to be provided with copies of, or access to, the discovery transcripts. If there is any dispute between the Appellant and the Respondent on this issue, then the Respondent believes it appropriate to seek further direction forthwith from the Case Management Judge.

We would accordingly appreciate receiving your views and comments concerning the above on an expedited basis.

Thank you.

Marilyn Vardy
(she, her, elle, la)
 Senior General Counsel | Avocate-générale principale
 Ontario Regional Office | Bureau régional de l'Ontario
 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 | 120, rue Adelaide Ouest, Pièce 400
 Toronto, Ontario M5H 1T1
 National Litigation Sector | Secteur national du contentieux
 Department of Justice Canada | Ministère de la Justice Canada
 E-mail/Courriel: Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca

Ce message contient des renseignements qui pourraient être confidentiels, soustraits à la communication, ou protégés par le privilège relatif au litige ou par le secret professionnel liant l'avocat ou le notaire à son client. S'il ne vous est pas destiné, vous êtes priés de ne pas le lire, l'utiliser, le conserver ou le diffuser. Veuillez sans tarder le supprimer et en détruire toute copie, et communiquer avec l'expéditeur au (647) 256-7454 ou à Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca. Merci de votre collaboration.

From: Horrigan, Bryan <Bryan.Horrigan@bakermckenzie.com>
Sent: October 13, 2022 6:16 PM
To: Vardy, Marilyn <Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca>
Cc: Gadsden, David <David.Gadsden@bakermckenzie.com>; O'Grady, Brendan <Brendan.O'Grady@bakermckenzie.com>

Mann, Jasmeen <Jasmeen.Mann@justice.gc.ca>; Gotla, Pallavi <Pallavi.Gotla@justice.gc.ca>; Coward, Kaitlin <Kaitlin.Coward@justice.gc.ca>; Chaudary, Fozia <Fozia.Chaudary@justice.gc.ca>; Winters, Diane <Diane.Winters@justice.gc.ca>; Lawrence, Tessania <Tessania.Lawrence@justice.gc.ca>; Bernier, Jacques <Jacques.Bernier@bakermckenzie.com>

Subject: RE: EGR v HMK, Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G

Hi Marilyn,

I can confirm that we received the Tax Court Directive dated September 8, 2022 via email on October 6, 2022 at 7:33 pm. About an hour earlier, on October 6, 2022 at 6:36 pm, we forwarded the transcript for that day's discovery to the Monitor. We have not forwarded any additional transcripts to the Monitor since and we are awaiting input from EGR's CCAA counsel regarding next steps.

Best regards,

Bryan Horrigan ([Bio](#))

Partner, Indirect Tax
Baker & McKenzie LLP
181 Bay Street, Suite 2100
Toronto, ON M5J 2T3
Canada
Tel: +1 416 863 1221
Direct: +1 416 865 3905
Fax: +1 416 863 6275
bryan.horrigan@bakermckenzie.com



bakermckenzie.com | [Facebook](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message. Please visit www.bakermckenzie.com/disclaimers for other important information concerning this message.

From: Vardy, Marilyn <Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 5:36 PM

To: Bernier, Jacques <Jacques.Bernier@bakermckenzie.com>; Horrigan, Bryan <Bryan.Horrigan@bakermckenzie.com>

Cc: Gadsden, David <David.Gadsden@bakermckenzie.com>; O'Grady, Brendan <Brendan.O'Grady@bakermckenzie.com>;

Mann, Jasmeen <Jasmeen.Mann@justice.gc.ca>; Gotla, Pallavi <Pallavi.Gotla@justice.gc.ca>; Coward, Kaitlin

<Kaitlin.Coward@justice.gc.ca>; Chaudary, Fozia <Fozia.Chaudary@justice.gc.ca>; Winters, Diane

<Diane.Winters@justice.gc.ca>; Lawrence, Tessania <Tessania.Lawrence@justice.gc.ca>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] EGR v HMK, Court File No. 2020-1214(GST)G

Good evening, Jacques and Bryan.

Last Thursday evening (October 6, 2022), we received an email from the Tax Court enclosing a Directive issued by the case management judge, the Honourable Justice Russell, directing that each party's discovery examination in this matter be conducted without the CCAA Monitor or its counsel present or in any other way observing or listening, particularly given that, unlike typical hearings and trials, discovery examinations are not public proceedings.

Although the Directive was apparently signed in Ottawa on September 8, 2022, the Respondent first received the Directive on the evening of October 6, 2022.

Can you please advise whether the Appellant also first received the Directive from the Tax Court of Canada on the evening of October 6, 2022 and whether, in light of the Tax Court's directive, the Appellant intends to continue providing copies of the discovery transcripts to the Monitor moving forward? Will EGR ask for the return of any transcripts that the Appellant has shared with the Monitor?

Thank you.

Marilyn Vardy

(she, her, elle, la)

Senior General Counsel | Avocate-générale principale

Ontario Regional Office | Bureau régional de l'Ontario

120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 | 120, rue Adelaide Ouest, Pièce 400

Toronto, Ontario M5H 1T1

National Litigation Sector | Secteur national du contentieux

Department of Justice Canada | Ministère de la Justice Canada

E-mail/Courriel: Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca

Tel/Tél: 647-256-7454 / **647 871-3307**

Fax/Télé: 416-973-0810

This communication contains information that may be confidential, exempt from disclosure, subject to litigation privilege or protected by the privilege that exists between lawyers or notaries and their clients. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not read, rely on, retain, or distribute it. Please delete or otherwise destroy this communication and all copies of it immediately, and contact the sender at (647) 256-7454 or by email at Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca. Thank you.

Ce message contient des renseignements qui pourraient être confidentiels, soustraits à la communication, ou protégés par le privilège relatif au litige ou par le secret professionnel liant l'avocat ou le notaire à son client. S'il ne vous est pas destiné, vous êtes priés de ne pas le lire, l'utiliser, le conserver ou le diffuser. Veuillez sans tarder le supprimer et en détruire toute copie, et communiquer avec l'expéditeur au (647) 256-7454 ou à Marilyn.Vardy@justice.gc.ca. Merci de votre collaboration.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST
Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

AFFIDAVIT OF ATEF SALAMA
(Sworn December 6, 2022)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: (416) 597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the applicant, Express Gold Refining Inc.

TAB 3

Court File No. CV-20-00649558-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE MR.) THURSDAY, THE 13th
)
JUSTICE McEWEN) DAY OF DECEMBER, 2022
)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
(the "**CCAA**")

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.
(the "**Applicant**")

ORDER
(extension of stay period; approval of activities and fees)

THIS MOTION by the Applicant pursuant to the CCAA was heard before me on December 13, 2022 at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, by videoconference.

ON READING the materials filed including the affidavit of Atef Salama sworn December 6, 2022, and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the twelfth report (the "**Twelfth Report**") of Deloitte Restructuring Inc. in its capacity as court-appointed monitor (in such capacity, the "**Monitor**") filed, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicant, the Monitor, Canada Revenue Agency and such other counsel as were present as may be indicated on the counsel slip, no one else appearing despite being served as appears from the affidavit of service, filed:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the motion record in respect of this motion and the Twelfth Report is hereby abridged and validated so that the motion is properly returnable today, and that further service thereof is hereby dispensed with.
 2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the “Stay Period” as defined in the second amended and restated initial order made by this court on October 27, 2020 in this proceeding is hereby extended to and including March 13, 2023.
 3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Twelfth Report as well as the activities described therein are hereby approved, provided however, that only the Monitor in its personal capacity and with respect to its personal liability shall be entitled to rely upon or utilize in any way such approval.
 4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the professional fees and disbursements of the Monitor and its independent legal counsel, Dentons Canada LLP, as set out in the affidavits of representatives of the Monitor and its counsel appended to the Twelfth Report, are hereby approved.
 5. This order is effective as of its date at 12:01 am and does not need to be issued or entered.
-

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT
OF EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST
Proceeding commenced in TORONTO

ORDER
(extension of stay period; approval of
monitor's activities)

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-6477

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: (416) 597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining Ltd.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EXPRESS GOLD REFINING LTD.**

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

Proceeding commenced TORONTO

**MOTION RECORD
(Extension of Stay Period)
(returnable December 13, 2022)**

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto ON M5G 1V2
Fax: 416-597-3370

Mario Forte (LSO #27293F)
Tel: 416-597-6477
Email: forte@gsnh.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Express Gold Refining
Ltd.